# CS 3630 Introduction to Robotics and Perception Frank Dellaert

# INTRODUCTION

- Map-based Navigation
  - human-like
  - requires a map
- Reactive Navigation
  - Elsie
  - Roomba



# BRAITENBERGVEHICLES



- Love-Hate
- Simple Connections
- No (explicit) plan



## RVC BRAITNAV



- 1 function sensor = sensorfield(x, y) 2 xc = 60; yc = 90;3  $sensor = 200./((x-xc).^2 + (y-yc))$ 
  - $sensor = 200./((x-xc).^2 + (y-yc).^2 + 200);$

$$\bullet v = 2 - (s_r + s_l)$$

- g = k(s<sub>r</sub> s<sub>l</sub>)
- How to do this with Scribbler?
- Elsie had 4 behaviors (touch)

## RVC BRAITNAV



- $v = 2 (s_r + s_l)$
- g = k(s<sub>r</sub> s<sub>l</sub>)
- How to do this with Scribbler?
- Elsie had 4 behaviors (touch)





#### Rodney Brooks



### SUBSUMPTION ARCHITECTURE





#### Rodney Brooks



### SUBSUMPTION ARCHITECTURE



#### Rodney Brooks



Sensors	perception modelling planning task execution motor control	Actuators
	reason about behavior of objects	
	plan changes to the world	
	identify objects	-
	monitor changes	
Sensors	build maps	Actuators
	explore	
	wander	-
	avoid objects	-

### SUBSUMPTION ARCHITECTURE

- Assumptions:
  - Simple control, complex behavior
  - Relative, not absolute
  - Real world
  - Robust

- Assumptions:
  - Simple control, complex behavior
  - Relative, not absolute
  - Real world
  - Robust
     Subsur





- Assumptions:
  - Simple control, complex behavior
  - Relative, not absolute
  - Real world
  - Robust Subsu



- Assumptions:
  - Simple control, complex behavior
  - Relative, not absolute
  - Real world
  - Robust



- Assumptions:
  - Simple control, complex behavior
  - Relative, not absolute
  - Real world
  - Robust



- Assumptions:
  - Simple control, complex behavior
  - Relative, not absolute
  - Real world
  - Robust



# SUBSUMPTION





- Inputs can be suppressed
- Outputs can be inhibited

# SUBSUMPTION





- Inputs can be suppressed
- Outputs can be inhibited

# SUBSUMPTION



- Inputs can be suppressed
- Outputs can be inhibited



# SIMPLE AUTOMATA

- Bug Algorithms
- Bug2 Demo:
  - straight line
  - go around obstacles CCW
- Suboptimal !



load map1 bug = Bug2(map); bug.goal = [50; 35]; bug.path([20; 10]);

# FINITE STATE MACHINES



- States
- Transitions
  - Events



http://oddwiring.com/archive/websites/mndev/MSB/GD100/fsm.htm

## MAZE RUNNER



Andrew Explains

# MAP-BASED PLANNING

- Maps:
  - Polygons
  - Occupancy Grid
- Shortest Path
  - Dijkstra
  - Distance Transform



# MAP REPRESENTATIONS

- Polygons
  - vertices edges
  - Very compact
- Occupancy Grid
  - Memory ~ area
  - Quite doable nowadays





Navigation superclass. The examples in this chapter are all based on classes derived from the Navigation class which is designed for 2D grid-based navigation. Each example consists of essentially the following pattern. Firstly we create an instance of an object derived from the Navigation class by calling the class constructor.

```
>> nav = MyNavClass(world)
```

which is passed the occupancy grid. Then a plan to reach the goal is computed

```
>> nav.plan(goal)
```

The plan can be visualized by

```
>> nav.plot()
```

and a path from an initial position to the goal is computed by

>> p = nav.path(start)
>> p = nav.path()

where p is the path, a sequence of points from start to goal, one row per point, and each row comprises the x- and y-coordinate. If start is not specified, as in the second example, the user is prompted to interactively click the start point. If no output argument is provided an animation of the robot's motion is displayed.

# NAVIGATION RVC CLASS

## DISTANCETRANSFORM



dx.plan([50;35]);

dx.plot();

dx.path([20; 10]);

dx.plan(goal, 0.1);

## DISTANCETRANSFORM



dx.plan(goal, 0.1);

## DISTANCETRANSFORM



• Rolling downhill!

Next: excerpts from...

Real-Time Planning in Dynamic and Partially Known Domains





Maxim Likhachev University of Pennsylvania maximl@seas.upenn.edu

Sven Koenig University of Southern California skoenig@usc.edu

#### Real-time Planning in Dynamic and Maxim Partially-known Domains

#### Challenges

- □ complexity/size (high-dim., expensive to compute costs, etc.)
- □ severe time constraints (e.g., tens of msecs to few seconds)
- □ robustness to uncertainties in execution, sensing, environment

planning in 4D (<x,y,orientation,velocity>) using Anytime D\*



## **Discretizing Configuration Space**



#### A\* f(x) = g(x) + h(x) estimated = cost-so-far + heuristic est.

(Forward) A\*

- 1. Create a search tree that contains only the start.
- 2. Pick a generated but not yet expanded state s with the smallest f-value.
- 3. If state s is the goal then stop.
- 4. Expand state s.
- 5. Go to 2.

