Relational Query Languages

Universidad de Concepción, 2014

(Slides adapted from Loreto Bravo, who adapted from Werner Nutt who adapted them from Thomas Eiter and Leonid Libkin)

Bases de Datos II

Databases

A database is

- a collection of structured data
- along with a set of access and control mechanisms

We deal with them every day:

- back end of Web sites
- telephone billing
- bank account information
- e-commerce
- airline reservation systems, store inventories, library catalogs, ...

2

3

Data Models: Ingredients

- Formalisms to represent information (schemas and their instances), e.g.,
 - relations containing tuples of values
 - trees with labeled nodes, where leaves contain values
 - collections of triples (subject, predicate, object)
- Languages to query represented information, e.g.,
 - relational algebra, first-order logic, Datalog, Datalog
 - tree patterns
 - graph pattern expressions
 - SQL, XPath, SPARQL

Bases de Datos II

• Languages to describe changes of data (updates)

Relational Query Languages

Questions About Data Models and Queries

Given a schema ${\cal S}$ (of a fixed data model)

- is a given structure (FOL interpretation, tree, triple collection) an instance of the schema \mathcal{S} ?
- ullet does S have an instance at all?

Given queries Q, Q' (over the same schema)

- ullet what are the answers of Q over a fixed instance I?
- ullet given a potential answer a, is a an answer to Q over I?
- ullet is there an instance I where Q has an answer?
- ullet do Q and Q' return the same answers over all instances?

Questions About Query Languages

Given query languages \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{L}'

- ullet how difficult is it for queries in ${\cal L}$
 - to evaluate such queries?
 - to check satisfiability?
 - to check equivalence?
- for every query Q in \mathcal{L} , is there a query Q' in \mathcal{L}' that is equivalent to Q?

Bases de Datos II

Research Questions About Databases

Relational Query Languages

- Incompleteness, uncertainty
 - How can we represent incomplete and uncertain information?
 - How can we query it? ... and what should be the meaning of an answer?
- Information integration
 - How can we query many independent databases simultaneously?
 - How do we represent their contents? ... and the relationships between them?
- Data streams
 - What is a good language for querying rapidly changing data?
- Concurrency control
 - How should we coordinate access to data?

Formalization of Relational Databases

- 1. Relational Data Model
 - (a) Named Perspective
 - (b) Unnamed Perspective
- 2. Logic Programming Approach
- 3. First-Order Logic Approach

Bases de Datos II

1.(a) The Relational Data Model: Named Perspective

Relational Query Languages

- Data is organized in relations ("tables")
- A relational database **schema** consists of
 - a set of relation names
 - a list of attributes for each relation
- Notation: <relation name>: st of attributes>
- Examples:

Account: number, branch, customerId
Movie: title, director, actor
Schedule: theater, title

- Relations have different names
- Attributes within a relation have different names

Example: Relational Database

Movie		title	director	actor		
		Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson		
		Player	Altman	Robbins		
		Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson		
		Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski		
		Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve		

Schedule	theater	title
	Le Champo	Shining
	Le Champo	Chinatown
	Le Champo	Player
Bases de Datos II	Odéon	Chinatown
	Odéon	Repulsion Formal Definitions

Relational Query Languages

We assume three disjoint countably infinite sets of *symbols*:

- ullet att, the possible $\emph{attributes}$
 - \ldots we assume there is a total ordering " $\leq_{\mathbf{att}}$ " on \mathbf{att}
- ullet dom, the possible *constants*
 - dom is called the *domain*
- relname, the possible *relation names*

Relations have a *sort* and an *arity*, formalized as follows:

 \bullet For every relation name R there is a finite set of attributes sort(R). That is, sort is a function

$$sort \colon \mathbf{relname} \to \mathcal{P}^{fin}(\mathbf{att})$$

We assume as well: $sort^{-1}(U)$ is infinite, for each $U \in \mathcal{P}^{fin}(\mathbf{att})$

- The arity of a relation is the number of attributes: arity(R) = |sort(R)|
- ullet Notation: Often R[U] where U=sort(R), or

$$R: A_1, \ldots, A_n \text{ if } sort(R) = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\} \text{ and } A_1 \leq_{\textbf{att}} \cdots \leq_{\textbf{att}} A_n.$$

Example: $sort(Account) = \{number, branch, customerId\}$ is denoted Account: number, branch, customerId

Relations and databases have schemas:

- A relation schema is a relation name
- ullet A database schema ${f R}$ is a nonempty finite set of relation schemas

Example: Database schema C = { Account, Movie, Schedule }

Account: number, branch, customerId

Movie: title, director, actor

Bases de Datos II Schedule: theater, title

Tuples

Relational Query Languages

• A *tuple* is a function

$$t \colon U \to \mathbf{dom}$$

11

mapping a finite set $U \subseteq \mathbf{att}$ (a sort) to constants.

