Projection

Readings

- Szeliski 2.1

Projection

Readings

- Szeliski 2.1

Müller-Lyer Illusion



by Pravin Bhat



http://www.michaelbach.de/ot/sze_muelue/index.html

Image formation



Let’s design a camera

- Idea 1: put a piece of film in front of an object
- Do we get a reasonable image?

Pinhole camera



Add a barrier to block off most of the rays

- This reduces blurring
- The opening known as the **aperture**
- How does this transform the image?

Camera Obscura



The first camera

- Known to Aristotle
- How does the aperture size affect the image?

Shrinking the aperture



Why not make the aperture as small as possible?

- Less light gets through
- *Diffraction* effects...

Shrinking the aperture



Adding a lens



A lens focuses light onto the film

- There is a specific distance at which objects are “in focus”
  - other points project to a “circle of confusion” in the image
- Changing the shape of the lens changes this distance

Lenses



A lens focuses parallel rays onto a single focal point

- focal point at a distance *f* beyond the plane of the lens
  - *f* is a function of the shape and index of refraction of the lens
- Aperture of diameter D restricts the range of rays
  - aperture may be on either side of the lens
- Lenses are typically spherical (easier to produce)

Thin lenses



Thin lens equation: $$\frac{1}{d_o} + \frac{1}{d_i} = \frac{1}{f}$$

- Any object point satisfying this equation is in focus
- What is the shape of the focus region?
- How can we change the focus region?
- Thin lens applet: http://www.phy.ntnu.edu.tw/java/Lens/lens_e.html (by Fu-Kwun Hwang)

Depth of field





f / 5.6

f / 32

Changing the aperture size affects depth of field

- A smaller aperture increases the range in which the object is approximately in focus

Flower images from Wikipedia http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Depth_of_field

The eye



The human eye is a camera

- **Iris** - colored annulus with radial muscles
- **Pupil** - the hole (aperture) whose size is controlled by the iris
- What's the "film"?
  - photoreceptor cells (rods and cones) in the **retina**

Digital camera

A digital camera replaces film with a sensor array

- Each cell in the array is a **C**harge **C**oupled **D**evice
  - light-sensitive diode that converts photons to electrons
  - other variants exist: CMOS is becoming more popular
  - http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/digital-camera.htm

Issues with digital cameras

Noise
- big difference between consumer vs. SLR-style cameras
- low light is where you most notice noise

Compression
- creates artifacts except in uncompressed formats (tiff, raw)

Color
- color fringing artifacts from Bayer patterns

Blooming
- charge overflowing into neighboring pixels

In-camera processing
- oversharpening can produce halos

Interlaced vs. progressive scan video
- even/odd rows from different exposures

Are more megapixels better?
- requires higher quality lens
- noise issues

Stabilization
- compensate for camera shake (mechanical vs. electronic)

More info online, e.g.,
- http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/digital-camera.htm
- http://www.dpreview.com/

Modeling projection



The coordinate system

- We will use the pin-hole model as an approximation
- Put the optical center (**C**enter **O**f **P**rojection) at the origin
- Put the image plane (**P**rojection **P**lane) *in front* of the COP
  - Why?
- The camera looks down the *negative* z axis
  - we need this if we want right-handed-coordinates

Modeling projection



Projection equations

- Compute intersection with PP of ray from (x,y,z) to COP
- Derived using similar triangles (on board)

$$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-d\frac{x}{z},\ -d\frac{y}{z},\ -d)$$

- We get the projection by throwing out the last coordinate:

$$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-d\frac{x}{z},\ -d\frac{y}{z})$$

Homogeneous coordinates

Is this a linear transformation?

- no—division by z is nonlinear

Trick: add one more coordinate:

$$(x, y) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

homogeneous image coordinates

$$(x, y, z) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

homogeneous scene coordinates

Converting *from* homogeneous coordinates

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w, z/w)$$

Perspective Projection

Projection is a matrix multiply using homogeneous coordinates:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/d & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ -z/d \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (-d\frac{x}{z}, \quad -d\frac{y}{z})$$

divide by third coordinate

This is known as **perspective projection**

- The matrix is the **projection matrix**
- Can also formulate as a 4x4 (today's reading does this)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/d & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ -z/d \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (-d\frac{x}{z}, \quad -d\frac{y}{z})$$

divide by fourth coordinate

Perspective Projection

How does scaling the projection matrix change the transformation?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/d & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ -z/d \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (-d\frac{x}{z}, \quad -d\frac{y}{z})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -d & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -dx \\ -dy \\ z \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (-d\frac{x}{z}, \quad -d\frac{y}{z})$$

