# Portfolio Optimization BKM 6.1 - 6.3

Eric M. Aldrich

Econ 133

UC Santa Cruz

We classify risk using two broad categories.

- ► Systematic risk (also called market or non-diversifiable risk) which is common to all assets in the market.
- ► Idiosyncratic risk (also called firm-specific, non-systematic or diversifiable risk) which is unique to a particular asset.

Idiosyncratic risk can be eliminated through portfolio diversification.

- ▶ Imagine holding one stock in your portfolio. The risk of your portfolio will be equal to the sum of the stock's systematic and idiosyncratic risks.
- ► Now add another stock to the portfolio. If the two stocks are perfectly correlated, then they will always move together and your portfolio will have the same risk properties as before.

# Benefits of Diversification (Cont.)

- ▶ What if the idiosyncratic components of the two stocks are uncorrelated?
  - ▶ Systematic shocks will cause them to move together.
  - Idiosyncratic shocks will occur at different times and magnitudes, so the stocks will not move together as much.
  - ► Sometimes when one falls in price another will rise price, counteracting each other and reducing risk.
- ► What if the idiosyncratic components of the two stocks are negatively correlated?
  - ▶ Systematic shocks will cause them to move together.
  - ► Idiosyncratic shocks will cause them to move in opposite directions, reducing risk.

Adding more and more assets to a portfolio compounds the diversification effect.

- ► In theory, it should be possible to eliminate all idiosyncratic risk by increasing the number of assets in a portfolio.
- ► Systematic risk, however, cannot be eliminated since it is common to all assets.

### Limits to Diversification



Suppose you can invest in two risky assets.

- A debt asset (bonds) denoted by D.
- An equity asset (stocks) denoted by E.
- $\omega_d$  is the fraction of wealth invested in D.
- $\omega_e$  is the fraction of wealth invested in E.
- We require  $\omega_d + \omega_e = 1$ , so that  $\omega_e = 1 \omega_d$ .

Suppose the returns to D and E are  $r_d$  and  $r_e$ , respectively.

▶ The portfolio return is the weighted average of returns

$$r_p = \omega_d r_d + \omega_e r_e.$$

▶ By the properties of expectations, the portfolio expected return is

$$\mu_p = \omega_d \mu_d + \omega_e \mu_e.$$

▶ By the properties of variance, the portfolio variance is

$$\sigma_p^2 = \omega_d^2 \sigma_d^2 + \omega_e^2 \sigma_e^2 + 2\omega_d \omega_e \text{Cov} (r_d, r_e).$$

It is often useful to express the variance and covariance information in matrix form

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_d^2 & \sigma_{d,e} \\ \sigma_{e,d} & \sigma_e^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\sigma_{d,e} = \sigma_{e,d} = \operatorname{Cov}(r_d, r_d)$ .

- $\Sigma$  is referred to as a covariance matrix.
- ▶ It can be generalized to more than two assets.
- ▶ The diagonal terms always represent variances.
- ▶ The off-diagonal terms represent covariances.

Define  $\rho_{xy} = \operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)$ . Then recall that

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(X,Y\right)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

٠

• Hence, 
$$\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) = \rho_{xy} \sigma_x \sigma_y$$
.

• Remember that 
$$\rho_{xy} \in [-1, 1]$$
.

The previous relationship allows us to write portfolio variance as

$$\sigma_p^2 = \omega_d^2 \sigma_d^2 + \omega_e^2 \sigma_e^2 + 2\omega_d \omega_e \rho_{de} \sigma_d \sigma_e.$$

• Since  $\rho_{de} \in [-1, 1], \sigma_p^2$  is greatest when  $\rho_{de} = 1$ . Why?

• Note that when  $\rho_{de} = 1$ ,

$$\sigma_p^2 = (\omega_d \sigma_d + \omega_e \sigma_e)^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \sigma_p = \omega_d \sigma_d + \omega_e \sigma_e.$$

- ► In this special case, the portfolio standard deviation is the weighted average of asset standard deviations.
- ► So the maximal possible portfolio standard deviation is the simple weighted average of component standard deviations.