Example: Tuple t on $sort({\tt Movie})$ such that

 $t({
m title}) = {
m Shining}$ $t({
m director}) = {
m Kubrick}$ $t({
m actor}) = {
m Nicholson}$

- ullet For $U=\emptyset$, there is only one tuple: the empty tuple, denoted $\langle\
 angle$
- ullet If $U\subseteq V$, then t[V] is the restriction of t to V

Example:

\langle title : Shining, director : Kubrick, actor : Nicholson \rangle

Instances of Relations and Databases

- \bullet A relation or relation instance of a relation schema R[U] is a finite set of tuples on U
- ullet A database instance of database schema ${f R}$ is a mapping ${f I}$ that assigns to each $R\in {f R}$ a relation instance

Bases de Datos II

1.(b) The Relational Model: Unnamed Perspective

Alternative view: We ignore names of attributes, relations have only arities

- Tuples are elements of a Cartesian product of dom
- ullet A tuple t of arity $n \geq 0$ is an element of \mathbf{dom}^n , for example

$$t = \langle \text{Shining, Kubrick, Nicholson} \rangle$$

 \bullet We access components of tuples via their position $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$:

$$t(2) = Kubrick$$

 $\bullet~$ Note: Because of " \leq_{att} ", unnamed and named perspective naturally correspond

2. Logic Programming Perspective

- A fact over relation R with arity n is an expression $R(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbf{dom}$.
- ullet A relation (instance) is a finite set of facts over R
- ullet A database instance ${f I}$ of ${f R}$ is the union of relation instances for each $R\in{f R}$

Example:

Relational Query Languages

- ullet For a database instance ${f I}$, construct an *extended relational theory* $\Sigma_{f I}$ consisting of:
 - Atoms $R_i(\vec{a})$ for each $\vec{a} \in \mathbf{I}(R_i)$;
 - Extension Axioms $\forall \vec{x}(R_i(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{a}_1 \lor \cdots \lor \vec{x} = \vec{a}_m)$, where $\vec{a}_1, \dots \vec{a}_m$, are all elements of R_i in \mathbf{I} , and "=" ranges over tuples of the same arity;
 - Unique Name Axioms: $\neg(c_i = c_j)$ for each pair c_i , c_j of distinct constants occurring in \mathbf{I} ;
 - Domain Closure Axiom: $\forall x (x = c_1 \lor \cdots \lor x = c_n)$, where c_1, \ldots, c_n is a listing of all constants occurring in \mathbf{I} .
- If the "=" are not available, the intended meaning can be emulated with equality axioms.
- ullet Theorem: The interpretations of dom and R that satisfy Σ_I are isomorphic to I
- Corollary: A set of sentences Γ is satisfied by \mathbf{I} iff $\Sigma_{\mathbf{I}} \cup \Gamma$ is satisfiable.

Other view: database instance ${f I}$ as *finite relational structure* (finite universe of discourse; considered later)

Database Queries: Examples

• "What are the titles of current movies?"

answer	title	
	Shining	
	Player	
	Chinatown	
	Repulsion	

• "Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?"

_	answer	theater
		Le Champo
Bases	de Datos II	Odéon

• "Which theaters are showing movies featuring Nicholson?"

Relational Quers Ware	es theater		
	Le Champo		
	Odéon		

• "Which directors acted themselves?"

answer	director			
	Polanski			

• "Who are the directors whose movies are playing in all theaters?"

answer	director
	Polanski

• "Which theaters show only movies featuring Nicholson?"

answer	theater

... but if Le Champo stops showing 'Player', the answer contains 'Le Champo'.

18

How Ask a Query over a Relational Database?

Query languages

Commercial: SQL

Theoretical: Relational Algebra, Relational Calculus, datalog etc.

• Query results: Relations constructed from relations in the database

Bases de Datos II

Relational Query Languages

Declarative vs Procedural

- In our queries, we ask what we want to see in the output ...
- ... but we do not say **how** we want to get this output.
- Thus, query languages are **declarative**: they specify what is needed in the output, but do not say how to get it.
- A query engine figures out how to get the result,
 and gives it to the user.
- A query engine operates internally with an algebra that takes into account how data is stored.
- Finally, queries in that algebra are translated into a **procedural** language.

Declarative vs Procedural: Example

Declarative:

```
\{ \text{ title } | \text{ (title, director, actor)} \in Movie \}
```

Procedural:

```
for each tuple T=(t,d,a) in relation Movie do
```

end

output t

Bases de Datos II

Query Languages

Relational Query Languages

1. SQL

```
SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title AND Movie.Director = 'Polanski'
```

20

2. Relational calculus

```
\{x\mid \exists y z (Movie(y, Polanski', z) \land Schedule(x, y))\}
```

3. Rule-based queries

```
answer(x): - Movie(y,'Polanski',z), Schedule(x,y)
```

4. Relational Algebra

```
\pi_{\sf Schedule.Theater}(\sigma_{\sf Movie.Title=Schedule.Title} \land {\sf Movie.Director='Polanski'}({\sf Movie} \times {\sf Schedule}))
```

Are these query languages equivalent?