Orthographic projection

Special case of perspective projection

- Distance from the COP to the PP is infinite



- Good approximation for telephoto optics
- Also called "parallel projection": (x, y, z) → (x, y)
- What's the projection matrix?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x, y)$$

Orthographic ("telecentric") lenses

[Navitar telecentric zoom lens](#)



http://www.lhup.edu/~dsimanek/3d/telecent.htm

Variants of orthographic projection

Scaled orthographic

- Also called “weak perspective”

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1/d \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (dx, dy)$$

Affine projection

- Also called “paraperspective”

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Camera parameters

A camera is described by several parameters

- Translation T of the optical center from the origin of world coords
- Rotation R of the image plane
- focal length f, principle point (x'_c, y'_c), pixel size (s_x, s_y)
- blue parameters are called "extrinsics," red are "intrinsics"

Projection equation

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} sx \\ sy \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\Pi X}$$



- The projection matrix models the cumulative effect of all parameters
- Useful to decompose into a series of operations

identity matrix

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -fs_x & 0 & x'_c \\ 0 & -fs_y & y'_c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{intrinsics}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{projection}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{3x3} & \mathbf{0}_{3x1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1x3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{rotation}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{3x3} & \mathbf{T}_{3x1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1x3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{translation}}$$

- The definitions of these parameters are **not** completely standardized
  - especially intrinsics—varies from one book to another

Distortion



No distortion Pin cushion Barrel

Radial distortion of the image

- Caused by imperfect lenses
- Deviations are most noticeable for rays that pass through the edge of the lens

Correcting radial distortion

from Helmut Dersch

Distortion



S_2
Chief ray
S_1
O
(a)
y_i
Orthoscopic
S_2
(b)
O
Chief ray
A
Barrel
S_2
Chief ray
B
O
(c)
Pin-cushion

Modeling distortion

Pro$(\widehat{x}, \widehat{y}, \widehat{z})$
to "normalized"
image coordinates

$$x'_n = \widehat{x}/\widehat{z}$$
$$y'_n = \widehat{y}/\widehat{z}$$

Apply radial distortion

$$r^2 = {x'_n}^2 + {y'_n}^2$$
$$x'_d = x'_n(1 + \kappa_1 r^2 + \kappa_2 r^4)$$
$$y'_d = y'_n(1 + \kappa_1 r^2 + \kappa_2 r^4)$$

Apply focal length
translate image center

$$x' = f x'_d + x_c$$
$$y' = f y'_d + y_c$$

To model lens distortion

- Use above projection operation instead of standard projection matrix multiplication

Other types of projection

Lots of intriguing variants…

(I’ll just mention a few fun ones)

360 degree field of view…

Basic approach

- Take a photo of a parabolic mirror with an orthographic lens (Nayar)
- Or buy one a lens from a variety of omnicam manufacturers…
  - See http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~kostas/omni.html

Tilt-shift

http://www.northlight-images.co.uk/article_pages/tilt_and_shift_ts-e.html



Titlt-shift images from [Olivo Barbieri](#)
and Photoshop [imitations](#)

Rotating sensor (or object)



Rollout Photographs © Justin Kerr

http://research.famsi.org/kerrmaya.html

Also known as "cyclographs", "peripheral images"

Photofinish



Results Wind : + 0.7 m/s

Rank	La	Bib Nu	Name		Time	R_time
1.	4	3357	Jones Marion	USA	21.84	0.174
2.	3	1174	Davis-Thompson Pauline	BAH	22.27	0.185
3.	6	3058	Jayasinghe Susanthika	SRI	22.28	0.207
4.	1	2291	McDonald Beverly	JAM	22.35	0.151
5.	5	1178	Ferguson Debbie	BAH	22.37	0.196
6.	7	1111	Gainsford-Taylo Melinda	AUS	22.42	0.178
7.	2	1110	Freeman Cathy	AUS	22.53	0.235
8.	8	3239	Pintusevych Zhanna	UKR	22.66	0.190

Start: 28. 9.2000 19:57:19.033 @414
Print: 28. 9.2000 20:00:54 @417

Scan'O'Vision Color
Race ID: W200FI00

swatch TIMING