Computational Physics

Solving Linear Systems of Equations

Lectures based on course notes by Pablo Laguna and Kostas Kokkotas

revamped by Deirdre Shoemaker

Spring 2014

Introduction

In many instances we need to solve $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1N} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2N} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3N} \\ & & & \vdots & \\ a_{N1} & a_{N2} & a_{N3} & \cdots & a_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ b_N \end{pmatrix}$$

This requires

- Finding A⁻¹, the inverse of the matrix
- Computing the determinant of a matrix A
- The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix A. That is,
 A · v = λ v,

Gauss Elimination Method

Consider $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ with \mathbf{A} a $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ matrix with $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$. That is,

$$a_{11}X_1 + a_{12}X_2 + a_{13}X_3 + \dots + a_{1N}X_N = b_1$$

$$a_{21}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + a_{23}X_3 + \dots + a_{2N}X_N = b_2$$

$$a_{31}X_1 + a_{32}X_2 + a_{33}X_3 + \dots + a_{3N}X_N = b_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{N1}X_1 + a_{N2}X_2 + a_{N3}X_3 + \dots + a_{NN}X_N = b_N$$

We will try to transform it into an upper-triangular linear system.

Given

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1N} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2N} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3N} \\ & & & \vdots & & \\ a_{N1} & a_{N2} & a_{N3} & \cdots & a_{NN} \end{pmatrix}$$

construct

$$\mathbf{M}_1 = \left(egin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ -rac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ -rac{a_{31}}{a_{11}} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \ & & & dots \ -rac{a_{N1}}{a_{11}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

and compute $\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{b}$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1N} \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}a_{12} & a_{23} - \frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}a_{13} & \cdots & a_{2N} - \frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}a_{1N} \\ 0 & a_{32} - \frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}a_{12} & a_{33} - \frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}a_{13} & \cdots & a_{3N} - \frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}a_{1N} \\ & & & \vdots & \\ 0 & a_{N2} - \frac{a_{N1}}{a_{11}}a_{12} & a_{N3} - \frac{a_{N1}}{a_{11}}a_{13} & \cdots & a_{NN} - \frac{a_{N1}}{a_{11}}a_{1N} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1N} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} & a_{23}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2N}^{(1)} \\ 0 & a_{32}^{(1)} & a_{33}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{3N}^{(1)} \\ & & & \vdots & \\ 0 & a_{N2}^{(1)} & a_{N3}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{NN}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} - \frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}b_{1} \\ b_{3} - \frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{N} - \frac{a_{N1}}{a_{11}}b_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1}^{(1)} \\ b_{2}^{(1)} \\ b_{3}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ b_{N}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The procedure can be repited to eliminate now a_{32} ⁽¹⁾

Gauss Method

After N-1 steps we get the the desired upper-triangular system:

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3 + \dots + a_{1N}x_N = b_1$$

$$a_{22}^{(1)}x_2 + a_{23}^{(1)}x_3 + \dots + a_{2N}^{(1)}x_N = b_2^{(1)}$$

$$a_{33}^{(2)}x_3 + \dots + a_{3N}^{(2)}x_N = b_3^{(2)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{NN}^{(N-1)}x_N = b_N^{(N-1)}$$

The Nth (last) equation above yields:

$$x_N = rac{b_N^{(N-1)}}{a_{NN}^{(N-1)}} \quad ext{for} \quad a_{NN}^{(N-1)}
eq 0$$

while the rest of the values can be calculated via the relation:

$$x_i = rac{b_i^{(i-1)} - \sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{N} a_{ik}^{(i-1)} x_k}{a_{ii}^{(i-1)}} \quad ext{for} \quad a_{ii}^{(i-1)}
eq 0$$

- The number of arithmetic operations needed is $(4N^3 + 9N^2 7N)/6$.
- If a matrix is transformed into an upper-triangular or lower-triangular or diagonal form then the determinant is simply

$$\det \mathbf{A} = a_{11} \cdot a_{22} \cdot a_{33} \cdots a_{NN} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} a_{ii}$$

Pivoting

Notice that there is trouble when $a_{ii}^{(i-1)} = 0$

$$x_i = rac{b_i^{(i-1)} - \sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{N} a_{ik}^{(i-1)} x_k}{a_{ii}^{(i-1)}} \quad ext{for} \quad a_{ii}^{(i-1)}
eq 0$$

The number a_{ii} in the position (i, i) that is used to eliminate x_i in rows i + 1, i + 2, ..., N is called the *i*th **pivotal element** and the *i*th row is called the **pivotal row**.