22

- To queries q_1 and q_2 over a schema ${\bf R}$ are equivalent $(q_1 \equiv q_2)$ if $q_1({\bf I}) = q_2({\bf I})$ for each instance ${\bf I}$ over ${\bf R}$.
- ullet Let \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 be two query languages:
 - Q_1 is *dominated* by Q_2 ($Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_2$) if for each query $q_1 \in Q_1$ there exists a $q_2 \in Q_2$ such that $q_1 \equiv q_2$.
 - Q_1 and Q_2 are *equivalent* ($Q_1 \equiv Q_2$) if $Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_2$ and $Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_1$.

In what follows we will introduce several query languages and show which ones are equivalent

Bases de Datos II

Relational Query Languages

Conjunctive Queries

- Conjunctive queries are a simple form of declarative, *rule-based queries*
- A rule says when certain elements belong to the answer.
- Example: "What are the titles of current movies?"

As a conjunctive query:

answer(tl) :- Movie(tl, dir, act)

That is, while (tl, dir, act) ranges over relation Movies, output tl (the title attribute)

Conjunctive Queries: One More Example

"Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?"

As a conjunctive query:

answer(th): - Movie(tl, 'Polanski', act), Schedule(th, tl)

While (tl, dir, act) range over tuples in Movie

if dir is 'Polanski'

look at all tuples (th, tl) in Schedule

corresponding to the title tl of the tuple in the relation Movie and output th.

Bases de Datos II

Relational Query Languages

Conjunctive Queries: Another Example

"Which theaters are showing movies featuring Nicholson?"

Very similar to the previous example:

answer(th) :- Movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), Schedule(th, tl)

Conjunctive queries are probably the most **common** type of queries and are **building blocks** for all other queries over relational databases.

Conjunctive Queries: Still One More ...

"Which directors acted in one of their own movies?":

While (tl, dir, act) ranges over tuples in movie, check if dir is the same as act, and output it if that is the case.

Alternative formulation:

Bases de Datos II

27

26

Conjunctive Queries: Definition

Relational Query Languages

A rule-based conjunctive query with (in)equalities is an expression of form

$$\operatorname{answer}(\vec{x}) := R_1(\vec{x_1}), \dots, R_n(\vec{x_n}), \tag{1}$$

where $n \geq 0$ and

- \bullet "answer" is a relation name not in $\mathbf{R} \cup \{\,=,\neq\,\}$
- R_1, \ldots, R_n are relation names from $\mathbf{R} \cup \{=, \neq\}$
- \vec{x} is a tuple of distinct variables with length = arity(answer)
- \bullet $\vec{x_1},\ldots,\vec{x_n}$ are tuples of variables and constants of suitable (?!) length
- ullet each variable occurring somewhere in the query **must** also occur in some atom $R_i(\vec{x_i})$ where $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$

Note: Equality "=" can be eliminated if we change the definition slightly

How?

Conjunctive Queries: Semantics

Let q be a conjunctive query of the form (1) and let ${f I}$ be a database instance.

ullet A $\mathit{valuation}\ \nu$ over $\mathit{var}(q)$ is a mapping

$$\nu \colon var(q) \cup \mathbf{dom} \to \mathbf{dom}$$

that is the identity on dom.

ullet The *result* (aka image) of q on ${f I}$ is

$$q(\mathbf{I})=\{
u(\vec{x}) \mid
u ext{ is a valuation over } var(q), ext{ and }
u(\vec{x_i}) \in \mathbf{I}(R_i), ext{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \}$$

Example: q: answer(dir) :– Movie(tl, dir, act), dir=act

For ${f I}$ from above, we obtain

Bases de Datos II

 $q(\mathbf{I}) = \{\, \langle \mathtt{Polanski}
angle \, \}$

Relational Query Languages

Elementary Properties of Conjunctive Queries

Proposition. Let q be a conjunctive query of form (1). Then:

- the result $q(\mathbf{I})$ is *finite*, for any database instance \mathbf{I} ;
- q is monotonic,

i.e., $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{J}$ implies $q(\mathbf{I}) \subseteq q(\mathbf{J})$, for all database instances \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{J} ;

ullet if q contains neither "=" nor "eq", then q is satisfiable,

i.e., there exists some ${f I}$ such that $q({f I})
eq \emptyset$

29

Beyond Conjunctive Queries?

"Who are the directors whose movies (not necessarily all of them) are playing in all theaters?"

