

CS450 – Introduction to Networking

Lecture 13 – Reliable Data Transfer (2)

Phu Phung

Feb 11, 2015

rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss

new assumption:


underlying channel can also lose packets (data, ACKs)

- checksum, seq. #, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help ... but not enough


approach: sender waits “reasonable” amount of time for ACK

- retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
 - retransmission will be duplicate, but seq. #'s already handles this
 - receiver must specify seq # of pkt being ACKed
- requires countdown timer


rdt3.0 sender



rdt3.0 in action




(a) no loss




(b) packet loss

rdt3.0 in action



(c) ACK loss



(d) premature timeout/ delayed ACK

Performance of rdt3.0

- rdt3.0 is correct, but performance stinks
- e.g.: 1 Gbps link, 15 ms prop. delay, 8000 bit packet:


$$D_{trans} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{8000 \text{ bits}}{10^9 \text{ bits/sec}} = 8 \text{ microseconds}$$

- U_{sender} : **utilization** – fraction of time sender busy sending

$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

- if RTT=30 msec, 1KB pkt every 30 msec: 33kB/sec thruput over 1 Gbps link
- ❖ network protocol limits use of physical resources!

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation



$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$


What is the new feature in rdt 3.0 compared with rdt 2.x?

- A. Larger sequence number
- B. Timer
- C. Checksum
- D. ACK
- E. NAK

Pipelined protocols

pipelining: sender allows multiple, “in-flight”, yet-to-be-acknowledged pkts

- range of sequence numbers must be increased
- buffering at sender and/or receiver




(a) a stop-and-wait protocol in operation

(b) a pipelined protocol in operation

- two generic forms of pipelined protocols: *go-Back-N*, *selective repeat*

Pipelining: increased utilization



3-packet pipelining increases utilization by a factor of 3!

$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{3L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.0024}{30.008} = 0.00081$$

Pipelined protocols: overview

Go-back-N:


- sender can have up to N unacked packets in pipeline
- receiver only sends *cumulative ack*
 - doesn't ack packet if there's a gap
- sender has timer for oldest unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit *all* unacked packets

Selective Repeat:

- sender can have up to N unack'ed packets in pipeline
- rcvr sends *individual ack* for each packet
- sender maintains timer for each unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit only that unacked packet


Go-Back-N: sender

- k-bit seq # in pkt header
- “window” of up to N, consecutive unack’ed pkts allowed




- ❖ ACK(n): ACKs all pkts up to, including seq # n - “cumulative ACK”
 - may receive duplicate ACKs (see receiver)
- ❖ timer for oldest in-flight pkt
- ❖ *timeout(n)*: retransmit packet n and all higher seq # pkts in window

GBN: sender extended FSM



GBN: receiver extended FSM



ACK-only: always send ACK for correctly-received pkt with highest *in-order* seq #

- may generate duplicate ACKs
- need only remember **expectedseqnum**
- out-of-order pkt:
 - discard (don't buffer): *no receiver buffering!*
 - re-ACK pkt with highest in-order seq #

GBN in action

sender window (N=4)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

sender

send pkt0
send pkt1
send pkt2
send pkt3
(wait)

rcv ack0, send pkt4
rcv ack1, send pkt5

ignore duplicate ACK



pkt 2 timeout

send pkt2
send pkt3
send pkt4
send pkt5

receiver

receive pkt0, send ack0
receive pkt1, send ack1

receive pkt3, discard,
(re)send ack1

receive pkt4, discard,
(re)send ack1

receive pkt5, discard,
(re)send ack1

rcv pkt2, deliver, send ack2
rcv pkt3, deliver, send ack3
rcv pkt4, deliver, send ack4
rcv pkt5, deliver, send ack5


Select a wrong statement about Go-Back-N

- A. GBN uses cumulative ACKs
- B. GBN uses timeouts to address packet loss
- C. GBN has one timer for each outstanding packet
- D. GNB uses NACKs
- E. C and D

Selective repeat

- receiver *individually* acknowledges all correctly received pkts
 - buffers pkts, as needed, for eventual in-order delivery to upper layer
- sender only resends pkts for which ACK not received
 - sender timer for each unACKed pkt
- sender window
 - N consecutive seq #'s
 - limits seq #s of sent, unACKed pkts

Selective repeat: sender, receiver windows



Selective repeat

sender

data from above:

- if next available seq # in window, send pkt

timeout(n):

- resend pkt n, restart timer

ACK(n) in [sendbase, sendbase+N]:

- mark pkt n as received
- if n smallest unACKed pkt, advance window base to next unACKed seq #

receiver

pkt n in [rcvbase, rcvbase+N-1]

- ❖ send ACK(n)
- ❖ out-of-order: buffer
- ❖ in-order: deliver (also deliver buffered, in-order pkts), advance window to next not-yet-received pkt

pkt n in [rcvbase-N, rcvbase-1]

- ❖ ACK(n)

otherwise:

- ❖ ignore

Selective repeat in action

sender window (N=4)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

sender

send pkt0

send pkt1

send pkt2

send pkt3

(wait)

rcv ack0, send pkt4

rcv ack1, send pkt5

record ack3 arrived



pkt 2 timeout

send pkt2

record ack4 arrived

record ack5 arrived

receiver

receive pkt0, send ack0

receive pkt1, send ack1

receive pkt3, buffer,
send ack3

receive pkt4, buffer,
send ack4

receive pkt5, buffer,
send ack5

rcv pkt2; deliver pkt2,
pkt3, pkt4, pkt5; send ack2

Q: what happens when ack2 arrives?

Selective repeat: dilemma


example:

- seq #'s: 0, 1, 2, 3
- window size=3
- ❖ receiver sees no difference in two scenarios!
- ❖ duplicate data accepted as new in (b)

Q: what relationship between seq # size and window size to avoid problem in (b)?


sender window (after receipt)

receiver window (after receipt)



(a) no problem

*receiver can't see sender side.
receiver behavior identical in both cases!
something's (very) wrong!*



(b) oops!

Select a wrong statement about selective repeat (SR)


- A. SR uses individual ACKs
- B. SR uses timeouts to address packet loss
- C. SR has one timer for each outstanding packet
- D. SR uses NACKs
- E. C and D

Assignment 2 progress

- A. I have submitted/I am about to submit
- B. I have completed >75%
- C. I have completed >50%
- D. I have completed >25%
- E. I have not started yet

Next lecture

- TCP
 - Readings 3.5
- Guest lecture on Monday Feb 23rd
 - DNS Security
- Midterm exam in 4 weeks
 - In class: 1 PM Friday, March 6th



Copy right notice:

These slides are adapted from J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross's ones

© All material copyright 1996-2012
J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach

6th edition

Jim Kurose, Keith Ross

Addison-Wesley

March 2012

TCP joke ☺

"Hi, I'd like to hear a TCP joke."

"Hello, would you like to hear a TCP joke?"

"Yes, I'd like to hear a TCP joke."

"OK, I'll tell you a TCP joke."

"Ok, I will hear a TCP joke."

"Are you ready to hear a TCP joke?"

"Yes, I am ready to hear a TCP joke."

"Ok, I am about to send the TCP joke. It will last 10 seconds, it has two characters, it does not have a setting, it ends with a punchline."

"Ok, I am ready to get your TCP joke that will last 10 seconds, has two characters, does not have an explicit setting, and ends with a punchline."

"I'm sorry, your connection has timed out."

...Hello, would you like to hear a TCP joke?"