## Lecture 11 Rootfinding

#### **David Semeraro**

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

October 1, 2013

< 口 > < 同

- Not all problems are as easy to solve as linear systems of equations
- Even the 5th-order polynomial has no closed form solution
- Many problems fall into this category:
  - Where should wireless access points be placed? How many?
  - Where do two curved surfaces intersect?
  - What is the subsurface geology in the Los Angeles basin?
- How do we solve these problems? Iterate, getting closer (we hope!) each time.

We need to study some iterations.

- iteratively finding a root to an equation
- iteratively finding the solution to an algebraic system
- iteratively finding solutions to Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

• ...

Given a function f(x), find x so that f(x) = 0



# Rootfinding

Goals:

- Find roots to equations
- Compare usability of different methods
- Compare convergence properties of different methods
- bracketing methods
- Bisection Method
- Newton's Method
- Secant Method
- (opt) fixed point iterations
- (opt) special Case: Roots of Polynomials

# Roots of f(x)

• Any single valued function can be written as f(x) = 0

### Example

- Find x so that  $\cos(x) = x$
- That is, find where  $f(x) = \cos(x) x = 0$



# Analyze your Application

#### Is the function complicated to evaluate?

- Iots of expresions?
- singularities?
- simplify? polynomial?
- How accuracte does our root need to be?
- How fast/robust should our method be?

From this, you can pick the right method...

## **Basic Root Finding Strategy**

### Plot the function

- Get an initial guess
- Identify problematic parts

#### Start with the initial guess and iterate

- A root x is *bracketed* on [a, b] if f(a) and f(b) have opposite sign.
- Changing signs does not guarantee bracketed, however: singularity



Bracketing helps get an initial guess

#### Listing 1: Bracket Algorithm

```
1 given: f(x), x_{min}, x_{max}, n
dx = (x_{max} - x_{min})/n
4 x_{left} = x_{min}
_{5}i = 0
6
7 while i < n
     i = i + 1
8
   x_{right} = x_{left} + dx
9
     if f(x) changes sign in [x_{left}, x_{right}]
10
         save [x_{left}, x_{right}] as an interval with a root
11
      end
12
13
     x_{left} = x_{right}
```

### $f(a) \times f(b) < 0$

Should we use?

```
fa = myfunc(a);
fb = myfunc(b);
if(fa*fb<0)
(save)
```

end



Nope. Underflow...

#### sign()

Use Python's sign

```
fa = myfunc(a);
fb = myfunc(b);
import numpy as np
if(np.sign(fa) != np.sign(fb))
  (save)
end
```

< D > < D

Bracketing is fine. But we need to find the actual root:

- Bisection
- Newton's Method
- Secant Method
- Fixed Point Iteration

Process:

- use bracket.py to get a visual and brackets
- earch brackets with these methods

Given a bracketed root, halve the interval while continuing to bracket the root



For the bracket interval [a, b] the midpoint is

$$x_m = \frac{1}{2}(a+b)$$

#### idea:

- split bracket in half
- select the bracket that has the root
- goto step 1



#### Listing 2: Bisection

```
initialize: a = \dots, b = \dots
1
     for k = 1, 2, ...
2
       x_m = a + (b - a)/2
3
       if sign (f(x_m)) = sign (f(x_a))
4
          a = x_m
5
        else
6
          b = x_m
7
       end
8
       if converged, stop
9
     end
10
```

# **Bisection Example**

Solve with bisection:

$$x - x^{1/3} - 2 = 0$$

k	а	b	$x_{mid}$	$f(x_{mid})$
0	3	4		
1	3	4	3.5	-0.01829449
2	3.5	4	3.75	0.19638375
3	3.5	3.75	3.625	0.08884159
4	3.5	3.625	3.5625	0.03522131
5	3.5	3.5625	3.53125	0.00845016
6	3.5	3.53125	3.515625	-0.00492550
7	3.51625	3.53125	3.5234375	0.00176150
8	3.51625	3.5234375	3.51953125	-0.00158221
9	3.51953125	3.5234375	3.52148438	0.00008959
10	3.51953125	3.52148438	3.52050781	-0.00074632
			< □	▶ <b>▲ @ ▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 I</b>