**A**\*

- A\* [Hart, Nilsson and Raphael, 1968] uses user-supplied hvalues to focus its search.
- The h-values approximate the goal distances.

We always assume that the h-values are consistent!

The h-values h(s) are consistent iff they satisfy the triangle inequality: h(s) = 0 if s is the goal and h(s) ≤ c(s,a) + h(succ(s,a)) otherwise.



**A\*** 

#### Search problem with uniform cost



**A**\*

#### Possible consistent h-values

7	6	5	4	3	2	5	4	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	4	3	2	1	0	5	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Manhattan Distance

Octile Distance

Zero h-values

more informed (dominating)

order of expansions



First iteration of A\*

\*



g-values

+

h-values =

f-values

cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

order of expansions



Second iteration of A\* 



+

cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

4-neighbor grid

\*

order of expansions



Third iteration of A\*



cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

4-neighbor grid

\*

order of expansions



Fourth iteration of A\*



+

cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

4-neighbor grid

\*

order of expansions



f-values

Fifth iteration of A\*

\*



h-values

+

cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

g-values

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

order of expansions



Sixth iteration of A\*

\*



cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

order of expansions





#### Seventh and last iteration of A\*

+



g-values

h-values

f-values

cost of the shortest path in the search tree from the start to the given state

generated but not expanded state (OPEN list) expanded state (CLOSED list)

#### **A**\*

5	4	3	2	2	2
5	4	3	2	1	1
5	4	3	2	1	0
5	4	3	2	1	1

Uniform-cost search Breadth-first search

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

Manhattan Distance

#### Octile Distance

Zero h-values





	18	13	8	14	19
17	12	7	4	9	15
11	6	3	1		(20)
16	10	5	2		

more informed (dominating)

## Incremental Heuristic Search

search task 1	slightly different search task 2	slightly different search task 3

Sven

## Incremental Heuristic Search

- Incremental heuristic search speeds up A\* searches for a sequence of similar search problems by exploiting experience with earlier search problems in the sequence. It finds shortest paths.
- In the worst case, incremental heuristic search cannot be more efficient than A\* searches from scratch [Nebel and Koehler 1995].

planning time per expansion increases

**Incremental Heuristic Search** 

- □ Fringe Saving A\* (FSA\*)
- $\Box$  Adaptive A\* (AA\*)
- number of expansions decreases Lifelong Planning A\* (LPA\*), D\* Lite and Minimax LPA
- Comparison of D\* Lite and Adaptive A\*
- Eager and Lazy Moving-Target Adaptive A\* (MTAA\*)
- Anytime Replanning A\* (ARA\*)
- □ Anytime D\*

## D\* Lite

goal distance

Sven

5	4	3	3	3	3
5	4	3	2	2	2
5	4	3	2	1	1
5	4	3	2	1	0

Γ	5	4	3	3	3	3
	5	4	3	2	2	2
	5	4		2	1	1
	5	4	3	2	1	



• • •





# D\* Lite

#### Random grids of size 129 x 129

	replanning time
uninformed search from scratch	296.0 ms
heuristic search from scratch	10.5 ms
incremental uninformed search	6.1 ms
incremental heuristic search	
D* [Stentz, 1995] D* was probably the first true incremental heuristic search algorithm, way ahead of its time.	4.2 ms
D* Lite	2.7 ms

speed-up 110x

# ROADMAP METHODS

- Do some setup work
- Make queries cheap
- Two methods:
  - Voronoi Roadmap
  - PRM



# PROBABILISTIC ROADMAPS



Lydia E. Kavraki

- Randomly sample points
- Connect if no obstacle
- Plan using graph-search
- Disadvantages?



randinit
prm = PRM(map)
prm.plan()
prm.plot()
prm.path([20;10],[50;35]);

http://www.youtube.com/watch? v=SG6BImrHGk4

# PROBABILISTIC ROADMAPS



Lydia E. Kavraki

- Randomly sample points
- Connect if no obstacle
- Plan using graph-search
- Disadvantages?



randinit
prm = PRM(map)
prm.plan()
prm.plot()
prm.path([20;10],[50;35]);

http://www.youtube.com/watch? v=SG6BImrHGk4

# RRT

- Rapidly-exploring Random Tree
- Uses exact kinematic model
- Start with initial pose  $\xi_0$
- •At each time:
  - pick random pose  $\xi_{rand}$
  - •find nearest pose  $\xi_{near}$
  - move towards it for
     fixed time, reaching ξ<sub>new</sub>



see also http://msl.cs.uiuc.edu/rrt/gallery.html

#### RRT\*



• Rewires the tree if shorter paths can be found