If $a_{ii}^{(i)} = 0$, row *i* cannot be used to eliminate, the elements in column *i* below the diagonal. It is neccesary to find a row *j*, where $a_{ji}^{(i)} \neq 0$ and j > i and then interchange row *i* and *j* so that a nonzero pivot element is obtained.

The Jacobi Method

Any system of *N* linear equations with *N* unknowns can be written in the form:

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) = 0$$

 $f_2(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) = 0$
....
 $f_n(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) = 0$

One can always rewrite the system in the form $x_i = g_i(x_i)$; that is,

$$x_1 = g_1(x_2, x_3, ..., x_N)$$

$$x_2 = g_2(x_1, x_3, ..., x_N)$$
...
$$x_N = g_N(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{N-1})$$

or

$$x_i = \frac{b_i}{a_{ii}} - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{i=1}^N a_{ij} x_j$$

Therefore, by giving *N* initial guesses $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_N^{(0)}$, we create the recurrence relation

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = g_i(x_1^{(k)}, ..., x_N^{(k)})$$

$$= \frac{b_i}{a_{ii}} - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)}$$

which will converge to the solution of the system if:

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} |a_{ij}|$$
 (Diagonal dominat)

independent on the choice of the initial values $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_N^{(0)}$. The recurrence relation can be written in a matrix form as:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{D}^{-1}\,\mathbf{C}\,\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{C}$ with $\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{A})$ and \mathbf{C} all the rest.

Consider the following example

$$4x - y + z = 7$$

$$4x - 8y + z = -21$$

$$-2x + y + 5z = 15$$

with solutions x = 2, y = 4, z = 3. Construct the recurrence relationships

$$x^{(k+1)} = (7 + y^{(k)} - z^{(k)})/4$$

$$y^{(k+1)} = (21 + 4x^{(k)} + z^{(k)})/8$$

$$z^{(k+1)} = (15 + 2x^{(k)} - y^{(k)})/5$$

Starting with (1,2,2), one gets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1,2,2) & \rightarrow (1.75,3.375,3) & \rightarrow (1.844,3.875,3.025) \\ \rightarrow (1.963,3.925,2.963) & \rightarrow (1.991,3.977,3.0) & \rightarrow (1.994,3.995,3.001) \end{array}$$

I.e. with 5 iterations we reached the solution with 3 digits accuracy.

Gauss - Seidel Method

Recall the Jacobi method

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

• With $(x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, x_3^{(0)}, ..., x_N^{(0)})$,

$$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(b_1 \sum_{j=2}^N a_{1j} x_j^{(0)} \right)$$

• Next with $(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(0)}, x_3^{(0)}, ..., x_N^{(0)})$,

$$x_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left(b_2 - a_{21} x^{(1)} - \sum_{j=3}^N a_{3j} x_j^{(0)} \right)$$

• Next with $(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(0)}, ..., x_N^{(0)})$, and so on.

Recurrence relation:

$$x_{1}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(b_{1} - \sum_{j=2}^{N} a_{1j} x_{j}^{(k)} \right)$$

$$x_{2}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left(b_{2} - a_{21} x_{1}^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=3}^{N} a_{2j} x_{j}^{(k)} \right)$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_{i}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_{j}^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} a_{ij} x_{j}^{(k)} \right)$$

The method will converge if:

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} |a_{ij}|$$

This procedure in a "matrix form" is:

$$x^{(k+1)} = D^{-1} \left[B \ - \ L x^{(k+1)} - U x^{(k)} \right]$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{U}$$
 $lower + diagonal + upper$

The matrix **L** has the elements of below the diagonal **A**, the matrix **D** only the diagonal elements of **A** and finally the matrix **U** the elements of matrix **A** over the diagonal.

