1. (20 pts.) (Quadratic Residues)

(a) (8 points). Since *a* is a non-zero quadratic residue mod *N*, there exists $x \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$ such that $x^2 \equiv a \mod N$ (because $a \neq 0$ implies we cannot have x = 0). Notice that we also have $(N-x)^2 \equiv x^2 \mod N \equiv a \mod N$, and also that $(N-x) \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$. We will now show that when *N* is odd, $x \neq N-x$. For if not, then we have x = N-x, so that N = 2x which contradicts the fact that *N* is odd. Thus, we have at least two distinct values for $x \in \{1, 2, ..., N-1\}$ which satisfy $x^2 \equiv a \mod N$.

We will now show that these are the only possible solutions. Consider any other value $y \in \{1, 2, ..., N-1\}$ such that $y^2 \equiv a \mod N$. We then have $x^2 \equiv y^2 \mod N$, so that N divides $x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y)$. Since N is a prime, this implies that N divides at least one of x - y and x + y. We now consider both these cases.

- *N* **divides** x y. If *N* divides x y, then we see that $x \equiv y \mod N$ which implies that x = y, since both *x* and *y* are in the set $\{1, 2, ..., N 1\}$.
- *N* divides x + y. Since both *x* and *y* are in $\{1, 2, ..., N 1\}$, we have 0 < x + y < 2N, so that *N* can divide x + y if and only if x + y = N. But then we have y = N x.

We therefore see that x and N - x are the only possible solutions. This completes the proof.

(b) (6 points). Clearly 0 is a quadratic residue since $0^2 = 0 \mod N$. Now let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, (N-1)/2\}$. Notice that since N is odd, we have |S| = (N-1)/2. From the first part, we know that for an odd prime N, and for $x, z \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$, we have $x^2 \equiv z^2 \mod N$ if and only if either x = z or x + z = N. Since x + z < N for $x, z \in S$, this implies that for two distinct elements x and z in S, we have $x^2 \not\equiv z^2 \mod N$. We therefore conclude that the set $T = \{x^2 | x \in S\}$ is of size |S| = (N-1)/2. Since every element of T is a non-zero quadratic residue, this shows that there are at least (N-1)/2 quadratic residues.

We will now show that all the non-zero quadratic residues are contained in *T*. Consider any non-zero quadratic residue $a \equiv y^2 \mod N$, where $y \in \{1, 2, ..., N-1\}$. If $y \in S$, then $a \in T$, by definition. Otherwise, we have $(N+1)/2 \leq y \leq N-1$, so that $N-y \in S$, and hence $a \equiv (N-y)^2 \mod N$ is again in *T*. Thus, the set *T* contains all the non-zero quadratic residues. We therefore get that the number of all quadratic residues is $|\{0\} \cup T| = 1 + (N-1)/2 = (N+1)/2$, as required.

(c) (6 points). Clearly we cannot take N = 2 or an odd prime. We take N = 8, and notice that for any odd number b, $b^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$. Thus, the equation $x^2 = 1 \mod 8$ has *four* solutions $\{1,3,5,7\}$ in the set $\{0,1,2,\ldots,7\}$.

2. (10 pts.) (These exponents are large, they contain multitudes)

(a) (4 points). We first note the prime factorization of 35: $35 = 5 \times 7$. Thus, an integer *M* is divisible by 35 if and only if *M* is divisible by *both* 5 and 7. We also know from Fermat's

little theorem that for *a* coprime to 5, we have $a^4 \equiv 1 \mod 5$. Using this, we now calculate:

2014²⁰¹⁵ mod
$$5 \equiv 4^{2012+3}$$
 mod $5 \equiv (4^{503})^4 \cdot 4^3$ mod $5 \equiv 1 \cdot 4^3$ mod $5 \equiv 4$ mod 5. (1)

Similarly,

2012²⁰¹³ mod
$$5 \equiv 2^{2012+1} \mod 5 \equiv (2^{503})^4 \cdot 2^1 \mod 5 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \mod 5 \equiv 2 \mod 5.$$
 (2)

Denoting $2014^{2015} - 2012^{2013}$ by *M*, we then combine eqs. (1), (2) to get that $M \equiv 4 - 2 \equiv 2 \mod 5$. Thus, 5 does not divide *M*, and hence 35 does not either.

