Math 312: Lecture 5

John Roe

Penn State University

January 24, 2014





Homework 1 (late due date, 20 percent penalty) is due today. Remember, homework must be *stapled with a cover-sheet*.



Mathematical Induction

Mathematical induction is a special technique for proving a "for all statement"

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$

where the quantification is over the *natural numbers* $(\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}.)$ It says that if we can prove

- P(1) (the "base case"), and
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \ (P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1))$ (the "induction step")

then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$ will follow.



Think of the various propositions P(1), P(2), P(3) and so on as being like a row of dominoes.



If we can knock over the first domino (the base case, P(1))... and if each domino knocks over the next one (the induction step, $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$)... then all the dominoes must fall ($\forall n \in N P(n)$).



Formal statement

Kind of Statement	Strategies to Trans- form (when state-	Strategies to Infer (when statement is
	ment is a <i>goal</i>)	a <i>given</i>)
Universal state- ment for all nat- ural numbers, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$	Proof by induc- tion: Prove $P(1)$ and also prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ P(n) \Rightarrow$ P(n+1)	



An example: Fermat numbers

Definition

The *Fermat number* $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$.

n	2 ⁿ	$F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$
0	1	3
1	2	5
2	4	17
3	8	257
4	16	65537

Notice $F_0F_1 = 3 \times 5 = 15 = F_2 - 2$, $F_0F_1F_2 = 3 \times 5 \times 17 = 255 = F_3 - 2$, $F_0F_1F_2F_3 = 3 \times 5 \times 17 \times 257 = 65535 = F_4 - 2$. Will this pattern continue?



1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$

13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$, as required. \Box



- 1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$
- 2: We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$

12: **By mathematical induction**, this completes the proof that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$, as required. \Box



- 1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$
- 2: We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$
- 3: **Base case:** We must prove $F_0 \cdots F_1 = F_{1+1} 2$
- 4: This is clear: $3 \times 5 = 15 = 17 2$.

- 12: **By mathematical induction**, this completes the proof that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$,
 - 3: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$, as required. \Box



- 1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$
- 2: We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$
- 3: **Base case:** We must prove $F_0 \cdots F_1 = F_{1+1} 2$
- 4: This is clear: $3 \times 5 = 15 = 17 2$.
- 5: **Induction step:** We must show that if $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} C_k$

2, then
$$F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$$

Suppose that
$$F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$$

6

- 11: Thus $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} 2$. We conclude that $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} 2$ implies $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} 2$ 12: By mathematical induction, this completes the proof that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$
- 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$, as required. \Box



- 1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$
- 2: We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$
- 3: **Base case:** We must prove $F_0 \cdots F_1 = F_{1+1} 2$
- 4: This is clear: $3 \times 5 = 15 = 17 2$.
- 5: **Induction step:** We must show that if $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1}$ -

2, then
$$F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$$

- 6: **Suppose that** $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} 2$
- 7: By the inductive hypothesis and the definition of Fermat numbers, $F_0 \cdots F_k = 2^{2^{k+1}} 1$.

11: Thus $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$. We conclude that $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ implies $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ 12: By mathematical induction, this completes the proof that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$, as required. \Box



1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all 2: natural numbers $n \ge 1$ **Base case:** We must prove $F_0 \cdots F_1 = F_{1+1} - 2$ 3: This is clear: $3 \times 5 = 15 = 17 - 2$. 4: 5: **Induction step:** We must show that if $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1}$ 2, then $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ Suppose that $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ 6: 7: By the inductive hypothesis and the definition of Fermat numbers, $F_0 \cdots F_k = 2^{2^{k+1}} - 1$. Then $F_0 \cdots F_{k+1} = (F_0 \cdots F_k) \cdot F_{k+1} =$ 8:

11: **Thus** $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$. **We conclude that** $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ **implies** $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ 12: **By mathematical induction**, this completes the proof that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$, as required. \Box



- 1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} 2$ We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all 2: natural numbers $n \ge 1$ **Base case:** We must prove $F_0 \cdots F_1 = F_{1+1} - 2$ 3: This is clear: $3 \times 5 = 15 = 17 - 2$. 4: 5: **Induction step:** We must show that if $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - F_{k+1}$ 2, then $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ Suppose that $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ 6: 7: By the inductive hypothesis and the definition of Fermat numbers. $F_0 \cdots F_k = 2^{2^{k+1}} - 1$. Then $F_0 \cdots F_{k+1} = (F_0 \cdots F_k) \cdot F_{k+1} =$ 8: $=(2^{2^{k+1}}-1)(2^{2^{k+1}}+1)=\left(2^{2^{k+1}}\right)^2-1=$ 9:
- 11: **Thus** $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} 2$. **We conclude that** $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ **implies** $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ 12: **By mathematical induction**, this completes the proof that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers $n, F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$, as required. \Box