• Recall the notation from mathematical logic:

 \forall means 'for all', \exists means 'exists', " \land " is conjunction (logical 'and')

• We write the query above as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{dir} \mid \ \forall \ \mathsf{th} \ (\ \exists \ \mathsf{tl'} \ (\mathsf{Schedule}(\mathsf{th},\mathsf{tl'}) \rightarrow \\ \ \exists \ \mathsf{tl}, \mathsf{act} \ (\mathsf{Movie}(\mathsf{tl},\mathsf{dir},\mathsf{act}) \ \land \ \mathsf{Schedule}(\mathsf{th},\ \mathsf{tl}))) \right\}$$

• That is, to see if director dir is in the answer, for each theater name th, check

that there exists a tuple (tl, dir, act) in Movie, and a tuple (th, tl) in Schedule Bases de Datos II

Can we formulate this as a conjunctive query?

31

Structured Query Language: SQL

Relational Query Languages

- De-facto standard for all relational RDBMs
- Latest versions: SQL:1999 (also called SQL3), SQL:2003 (supports XML),

SQL:2006 (more XML support), SQL:2008

Each standard covers well over 1,000 pages

"The nice thing about standards is that you have so many to choose from."

- Andrew S. Tanenbaum.
- Query structure:

WHERE

SELECT $R_{i_1}.A_{j_1},\ldots,R_{i_k}.A_{j_k}$ (attribute list) R_1,\ldots,R_n FROM

C (condition)

In the simplest case, C is a conjunction of equalities/inequalities

SQL Examples

• "Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?":

• "Which theaters are playing the movies of which directors?"

```
SELECT Movie.Director, Schedule.Theater
FROM Movie, Schedule

WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title

Bases de Datos II
```

33

32

Relational Query Languages

Relational Algebra (Named Perspective)

 We start with a subset of relational algebra that suffices to capture queries defined by

simple rules,

SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE statements

• The subset has three operations:

Projection π

Selection σ

Cartesian Product ×

- This fragment of Relational Algebra is called SPC Algebra
- ullet Sometimes we also use *renaming* of attributes, denoted as ho

Projection

34

- ullet Restricts tuples of a relation R to a subset of sort(R)
- ullet $\pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(R)$ returns a new relation with sort $\{\,A_1,\dots,A_n\,\}$
- Example:

$$\pi_{\mathsf{title},\mathsf{director}} \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} \underline{\mathsf{title}} & \mathsf{director} & \mathsf{actor} \\ \hline \\ Shining & \mathsf{Kubrick} & \mathsf{Nicholson} \\ \\ \mathsf{Player} & \mathsf{Altman} & \mathsf{Robbins} \\ \\ \mathsf{Chinatown} & \mathsf{Polanski} & \mathsf{Nicholson} \\ \\ \mathsf{Chinatown} & \mathsf{Polanski} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \\ \mathsf{Repulsion} & \mathsf{Polanski} & \mathsf{Deneuve} \end{array} \right) = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{title} & \mathsf{director} \\ \\ \mathsf{Shining} & \mathsf{Kubrick} \\ \\ \mathsf{Shining} & \mathsf{Kubrick} \\ \\ \mathsf{Player} & \mathsf{Altman} \\ \\ \mathsf{Chinatown} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \\ \mathsf{Repulsion} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \\ \mathsf{Repulsion} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \end{array}}_{\mathsf{Repulsion}} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{title} & \mathsf{director} \\ \\ \mathsf{Shining} & \mathsf{Kubrick} \\ \\ \mathsf{Chinatown} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \\ \mathsf{Repulsion} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \\ \mathsf{Repulsion} & \mathsf{Polanski} \\ \end{array} \right)$$

Bases de Datos II

• Creates a *view* of the original data hat hides some attributes **Selection**

Relational Query Languages

- ullet Chooses tuples of R that satisfy some condition C
- \bullet $\sigma_C(R)$ returns a new relation with the same sort as R, and with the tuples t of R for which C(t) is true
- Conditions are conjunctions of *elementary conditions* of the form

R.A = R.A' (equality between attributes)

R.A = constant (equality between an attribute and a constant)

same as above but with \neq instead of =

• Examples:

Movie.Actor = Movie.Director

 $Movie.Actor = Movie.Director \land Movie.Actor \neq 'Nicholson'$

• Creates a view of data by hiding tuples that do not satisfy the condition

Selection: Example

director actor Shining Kubrick Nicholson $\sigma_{\rm actor=director} \wedge {\rm director='Polanski'} \qquad \begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Player} & {\rm Altman} \\ {\rm Chinatown} & {\rm Polanski} \\ {\rm Chinatown} & {\rm Polanski} \\ \end{array}$ Robbins Nicholson Polanski Repulsion Polanski Deneuve

title director actor Bases de Datos II Chinatown Polanski Polanski

37

Cartesian Product

Relational Query Languages

- $R_1 \times R_2$ is a relation with $sort(R_1 \times R_2) = sort(R_1) \cup sort(R_2)$ and the tuples are all possible combinations (t_1,t_2) of t_1 in R_1 and t_2 in R_2
- Example:

• We assume that the cartesian product operator automatically renames attributes so as to include the name of the relation: in the resulting table, all attributes must have different names.