The recurrence relation for the previous example becomes in this case

$$x^{(k+1)} = \frac{7 + y^{(k)} - z^{(k)}}{4}$$

$$y^{(k+1)} = \frac{21 + 4x^{(k+1)} + z^{(k)}}{8}$$

$$z^{(k+1)} = \frac{15 + 2x^{(k+1)} - y^{(k+1)}}{5}$$

leading to the following sequence of approximate solutions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1,2,2) & \rightarrow & (1.75,3.75,2,95) \\ & \rightarrow & (1.95,3.97,2.99) \\ & \rightarrow & (1.996,3.996,2.999) \end{array}$$

i.e. here we need only 3 iterations to arrive to the same accuracy of solutions as with Jacobi's method which needed 5 iterations.

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Given a matrix A, if there exist a scalar λ and vector u such that

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u}$$

 λ is called an eigenvalue of the matrix **A** and **u** the corresponding eigenvector.

Example:

in which $\mathbf{u}^{(1)} = (2, 1, -2)^{\top}$ is the e-vector and $\lambda_1 = -1$ the corresponding e-value of \mathbf{A} .

Equation $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u}$ is equivalent to $\det (\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$. That is

$$\det \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 - \lambda & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 3 - \lambda & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 - \lambda \end{array} \right| = \lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 9 = 0$$

and the e-values will be the roots of the characteristic polynomial (here $\lambda_i = -1$, 3 and 3).

Given a matrix A, such that

$$\mathbf{A}\,\mathbf{u}^{(i)} = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}^{(i)}\,, \qquad (1 \leq i \leq N)$$

there will always be a dominant e-value λ_1 . That is,

$$|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2| \ge |\lambda_3| \ge \ldots \ge |\lambda_N|$$

In addition, any vector \vec{x} can be written as a linear combination of the N e-vectors $\{\mathbf{u}^{(1)}, \mathbf{u}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}^{(N)}\}$. That is,

$$\mathbf{x} = a_1 \mathbf{u}^{(1)} + a_2 \mathbf{u}^{(2)} + \cdots + a_N \mathbf{u}^{(N)}$$

Consider

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \equiv \mathbf{A}^k \cdot \mathbf{x} = a_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{u}^{(1)} + a_2 \lambda_2^k \mathbf{u}^{(2)} + \dots + a_N \lambda_N^k \mathbf{u}^{(N)}$$
$$= \lambda_1^k \left[a_1 \mathbf{u}^{(1)} + a_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \mathbf{u}^{(2)} + \dots + a_N \left(\frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \right]$$

Since λ_1 is the absolute largest e-value, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\lambda_j/\lambda_1\right)^k = 0$. Thus, for a large enough k, we have that

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{A}^k \cdot \mathbf{x} \approx \lambda_1^k a_1 \mathbf{u}^{(1)}$$

and in particular

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \lambda_1 \, \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$$

Therefore, for each component of the vectors $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$, we have that

$$\frac{[\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}]_a}{[\mathbf{x}^{(k)}]_a} = \lambda_1$$

Example:

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right)$$

with e-values:

$$\lambda_1 = 3.41421356$$
 $\lambda_2 = 2$
 $\lambda_3 = 0.585786$

and corresponding e-vectors:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \boldsymbol{u}^{(1)} & = & (0.3694,\,1,\,0.8918)^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}^{(2)} & = & (0,\,1,\,0)^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}^{(3)} & = & (0.7735,\,1,\,-0.3204)^\top \end{array}$$

Set $\mathbf{x} = (1, 2, 1)^{\mathsf{T}}$, and multiply it with the 5th and 6th power of \mathbf{A}

$$\textbf{A}^5 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 68 & 0 & 164 \\ 136 & 32 & 428 \\ 164 & 0 & 396 \end{array}\right) \quad \textbf{A}^6 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 232 & 0 & 560 \\ 532 & 64 & 1484 \\ 560 & 0 & 1352 \end{array}\right)$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{x}^{(5)} = \mathbf{A}^5 \cdot \mathbf{x} = (232, 628, 560)^{\top}$$

 $\mathbf{x}^{(6)} = \mathbf{A}^6 \cdot \mathbf{x} = (792, 2144, 1912)^{\top}$

which yields

$$\lambda_1 pprox rac{[\mathbf{x}^{(6)}]_a}{[\mathbf{x}^{(5)}]_a} = rac{792}{232} pprox rac{2144}{628} pprox rac{1912}{560} pprox 3.414286$$