1: **Theorem:** For all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ We will prove by induction that $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all 2: natural numbers $n \ge 1$ **Base case:** We must prove $F_0 \cdots F_1 = F_{1+1} - 2$ 3: This is clear: $3 \times 5 = 15 = 17 - 2$. 4: **Induction step:** We must show that if $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1}$ 5: 2, then $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ Suppose that $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ 6: By the inductive hypothesis and the definition of 7: Fermat numbers, $F_0 \cdots F_k = 2^{2^{k+1}} - 1$. Then $F_0 \cdots F_{k+1} = (F_0 \cdots F_k) \cdot F_{k+1} =$ 8: $=(2^{2^{k+1}}-1)(2^{2^{k+1}}+1)=(2^{2^{k+1}})^2-1=$ 9: $=2^{2^{k+2}}-1=F_{k+2}-2.$ 10: 11: Thus $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$. We conclude that $F_0 \cdots F_k = F_{k+1} - 2$ implies $F_0 \cdots F_{(k+1)} = F_{(k+1)+1} - 2$ By mathematical induction, this completes the proof that 12: $F_0 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ 13: Thus we have shown for all natural numbers n, $F_0F_1 \cdots F_n = F_{n+1} - 2$, as required.

Primes and Fermat numbers

Fermat guessed that all the F_n were prime. F_0 through F_4 are indeed prime, but

$$F_5 = 4294967297 = 6700417 \times 641.$$

However, one does have

Theorem

No two different Fermat numbers have a prime factor in common.

Since there are infinitely many Fermat numbers, and each has at least one prime factor that doesn't belong to any of the others, this shows that there are infinitely many primes.



1: Theorem: No two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor

9: Thus we have shown no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor, as required. \square



- 1: Theorem: No two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor
- 2: **Assume, seeking a contradiction** that the statement "no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor" is **false**.

- 8: **This contradiction shows that our assumption was false** and thus that no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor.
- 9: Thus we have shown no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor, as required. \square



- 1: Theorem: No two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor
- 2: **Assume, seeking a contradiction** that the statement "no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor" is **false**.
- 3: Then there is a prime *p* that divides both F_m and F_{m+k} , for some natural numbers *m*, *k*.
- 4: Notice that *p* must be odd, since all the Fermat numbers are odd.
- 8: **This contradiction shows that our assumption was false** and thus that no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor.
- 9: Thus we have shown no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor, as required. \square



- 1: Theorem: No two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor
- 2: **Assume, seeking a contradiction** that the statement "no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor" is **false**.
- 3: Then there is a prime *p* that divides both F_m and F_{m+k} , for some natural numbers *m*, *k*.
- 4: Notice that *p* must be odd, since all the Fermat numbers are odd.
- 5: Since *p* divides F_m , it also divides the product $F_1 \cdots F_{m+k-1}$, which equals $F_{m+k} 2$ by our previous theorem.
- 8: **This contradiction shows that our assumption was false** and thus that no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor.
- 9: Thus we have shown no two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor, as required. \square



1: 1	Theorem: No two Fermat numbers have a common prime factor
2:	Assume, seeking a contradiction that the statement "no two
	Fermat numbers have a common prime factor" is false.
3:	Then there is a prime <i>p</i> that divides both F_m and F_{m+k} ,
	for some natural numbers <i>m</i> , <i>k</i> .
4:	Notice that <i>p</i> must be odd, since all the Fermat numbers
	are odd.
5:	Since p divides F_m , it also divides the product
	$F_1 \cdots F_{m+k-1}$, which equals $F_{m+k} - 2$ by our previous
	theorem.
6:	Since p divides F_{m+k} and $F_{m+k} - 2$, it divides 2.
7:	But this is a contradiction: no odd prime divides 2.
8:	This contradiction shows that our assumption was false
	and thus that no two Fermat numbers have a common prime
	factor.
q	Thus we have shown no two Fermat numbers have a common prime

factor, as required. \Box