Cartesian Product: Example

"Which theaters are playing movies directed by Polanski?"

answer(th) :- Movie(tl,dir,act), Schedule(th,tl), dir='Polanski'

ullet Step 1: Let $R_1 = \operatorname{Movie} imes \operatorname{Schedule}$

We don't need all tuples, only those in which titles are the same, so:

 $\bullet \;$ Step 2: Let $R_2 = \sigma_C(R_1)$ where C is "Movie.title = Schedule.title"

We are only interested in movies directed by Polanski, so

• Step 3: $R_3 = \sigma_{\mathsf{director} = '\mathsf{Polanski'}}(R_2)$

In the output, we only want theaters, so finally

Bases Stepates Answer =
$$\pi_{\mathrm{theater}}(R_3)$$

39

Relational Query Languages

• Summing up, the answer is

$$\pi_{\mathsf{theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}='\mathsf{Polanski'}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.title}=\mathsf{Schedule.title}}(\mathsf{Movie}\times\mathsf{Schedule})))$$

• Merging selections, this is equivalent to

$$\pi_{\mathsf{theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}='\mathsf{Polanski'}} \land \mathsf{Movie.title} = \mathsf{Schedule.title}(\mathsf{Movie} \times \mathsf{Schedule})))$$

Renaming

- ullet Let R be a relation that has attribute A but does *not* have attribute B.
- $\rho_{B\leftarrow A}(R)$ is the "same" relation as R except that A is renamed to be B. Example:

$$\rho_{\mathsf{parent}\leftarrow\mathsf{father}} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \mathsf{father} & \mathsf{child} \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{George} & \mathsf{Elizabeth} \\ \\ \mathsf{Philip} & \mathsf{Charles} \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{Charles} & \mathsf{William} \end{array} \right) \ = \ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{parent} & \mathsf{child} \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{George} & \mathsf{Elizabeth} \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{Philip} & \mathsf{Charles} \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{Charles} & \mathsf{William} \\ \end{array} \right)$$

- Simultaneous renaming $\rho_{A_1,...,A_m\leftarrow B_1,...,B_m}$, for distinct A_1,\ldots,A_m resp. B_1,\ldots,B_m can be defined from it.
- Prefixing the relation name to rename attributes is convenient (used in practice)
 Bases de Datos II
 - ullet Not all problems are solved by this (e.g., Cartesian Product $R \times R$)

Relational Query Languages Relational Algebra in the Unnamed Perspective

- The same as before, except for Renaming, which becomes immaterial Why?
- Example (again): "Which theaters are playing movies directed by Polanski?"

$$\pi_4(\sigma_{2='\mathsf{Polanski'}} \wedge_{1=5}(\mathsf{Movie} \times \mathsf{Schedule})))$$

- SPC Algebra is often assumed to be based in the unnamed setting
- Other operations of Relational Algebra can only be defined for named perspective (e.g., natural join, to be seen later)

SQL and Relational Algebra

For execution, declarative queries are translated into algebra expressions

ullet Idea: SELECT is projection π

FROM is Cartesian product \times

WHERE $\,$ is selection σ

• A simple case (only one relation in FROM):

SELECT
$$A, B, \dots$$

FROM R

WHERE C

Bases de Datos II is translated into

$$\pi_{A,B,\dots}(\sigma_C(R))$$

43

Relational Query Languages

Translating Declarative Queries into Relational Algebra

We use rules as intermediate format

Example: "Which are the titles of movies?"

- SELECT Title FROM Movie
- answer(tl) :- Movie(tl,dir,act)
- $\pi_{\mathsf{title}}(\mathsf{Movie})$

... this was simply projection

A More Elaborate Translation Example

"Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?"

• SELECT Schedule. Theater

FROM Schedule, Movie

WHERE Movie. Title = Schedule. Title AND

Movie. Director='Polanski'

• First, translate into a rule:

answer(th) :- Schedule(th,tl), Movie(tl,'Polanski',act)

Second, change the rule such that:
 constants appear only in conditions
 no variable occurs twice

Bases The Batgives us:

answer(th) :- Schedule(th,tl), Movie(tl',dir,act), dir = 'Polanski', tl=1

Relational Query Languages

answer(th) :- Schedule(th,tl), Movie(tl',dir,act), dir = 'Polanski', tl=tl'

Two relations \Longrightarrow Cartesian product Conditions \Longrightarrow selection

45

Subset of attributes in the answer ⇒ projection

- Step 1: $R_1 =$ Schedule \times Movie
- Step 2: Make sure we talk about the same movie:

$$R_2 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Schedule.title} = \mathsf{Movie.title}}(R_1)$$