Note that

- $\mu_p$  is the weighted average of asset means.
- ►  $\sigma_p$  is less than the weighted average of asset volatilities when  $\rho_{de} \neq 1$ .
- ► So the risk-return properties of the portfolio are better than those of the component assets.

Portfolio variance is made smaller with smaller values of  $\rho_{de}$ .

• When 
$$\rho_{de} = -1$$
,

$$\sigma_p^2 = (\omega_d \sigma_d - \omega_e \sigma_e)^2$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \sigma_p = |\omega_d \sigma_d - \omega_e \sigma_e|.$$

• If we choose  $\omega_d = \frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_e + \sigma_d}$  (and  $\omega_e = 1 - \omega_d$ ) then  $\sigma_p = 0$ .

► This means that if assets are perfectly negatively correlated, the portfolio has zero variance.



The lines in the figure above are known as *portfolio opportunity* sets or *portfolio frontiers*.

- ► Since individuals prefer less risk and greater return, frontiers that are bowed to the northwest are better.
- Clearly, the frontiers are better for smaller values of  $\rho_{de}$ .

Assume you can invest your money in three assets:

- ► Two risky assets.
- ► A risk-free asset.

The portfolio frontier now consists of

- ► The curved frontier between the two risky assets. We will call this the 'risky frontier'.
- ► Every capital allocation line (CAL) connecting the risk-free to a portfolio on the risky frontier.
- ► Steeper CALs are better since they offer portfolios of equivalent expected return with lower standard deviation.



# Optimal CAL

The optimal CAL is the steepest possible line that intersects both the risk-free asset and a portfolio on the risky frontier.

- ► The slope of the CAL is the Sharpe Ratio of the risky portfolio it intersects.
- ▶ So the investor's optimization problem is

$$\max_{\omega} \operatorname{SR}_p = \frac{\mu_p - r_f}{\sigma_p}$$

subject to

$$\mu_p = \omega_d \mu_d + (1 - \omega_d) \mu_e$$
$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{\omega_d^2 \sigma_d^2 + (1 - \omega_d)^2 \sigma_e^2 + 2\omega_d (1 - \omega_d) \rho_{de} \sigma_d \sigma_e}.$$

To solve the problem we

- Substitute the constraints.
- ► Take the derivative with respect to  $\omega_d$  and set the derivative equal to zero.
- ▶ Perform some tedious algebra.

The solution is

$$\omega_d^* = \frac{\mathrm{rp}_d \sigma_e^2 - \mathrm{rp}_e \rho_{de} \sigma_d \sigma_e}{\mathrm{rp}_e \sigma_d^2 + \mathrm{rp}_d \sigma_e^2 - (\mathrm{rp}_e + \mathrm{rp}_d) \rho_{de} \sigma_d \sigma_e}$$

٠

• 
$$\operatorname{rp}_d = \mu_d - r_f$$
 and  $\operatorname{rp}_e = \mu_d - r_f$ .

• The optimal portfolio T is known as the *tangency portfolio*.



The optimal CAL is the investor's efficient choice set, since it offers minimal variance portfolios for every choice of expected return.

- ▶ We have said nothing about which portfolio the investor will actually consume; we have only identified the set from which the investor will choose.
- ▶ We must combine the optimal CAL with a specification of preferences to determine the investor's actual choice.
- ▶ The portfolio choice problem with three assets now reduces to the simple problem of choosing between one risky asset (the tangency portfolio) and the risk-free asset.

#### Investor Choice

Let's assume again that agents' utility is given by

$$U(\mu,\sigma) = \mu - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2$$

Recall that when allocating wealth between a risky asset P and a risk-free asset, the optimal portfolio weight,  $\tau$ , is

$$\tau = \frac{\mu_p - r_f}{\gamma \sigma_p^2}.$$

- If the agent invests in the tangency portfolio T and the risk-free,  $\tau = \frac{\mu_T r_f}{\gamma \sigma_T^2}$ .
- ► Given that the tangency portfolio is a weighted average of assets D and E, with optimal weight ω<sup>\*</sup><sub>d</sub>

$$w_d = \tau \omega_d^*$$
$$w_e = \tau (1 - \omega_d^*).$$