(b) (6 points). From Fermat's little theorem, we know that if *a* is coprime to 5, we have $a^4 \equiv 1 \mod 5$ (since 5 is a prime). To use this fact, we would like to represent $E := 170^{70}$ as 4s + t, for some positive integer *s* and some $t \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Given such a representation, we would get from Fermat's little that

$$2^E \mod 5 \equiv (2^s)^4 \cdot 2^t \mod 5 \equiv 1 \cdot 2^t \mod 5.$$
(3)

In order to determine $t \equiv E \mod 4$, we note that $E = 170^{70} = (2 \cdot 85)^{2 \cdot 35} = ((2 \cdot 85)^2)^{35}$, so that

$$E \mod 4 \equiv \left((2 \cdot 85)^2 \right)^{35} \mod 4 \equiv \left(4 \cdot 85^2 \right)^{35} \mod 4 \equiv 0 \mod 4.$$

Thus, we get that t = 0. Substituting this in eq. (3), we get that $2^E \equiv 1 \mod 5$, so that the remainder when it is divided by 5 is 1.

3. (10 pts.) (No compromises)

Since *d* is the multiplicative inverse of $e \mod (p-1)(q-1)$, we know that,

$$ed - 1 = 0 \mod (p - 1)(q - 1),$$

which means for some positive integer *k*,

$$ed - 1 = k(p-1)(q-1)$$

$$\implies k = \frac{ed - 1}{(p-1)(q-1)}$$
(4)

Since d < (p-1)(q-1), and e = 3, we get

$$k < \frac{3(p-1)(q-1)-1}{(p-1)(q-1)} < 3 - \frac{1}{(p-1)(q-1)},$$

which implies that $k \in \{1,2\}$. Now, for each $k \in \{1,2\}$ we can use eq. (4), which now has only 2 unknowns (*p* and *q*) in conjunction with equation N = pq, to solve for *p* and *q*. To do this, consider one of the two fixed values of *k*, and substitute N = pq, e = 3 into eq. (4) to obtain the following quadratic equation for *p*:

$$kp^2 - c_kp + kN = 0$$
, where $c_k := k(N+1) - (3d-1)$,

which has the solutions

$$p_k = \frac{c_k \pm \sqrt{c_k^2 - 4k^2N}}{2k}.$$

Using the result of Problem 2, we can efficiently determine if the solutions p_k are integral for a given value of k. Our arguments above show that at least one of the p_k 's will yield the desired non-trivial factors of N.

4. (22 pts.) (Recurrences)

- a) $T(n) = 2T(n/3) + 1 = \Theta(n^{\log_3 2})$ by the Master theorem.
- b) $T(n) = 5T(n/4) + n = \Theta(n^{\log_4 5})$ by the Master theorem.
- c) $T(n) = 7T(n/7) + n = \Theta(n \log_7 n)$ by the Master theorem.
- d) $T(n) = 9T(n/3) + n^2 = \Theta(n^2 \log_3 n)$ by the Master theorem.
- e) $T(n) = 8T(n/2) + n^3 = \Theta(n^3 \log_2 n)$ by the Master theorem.
- f) $T(n) = 49T(n/25) + n^{3/2}\log n = \Theta(n^{3/2}\log n)$. Apply the same reasoning of the proof of the Master Theorem. The contribution of level *i* of the recursion is

$$\left(\frac{49}{25^{3/2}}\right)^{i} n^{3/2} \log\left(\frac{n}{25^{3/2}}\right) = \left(\frac{49}{125}\right)^{i} O(n^{3/2} \log n)$$

Because the corresponding geometric series is dominated by the contribution of the first level, we obtain $T(n) = O(n^{3/2} \log n)$. But, T(n) is clearly $\Omega(n^{3/2} \log n)$. Hence, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{3/2} \log n)$.

- g) $T(n) = T(n-1) + 2 = \Theta(n)$.
- h) $T(n) = T(n-1) + n^c = \sum_{i=0}^n i^c + T(0) = \Theta(n^{c+1}).$
- i) $T(n) = T(n-1) + c^n = \sum_{i=0}^n c^i + T(0) = \frac{c^{n+1}-1}{c-1} + T(0) = \Theta(c^n).$
- j) $T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i + 2^n T(0) = \Theta(2^n).$
- k) $T(n) = T(\sqrt{n}) + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k} 1 + T(b)$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n^{\frac{1}{2^k}}$ is a small constant *b*, i.e. the size of the base case. This implies $k = \Theta(\log \log n)$ and $T(n) = \Theta(\log \log n)$.