• Step 3: We are only interested in Polanski's movies:

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director} = \mathsf{Polanski}}(R_2)$$

• Step 4: We need only theaters in the output

$$\mathsf{answer} = \pi_{\mathsf{Schedule.theater}}(R_3)$$

A More Elaborate Translation Example (cntd)

Summing up, the answer is:

 $\pi_{\mathsf{Schedule.theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director} = \mathsf{Polanski}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{Schedule.title} = \mathsf{Movie.title}}(\mathsf{Schedule} \times \mathsf{Movie})))$

or, using the rule $\sigma_{C_1}(\sigma_{C_2}(R)) = \sigma_{C_1 \wedge C_2}(R)$:

 $\pi_{\mathsf{Schedule.theater}}(\,\sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director} = \mathsf{Polanski}} \land \mathsf{Schedule.title} = \mathsf{Movie.title}(\,\mathsf{Schedule} \times \mathsf{Movie}))$

Bases de Datos II

Relational Query Languages

Formal Translation: SQL to Rules

SELECT attribute list $\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$

FROM R_1, \ldots, R_n

is translated into:

answer($\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$) :- R_1 (<attributes>), ..., R_n (<attributes>), C

Note: Attributes become variables of rules

Rules to Relational Algebra

• Consider the rule

answer
$$(\vec{x}) :-R_1(\vec{x}_1), \dots, R_n(\vec{x}_n)$$
 (2)

48

49

where, wlog (= "without loss of generality"),

$$R_1, \dots R_k \in \mathbf{R}, k \leq n,$$

$$R_{k+1}, \ldots, R_n \in \{=, \neq\}.$$

Let conditions :=
$$R_{k+1}(\vec{x}_{k+1}), \ldots, R_n(\vec{x}_n)$$

• First transformation: Ensure that each variable occurs at most once in $R_1(\vec{x}_1), \ldots, R_k(\vec{x}_k)$:

If there are
$$R_i(\ldots,x,\ldots)$$
 and $R_i(\ldots,x,\ldots)$,

Bases de Datos Prite them as $R_i(\ldots,x',\ldots)$ and $R_j(\ldots,x'',\ldots)$, and

add $x^\prime = x^{\prime\prime}$ to the conditions and, if x occurs elsewhere, also $x = x^\prime$

Relational Query Languages

answer(th,dir):- movie(tl,dir,act), schedule(th,tl)

is rewritten to

answer(th,dir):- movie(tl',dir,act), schedule(th,tl"), tl'=tl"

- *Next step:* each occurrence of a constant a in a relational atom $R_i(...,a,...)$, $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$, is replaced by some variable x and add x = a to the conditions
- Finally: after the rule (2) is rewritten, it is translated into

$$\pi_{\widehat{\vec{x}}}(\sigma_{\widehat{conditions}}(R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k))$$

where $\widehat{\cdot}$ maps

- a variable x occurring in some $R_i(...,x,...)$, $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$, to the corresponding attribute \hat{x} in $sort(R_i)$;
- an expression α to the expression $\hat{\alpha}$ where every x is replaced by \hat{x}

Putting it Together: SQL to Relational Algebra

Combine the two translation steps:

 $SQL \mapsto rule$ -based queries

rule-based queries \mapsto relational algebra.

This yields the following translation from SQL to relational algebra:

SELECT attribute list $\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$

FROM R_1, \ldots, R_n

WHERE condition C

becomes

Bases de Datos II

$$\pi_{\langle R_i.A_i\rangle}(\sigma_C(R_1\times\ldots\times R_n))$$

51

Relational Query Languages

Another Example

"Which theaters show movies featuring Nicholson?"

SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title
AND Movie.Actor='Nicholson'

• Translate into a rule:

answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), schedule(th, tl)

• Modify the rule:

answer(th): - movie(tl, dir, act), schedule(th, tl'), tl=tl', act='Nicholson'

answer(th): - movie(tl, dir, act), schedule(th, tl'), tl=tl', act='Nicholson'

- Step 1: $R_1 =$ Schedule \times Movie
- Step 2: Make sure we talk about the same movie:

$$R_2 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Schedule.title} = \mathsf{Movie.title}}(R_1)$$

• Step 3: We are only interested in movies with Nicholson:

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.actor} = \mathsf{Nicholson}}(R_2)$$

• Step 4: we need only theaters in the output

$$answer = \pi_{schedule.theater}(R_3)$$

Summing up:

 $\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}}(\ \sigma_{\text{Movie.actor}=\text{Nicholson}\ \land\ \text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}}(\ \text{Schedule}\ \times\ \text{Movie}))$ Bases de Datos II

SPC Algebra into SQL

Relational Query Languages

Should be easy, but is it?

Where's the difficulty?

