

**Problem Set 3**

Fall 2014

**Issued:** Friday, September 19

**Due:** Thursday, September 25 (beginning of class)

---

**Problem 3.1**

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. absolutely continuous variables with common density  $f_\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$f_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi(x)}{\Phi(\theta)}, & x < \theta; \\ 0, & x \geq \theta. \end{cases}$$

(This is the density for the standard normal distribution truncated above at  $\theta$ .)

- (a) Derive a formula for the UMVU for  $g(\theta)$ . (Assume that  $g$  is differentiable and behaves reasonably as  $\theta \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .)
- (b) If  $n = 3$  and the observed data are  $-2.3, -1.2$ , and  $0$ , what is the estimate for  $\theta^2$ ?

**Problem 3.2**

Consider a scale family  $\frac{1}{\theta}f(x/\theta)$ ,  $\theta > 0$  where  $f$  is some fixed density function.

- (a) Show that the amount of information that a single observation  $X$  contains about  $\theta$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{\theta^2} \int \left[ \frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} + 1 \right]^2 f(y) dy.$$

- (b) Show that the information  $X$  contains about  $\xi = \log \theta$  is independent of  $\theta$ .
- (c) For the Cauchy distribution  $C(0, \theta)$ , show that  $I(\theta) = 1/(2\theta^2)$ .

**Problem 3.3**

(Poisson birth process) This example illustrates some differences that can arise with dependent data, as opposed to i.i.d. sampling models. Consider a random sequence  $Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  such that  $Y_0 \sim \text{Poi}(\theta)$ , and  $Y_j$  given the past  $(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1})$  is also Poisson with mean  $\theta Y_{j-1}$ . The maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of  $\theta$  maximizes the log likelihood  $\ell(\theta) = \log p(Y_0, \dots, Y_n; \theta)$  of the data.

- (a) Show that the MLE of  $\theta$  based on  $(Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$  is given by  $\hat{\theta} = (\sum_{j=0}^n Y_j) / (1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Y_j)$ .
- (b) Show that the information in  $(Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$  about  $\theta$  is given by  $I(\theta) = \theta^{-2}(\theta + \theta^2 + \dots + \theta^{n+1})$ . What happens to this information for  $\theta < 1$ ? Intuitively, what is happening in this model?

**Problem 3.4**

Suppose that the vector  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  has i.i.d. elements with the density

$$p(x; \theta) = \exp(\theta - x), \quad \text{for } x \geq \theta.$$

Let  $\delta(\cdot)$  be any unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  based on  $X$ .

(a) Using Cauchy-Schwartz, first show that

$$\text{var}_\theta(\delta(X)) \geq \sup_{\theta' \geq \theta} \frac{(\theta - \theta')^2}{\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[ \left( \frac{p(x; \theta')}{p(x; \theta)} - 1 \right)^2 \right]}.$$

(b) Hence conclude that

$$\text{var}_\theta(\delta(X)) \geq a^*/n^2,$$

where  $a^*$  solves the equation  $2/(na) - \frac{e^{na}}{e^{na}-1} = 0$ .

(c) The information inequality under i.i.d. sampling predicts scaling of the form  $\text{var}_\theta(\delta(X)) = \mathcal{O}(1/n)$ . Explain why the result of (b) differs from this scaling.

(d) Prove that the estimator  $\delta_a(X) = \min_i X_i - \frac{1}{n}$  is unbiased, and has variance  $1/n^2$ .

**Problem 3.5**

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d samples from the  $\text{Poi}(\lambda)$  distribution truncated on the left at 0 (i.e., a Poisson variate  $Y \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$  conditioned on  $Y \geq 1$ ). Show that the information inequality for any unbiased estimator of  $\lambda$  is

$$\frac{\lambda(1 - \exp^{-\lambda})^2}{n(1 - \exp(-\lambda) - \lambda \exp(-\lambda))}.$$