Ξ 17/38

## Analysis of Bisection

Let  $\delta_n$  be the size of the bracketing interval at the  $n^{th}$  stage of bisection. Then

$$\delta_{0} = b - a = \text{initial bracketing interval}$$

$$\delta_{1} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{0}$$

$$\delta_{2} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{1} = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{0}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\delta_{n} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}\delta_{0}$$

$$\implies \qquad \frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{0}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} = 2^{-n}$$

or 
$$n = \log_2\left(\frac{\delta_n}{\delta_0}\right)$$

$$\frac{\delta_n}{\delta_0} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = 2^{-n}$$
 or  $n = \log_2\left(\frac{\delta_n}{\delta_0}\right)$ 

п	$rac{\delta_n}{\delta_0}$	function evaluations	
5	$3.1  imes 10^{-2}$	7	
10	$9.8 imes10^{-4}$	12	
20	$9.5 imes10^{-7}$	22	
30	$9.3\times10^{-10}$	32	
40	$9.1\times10^{-13}$	42	
50	$8.9 imes10^{-16}$	52	

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □</p>

I

900

An automatic root-finding procedure needs to monitor progress toward the root and stop when current guess is close enough to the desired root.

- Convergence checking will avoid searching to unnecessary accuracy.
- Check how closeness of successive approximations

$$|x_k - x_{k-1}| < \delta_x$$

• Check how close f(x) is to zero at the current guess.

$$|f(x_k)| < \delta_f$$

• Which one you use depends on the problem being solved

## Convergence Criteria on x



 $x_k$  = current guess at the root  $x_{k-1}$  = previous guess at the root

Absolute tolerance:  $|x_k - x_{k-1}| < \delta_x$ Relative tolerance:  $\left|\frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{b-a}\right| < \hat{\delta}_x$ 

# Convergence Criteria on f(x)



**Absolute** tolerance:  $|f(x_k)| < \delta_f$ 

Relative tolerance:

$$|f(x_k)| < \hat{\delta}_f \max\{|f(a_0)|, |f(b_0)|\}$$

where  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  are the original brackets

## **Convergence Criteria Compared**

If f'(x) is small near the root, it is easy to satisfy tolerance on f(x) for a large range of  $\Delta x$ . The tolerance on  $\Delta x$  is more conservative



If f'(x) is large near the root, it is possible to satisfy the tolerance on  $\Delta x$  when |f(x)| is still large. The tolerance on f(x) is more conservative



• How are the criteria on x and f(x) related? Consider the ratio of the two criteria

$$\frac{f(x_b) - f(x_a)}{x_b - x_a}$$

- The limit of this as  $x_a$  and  $x_b$  converge to the exact answer  $x^*$  is just  $f'(x^*)$ .
- We can thus expect (this is not yet a proof) that

$$|f(x_b) - f(x_a)| \approx |f'(x^*)||x_b - x_a|$$

as  $x_a$  and  $x_b$  approach the solution  $x^*$ .

- Let  $e_n = x^* x_n$  be the error.
- In general, a sequence is said to converge with rate r if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|e_n|^r} = C$$

#### Special Cases:

- If r = 1 and C < 1, then the rate is *linear*
- If r = 2 and C > 0, then the rate is *quadratic*
- If r = 3 and C > 0, then the rate is *cubic*

### **Convergence Rate**

- $10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5} ...$
- $2 10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-8}...$
- **3** 10<sup>-2</sup>, 10<sup>-4</sup>, 10<sup>-8</sup>, 10<sup>-16</sup>...
- **1**10<sup>-2</sup>, 10<sup>-6</sup>, 10<sup>-18</sup>, ...

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### **Convergence** Rate

• 
$$10^{-2}$$
,  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$ ... (linear with  $C = 10^{-1}$ )

**2** 
$$10^{-2}$$
,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-8}$ ... (linear with  $C = 10^{-2}$ )

$$10^{-2}$$
,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-8}$ ,  $10^{-16}$ ...(quadratic)

$$( 10^{-2}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-18}, \dots \text{ (cubic)} )$$

- Linear: Adds one digit of accuracy at each step
- Quadratic: Doubles the number of digits at each step

- Ever wondered how a computer process performs division?
- "Long" division requires lookup, subtraction, shifts
- Generates one digit and a time. Can we do better?

To answer this, we need to look at faster methods than bisection

## Newton's Method



For a current guess  $x_k$ , use  $f(x_k)$  and the slope  $f'(x_k)$  to predict where f(x) crosses the *x* axis.