• Direct proof in two steps:

Show that for SPC queries there are normal forms

$$\pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(\sigma_c(R_1\times\cdots\times R_m)),$$

called "simple SPC queries" (proof idea?)

Then map normal forms to SQL

• Indirect proof:

SPC is equivalent to conjunctive queries

Conjunctive queries are equivalent to single block SQL queries

Extension: Natural Join

- ullet Combine all pairs of tuples t_1 and t_2 in relations R_1 resp. R_2 that agree on common attributes
- The resulting relation $R = R_1 \bowtie R_2$ is the **natural join** of R and S, defined on the *set* of attributes in R_1 and R_2 .

Example: Schedule ⋈ Movie

	title	director	actor	_	theater	title	_	title	director	actor	theater
	Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson		Le Champo	Shining		Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson	Le Champo
	Player	Altman	Robbins		Le Champo	Chinatown		Player	Altman	Robbins	Le Champo
	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	\bowtie	Le Champo	Player	_	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	Le Champo
	Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski		Odéon	Chinatown	_	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	Odéon
	Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve		Odéon	Repulsion		Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski	Le Champo
Bases	de Datos I	S				Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski	Odéon		
		de Datos II	11					Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve	Odéon 55

Natural Join cont'd

Relational Query Languages

Natural join is not a new operation of relational algebra

- It is **definable** with π , σ , \times (and renaming!?)
- Suppose
 - R is a relation with attributes $A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_k$
 - S is a relation with attributes $A_1,\ldots,A_n,\ C_1,\ldots,C_m$
 - $\implies R \bowtie S$ has attributes $A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_k, C_1, \ldots, C_m$
- Then

$$R \bowtie S =$$

$$\pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n,B_1,\dots,B_k,C_1,\dots,C_m}(\sigma_{R.A_1=S.A_1\wedge\dots\wedge R.A_n=S.A_n}(R\times S))$$

Could a natural join be defined in the unnamed perspective?

Select Project Join Queries (SPJ Queries)

Queries of the form

$$\pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(\sigma_c(R_1 \bowtie \cdots \bowtie R_m))$$

are called Select-project-join queries.

• These are probably the most common queries

(over databases with foreign keys).

Example: "Which theaters show movies directed by Polanski?"

- answer(th) :- schedule(th,tl), movie(tl,'Polanski',act)
- As SPJ query:

$$\pi_{\mathsf{theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}='\mathsf{Polanski'}}(\mathsf{Movie} \bowtie \mathsf{Schedule}))$$

• Why has the query become simpler compared to the earlier version Bases de Datos II

57

 $\pi_{\mathsf{schedule.theater}}(\,\sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director}='\mathsf{Polanski'}} \land \mathsf{Schedule.title} = \mathsf{Movie.title}(\,\mathsf{Schedule} \times \mathsf{Movie}))?$

Relational Query Languages

SPJ Queries cont'd

"Which theaters show movies featuring Nicholson?"

• As rule-based conjunctive query

• As SPJ query:

$$\pi_{\mathsf{theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{actor}='\mathsf{Nicholson'}}(\mathsf{Movie} \bowtie \mathsf{Schedule}))$$

Translating SPJ Queries to Rules and Single Block SQL

• SPJ Query

$$Q = \pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\sigma_C(R \bowtie S))$$

• Equivalent SQL statement $(B_1, \ldots, B_m = \text{common attributes in } R \text{ and } S)$:

SELECT
$$A_1,\dots,A_n$$
 FROM R,S WHERE C AND $R.B_1=S.B_1$ AND \dots AND $R.B_m=S.B_m$

ullet Equivalent rule query (R resp. S has attributes: C_1,\ldots,C_k resp. D_1,\ldots,D_l)

answer
$$(A_1,\ldots,A_n)$$
 :– $R(C_1,\ldots,C_k),$ $S(D_1,\ldots,D_l),$ Bases de Datos II
$$R.B_1=S.B_1,\ldots,R.B_m=S.B_m,$$
 59

Relational Query Languages

SPJ to SQL: Example

"Who are the directors of currently playing movies that feature Ford?"

• In SPJ:

$$\pi_{\mathsf{director}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{actor}='\mathrm{Ford'}}(\mathsf{Movie} \bowtie \mathsf{Schedule}))$$

• In SQL:

What We've Seen So Far

- Queries defined by SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE statements (single block queries)
- These are the same as the queries definable by rules
- They are also the same as the queries definable by π , σ , \times (and renaming) in relational algebra, i.e., the same as SPC queries
- Question: What about SPJ?

SPJ queries are *not* a normal form for the σ , π , \times -fragment

 \sim To prevent unwanted joins, we need renaming

Bases Septems Algebra = σ , π , \bowtie , ρ — fragment of Relational Algebra

61

Relational Query Languages

Equivalence of SPC and SPJR Algebras

Proposition. The SPC Algebra and the SPJR Algebra are equivalent.

