

### **Decorator Pattern**



# Objectives

- Definition
- Why
- How
- Design Considerations



# Definition

"Attach additional responsibilities to an object dynamically. Decorators provide a flexible alternative to subclassing for extended functionality."

-Gang of Four



# Definition

- Name "Decorator"
  - Extended behavior or functionality build upon some existing substructure
- Intent
  - Dynamically add behavior to an object
    - Inheritance adds behavior statically once the particular subclass is instantiated, the behavior is fixed







 As we've seen before, subclassing can lead to classes with low cohesion, and very large class hierarchies.





- Sometimes, subclassing makes sense
  - One type of object may be a specialization of another
  - Still can lead to large hierarchies
    - Window, ScrollWindow, FancyBorderWindow, FancyBorderScrollWindow...











Boulder

• In this case, the core window remains unchanged, but we're *adding* specific details



 Rather than creating several subclasses, it'd be preferable to have some common interface, and be able to compose what we want, where every piece follows the same interface



myWindow.draw() → fancyFrame.draw() → scrollBar.draw() → basicFrame.draw()







# **Decorator Pattern - Participants**

## Component

Defines the interface for objects that can have responsibilities added dynamically

## ConcreteComponent

 Defines an object to which additional responsibilities can be attached

### Decorator

 Maintains a reference to a Component object and defined an interface that conforms to Component's interface

## ConcreteDecorator

- Adds responsibilities to the component



## **Decorator Pattern - Structure**





## **Decorator Pattern - Structure**





## **Decorator Pattern – Example**



# Decorator Pattern – Example

#### **Component**

```
public abstract class Window {
   public abstract void draw();
}
```

#### **ConcreteComponent**

ConcreteDecorator

```
public class PlainWindow extends Window {
   public void draw() { ... };
}
```

#### **Decorator**

```
public abstract class Decorator extends Component {
   private Window baseWindow;
   public void draw() { baseWindow.draw(); }
}
```

#### **ConcreteDecorator**

```
public class ScrollBar extends Decorator public class FancyBorder extends
{
    public void draw() {
        super.draw();
        // Extra drawing
    }
    public void scroll() {
        // added behavior
    }
}
```



# **Decorator Example**

 Can create a base instance of some plain window

```
Window myWindow = new PlainWindow();
```

### • Then, add some decoration

Window oldWindow = myWindow; Window myWindow = new FancyBorder(); myWindow.baseWindow = oldWindow;

## • Modify the window to use another decoration

Window oldWindow = myWindow.baseWindow; Window myWindow = new ScrollBar(); myWindow.baseWindow = oldWindow;



## **Design Considerations**



# Consequences

- More flexible than static inheritance
  - Add responsibilities to an object by wrapping it in a decorator, vs. creating a new class for each added responsibility
- Avoid feature-laden classes
  - Create objects consisting of only the decorations you need, not objects with several inherited features, only some of which you use
- Decorators and components are not identical
  - A decorator is a different object, not a modification of the same object (e.g., as through delegation). Hence, don't rely on object identity with decorators
- Lots of little moving parts
  - Very flexible system, but hard to learn and debug



# Implementation Considerations

- Interface conformance
  - All Decorator and Component classes must share the same interface, though decorators can add to this interface
- Omitting Decorator abstract class
  - If only adding one responsibility, Decorator and ConcreteDecorator can be merged. Let ConcreteDecorator call Operation() on its component
- Keep Component lightweight
  - Decorator inherits from Component. If Component stores lots of data, then <u>every</u> decorator also stores this data, resulting in lots of memory being used
  - Component should just define a lightweight interface, not many implementation details



## Pattern Comparison

	Decorator	Composite	Proxy
Recursive Composition	<b>YES</b> , but intent is to provide a means of adding responsibility in a recursive manner	YES, intent is to provide a recursive representation to a composed object where parts can be treated in the same manner as a whole	<b>NO,</b> proxy is usually a single object used to provide indirect access to another object
Provides a level of indirection to an object	<b>YES</b> , the intent is to <i>add</i> behavior to the base object	<b>NO</b> , the composed object can be accessed directly	<b>YES,</b> the intent is to act as a <i>stand-in</i> to the base object
Common interface	YES, common interface allows for behavior to be attached or detached dynamically	YES, common interface allows for clients to interact with any part of the composed object in a common way	YES, common interface allows proxy to be used as base object, but <i>intercept</i> and handle messages



# **Further Reading**



### Design Patterns

pp. 175 - 184

### Design Patterns Explained

Chapter 17 pp. 297-310



DESIGN PATTERNS



CSCI-4448 Boese

\*