Expand f(x) in Taylor Series around  $x_k$ 

$$f(x_k + \Delta x) = f(x_k) + \Delta x \left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{x_k} + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2} \left. \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} \right|_{x_k} + \dots$$

Substitute  $\Delta x = x_{k+1} - x_k$ and neglect  $2^{nd}$  order terms to get

$$f(x_{k+1}) \approx f(x_k) + (x_{k+1} - x_k)f'(x_k)$$

where

$$f'(x_k) = \left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{x_k}$$

- - 1

Goal is to find x such that f(x) = 0. Set  $f(x_{k+1}) = 0$  and solve for  $x_{k+1}$ 

$$0 = f(x_k) + (x_{k+1} - x_k)f'(x_k)$$

or, solving for  $x_{k+1}$ 

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}$$

3 × 1

initialize: 
$$x_1 = \dots$$
  
for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$   
 $x_k = x_{k-1} - f(x_{k-1})/f'(x_{k-1})$   
if converged, stop

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶

1

E

Solve:

$$x - x^{1/3} - 2 = 0$$

First derivative is

$$f'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{3}x^{-2/3}$$

The iteration formula is

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{x_k - x_k^{1/3} - 2}{1 - \frac{1}{3}x_k^{-2/3}}$$

3 ×

## Newton's Method Example

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{x_k - x_k^{1/3} - 2}{1 - \frac{1}{3}x_k^{-2/3}}$$

k	$x_k$	$f'(x_k)$	f(x)
0	3	0.83975005	-0.44224957
1	3.52664429	0.85612976	0.00450679
2	3.52138015	0.85598641	$3.771  imes 10^{-7}$
3	3.52137971	0.85598640	$2.664\times10^{-15}$
4	3.52137971	0.85598640	0.0

#### Conclusion

- Newton's method converges much more quickly than bisection
- Newton's method requires an analytical formula for f'(x)
- The algorithm is simple as long as f'(x) is available.
- Iterations are not guaranteed to stay inside an ordinal bracket.

David Semeraro (NCSA

## Divergence of Newton's Method



Since

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}$$

the new guess,  $x_{k+1}$ , will be far from the old guess whenever  $f'(x_k) \approx 0$ 

Image: A matrix

# Divergence of Newton's Method

Can you guess?



http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/qy/Newton.html

### Newton's Method: Convergence

#### Recall

Convergence of a method is said to be of order r if there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|e_{k+1}|}{|e_k|^r} = C$$

Newton's method is of order 2 (quadratic) when  $f'(x_*) \neq 0$ . For  $\xi$  between  $x_k$ 

and  $x_*$ 

$$f(x_*) = f(x_k) + (x_* - x_k)f'(x_k) + \frac{1}{2}(x_* - x_k)^2 f''(\xi) = 0$$

So

$$\frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)} + x_* - x_k + \frac{(x_* - x_k)^2}{2} \frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(x_k)} = 0$$

Then

$$x_* - x_{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}(x_* - x_k)\frac{2f''(\xi)}{f'(x_k)} = 0$$

Thus

$$\frac{|x_* - x_{k+1}|}{|x_* - x_k|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(x_k)}$$

- Consider the task of computing 1/q for some q without using division.
- We can write this as: find the root x of f(x) = 1/(xq) 1 = 0.
- What is Newton's Method for this?
- $f'(x) = -1/(x^2q)$ . Thus

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

or

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{1/(x_n q) - 1}{-1/(x_n^2 q)}$$

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト

- Consider the task of computing 1/q for some q without using division.
- We can write this as: find the root x of f(x) = 1/(xq) 1 = 0.
- What is Newton's Method for this?
- $f'(x) = -1/(x^2q)$ . Thus

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

or

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{1/(x_n q) - 1}{-1/(x_n^2 q)} \frac{x_n^2 q}{x_n^2 q}$$

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + x_n - x_n^2 q = 2x_n - x_n^2 q$$

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同> < 同

- Find the bracket:
- 1/2 > 1/3 > 1/4

• 
$$x_0 = 1/4$$
  
•  $x_1 = 2x_0 - x_0^2 q = 1/2 - 3/16 = 5/16$   
•  $x_2 = 2 \times 5/2^4 - 3 \times 25/2^8 = (160 - 75)/2^8 = 85/2^8$   
•  $x_3 = 2 \times 85/2^8 - 3 \times 85^2/2^{16} = 21845/2^{16}$ 

In 3 steps, computed 16 bits in 1/3

< □ > < @