5. (12 pts.) (Squaring a Matrix)

a) (4 points).

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\c&d\end{array}\right]^2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc}a^2+bc&b(a+d)\\c(a+d)&bc+d^2\end{array}\right]$$

Hence the 5 multiplications $a^2, d^2, bc, b(a+d)$ and c(a+d) suffice to compute the square.

- b) (4 points). We do get 5 subproblems but they are *not of the same type as the original* problem. Note that we started with a squaring problem for a matrix of size $n \times n$ and three of the 5 subproblems now involve *multiplying* $n/2 \times n/2$ matrices. Hence the recurrence $T(n) = 5T(n/2) + O(n^2)$ does not make sense.
- c) (4 points). Given two $n \times n$ matrices *X* and *Y*, create the $2n \times 2n$ matrix *A*:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It now suffices to compute A^2 , as its upper left block will contain *XY*:

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} XY & 0\\ 0 & XY \end{array} \right]$$

Hence, the product *XY* can be calculated in time O(S(2n)). If $S(n) = O(n^c)$, this is also $O(n^c)$.

6. (26 pts.) (Closest Pair)

- a) (4 points). Suppose 5 or more points in *L* are found in a square of size $d \times d$. Divide the square into 4 smaller squares of size $\frac{d}{2} \times \frac{d}{2}$. At least one pair of points must fall within the same smaller square: these two points will then be at distance at most $\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}} < d$, which contradicts the assumption that every pair of points in *L* is at distance at least *d*.
- b) (10 points). The proof is by induction on the number of points. The algorithm is trivially correct for two points, so we may turn to the inductive step. Suppose we have *n* points and let (p_s, p_t) be the closest pair. There are three cases.

If $p_s, p_t \in L$, then $(p_s, p_t) = (p_L, q_L)$ by the inductive hypothesis and all the other pairs tested by the algorithm are at a larger distance apart, so the algorithm will correctly output (p_s, p_t) . The same reasoning holds if $p_s, p_t \in R$.

If $p_s \in L$ and $p_t \in R$, the algorithm will be correct as long as it tests the distance between p_s and p_t . Because p_s and p_t are at distance smaller than d, they will belong to the strip of points with *x*-coordinate in [x-d,x+d]. Suppose that $y_s \leq y_t$. A symmetric construction applies in the other case. Consider the rectangle *S* with vertices $(x-d,y_s), (x-d,y_s+d), (x+d,y_s+d), (x+d,y_s+d), (x+d,y_s)$. Notice that both p_s and p_t must be contained in *S*. Moreover, the intersection of *S* with *L* is a square of size $d \times d$, which, by a), can contain at most 4 points, including p_s . Similarly, the intersection of *S* with *R* can also contain at most 4 points, following p_s in the *y*-sorted list of points in the middle strip, it will check the distance between p_s and all the points of *S*. In particular, it will check the distance between p_s and p_t , as required for the correctness of the algorithm.

c) (8 points). When called on input of *n* points this algorithm first computes the median *x* value in O(n) and then splits the list of points into those belonging to *L* and *R*, which also takes time O(n). Then the algorithm can recurse on these two subproblems, each over n/2 points. Once these have been solved the algorithm sorts the points in the middle strip by *y* coordinate, which takes time $O(n \log n)$ and then computes O(n) distances, each of which can be calculated in constant time. Hence the running time is given by the recursion $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n \log n)$. This can be analyzed as in the proof of the Master theorem. The *k*th level of the recursion tree will contribute $t_k = 2^k \frac{n}{2^k} (\log n - k)$. Hence, the total running time will be:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\log n} t_k = n \log^2 n - n \sum_{k=0}^{\log n} k \le n \log^2 n - \frac{n}{2} \log^2 n = O(n \log^2 n)$$

d) (4 points). We can save some time by sorting the points by *y*-coordinate only once and making sure that the split routine is implemented as not to modify the order by *y* when splitting by *x*. Sorting takes time $O(n \log n)$, while the time required by the remaining of the algorithm is now described by the recurrence $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$, which yields $T(n) = O(n \log n)$. Hence, the overall running time is $O(n \log n)$.