Note:

- Cartesian Product can be easily emulated using renaming
- BTW, also SQL provides a renaming construct

New attribute names can be introduced in SELECT using keyword AS.

SELECT Father AS Parent, Child FROM R

Nested SQL Queries: Simple Example

- So far in the WHERE clause we used comparisons between attributes
- In general, a WHERE clause can contain *another query*, and test some relationship between an attribute or a constant and the result of that query
- We call such queries with subqueries *nested* queries

Example: "Which theaters are showing Polanski's movies?"

```
SELECT Schedule.theater

FROM Schedule

WHERE Schedule.title IN

(SELECT Movie.title

Bases de Datos II FROM Movie

WHERE Movie.director = 'Polanski')
```

Relational Query Languages

Nested vs Unnested Queries

```
SELECT S.theater

FROM Schedule S

WHERE S.title IN

(SELECT M.title

FROM Movie M

WHERE M.director = 'Polanski')
```

- Both queries capture the same question ...
- ... and return the same results over all instances (... or do they?)
- Queries nested with IN can be flattened ...
- ...but others can't (which?)

Equivalence Theorem

Theorem. The following languages define the same (?!) sets of queries:

- SPJR Queries
- SPC Queries
- simple SPC queries
- (rule-based) conjunctive queries
- **SQL** SELECT-FROM-WHERE
- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE with IN-nesting Bases de Datos II

65

64

Relational Query Languages

Disjunction in Queries

"Which actors played in movies directed by Kubrick OR Polanski"

• SELECT Actor

FROM Movie

WHERE director = 'Kubrick' OR director = 'Polanski'

- Can this be defined by a single rule?
- How do you prove your answer?
 (Hint: What can you say about the constants in the query and in the database?)

Union in SQL

• The way out: Disjunction can be represented using more than one rule

```
answer(act) := movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Kubrick'
answer(act) := movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Polanski'
```

- Semantics: compute answers to each of the rules, and then take their *union* (*union of conjunctive queries*)
- SQL has its own syntax (distinguishing between UNION and UNION ALL):

```
SELECT Actor

FROM Movie

WHERE director = 'Kubrick'

UNION

SELECT Actor

Bases de Parol Movie

WHERE director = 'Polanski'

Disjunction in Relational Algebra
```

How can we translate a query with disjunction into relational algebra?

 answer(act) :- movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Kubrick' is translated into

$$Q_1 = \pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director} = \mathsf{Kubrick}}(\mathsf{Movie}))$$

answer(act) :- movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Polanski'
 is translated into

$$Q_2 = \pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director} = \mathsf{Polanski}}(\mathsf{Movie}))$$

ullet The whole query is translated into $Q_1 \cup Q_2$, i.e.,

$$\pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}=\mathsf{Kubrick}}(\mathsf{Movie})) \cup \pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}=\mathsf{Polanski}}(\mathsf{Movie}))$$

Union in Relational Algebra

• Union is another operation of relational algebra

 $R \cup S$ is the union of relations R and S

R and S must have the same set of attributes (be "union-compatible").

• We now have four relational algebra operations:

$$\pi, \sigma, \times, \cup$$

(and of course \bowtie , which is definable from π, σ, \times)

• This fragment is called the SPCU-Algebra, or positive relational algebra.

Bases de Datos II

Would an intersection operator add something new?

And what about set difference?

68

Relational Query Languages

Identities Among Relational Algebra Operators

- $\pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(R \cup S) = \pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(R) \cup \pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(S)$
- $\sigma_C(R \cup S) = \sigma_C(R) \cup \sigma_C(S)$
- $(R \cup S) \times T = R \times T \cup S \times T$
- $T \times (R \cup S) = T \times R \cup T \times S$

Normal Form of SPCU Queries

Theorem. Every SPCU query is equivalent to a union of SPC queries

Proof: propagate the union operation.

Example:

$$\pi_A(\sigma_c((R\times(S\cup T))\cup W))$$

$$=\pi_A(\sigma_c((R\times S)\cup (R\times T)\cup W))$$

$$=\pi_A(\sigma_c(R\times S)\cup \sigma_c(R\times T)\cup \sigma_c(W))$$

$$=\pi_A(\sigma_c(R\times S))\cup \pi_A(\sigma_c(R\times T))\cup \pi_A(\sigma_c(W))$$
de Datos II

Bases de Datos II

Another Equivalence Theorem

Relational Query Languages

Theorem. The following languages define the same sets of queries

- Positive relational algebra (SPCU queries)
- unions of SPC queries
- queries defined by multiple rules
- unions of conjunctive queries
- **SQL** SELECT-FROM-WHERE-UNION
- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE-UNION with IN-nesting
- SPJRU queries $(\sigma, \pi, \bowtie, \rho, \cup)$

Would intersection add anything new?