Regression

Dr. Fayyaz ul Amir Afsar Minhas
PIEAS Biomedical Informatics Research Lab
Department of Computer and Information Sciences
Pakistan Institute of Engineering & Applied Sciences
PO Nilore, Islamabad, Pakistan
http://faculty.pieas.edu.pk/fayyaz/

Regression

- Estimate the relationship among variables
  - Dependent variables
  - Independent variables
- Used in prediction and forecasting
- Mathematical formulation
  - **Model:** $\boldsymbol{Y = f(X; w) + \epsilon}$
  - Linear
    - $f(\boldsymbol{x_i}) = b + w_1 x_i^{(1)} + w_2 x_i^{(2)} + \cdots + w_d x_i^{(d)}$
  - Objective is to estimate the parameters $\boldsymbol{w}$



x	y
1	3.5
2	4.75
3	7.05
4	9.5
…	…

Linear Regression

- $f(\boldsymbol{x_i}) = b + w_1 x_i^{(1)} + w_2 x_i^{(2)} + \cdots + w_d x_i^{(d)}$
- This implies
  - $f(\boldsymbol{x_i}) = [\boldsymbol{x_i^T} \quad 1]\boldsymbol{w'}$
  - Note that we do not have an explicit bias term anymore
- For N points with
  - $y_1 = f(\boldsymbol{x_1}) = [\boldsymbol{x_1^T} \quad 1]\boldsymbol{w'}$
  - $y_2 = f(\boldsymbol{x_2}) = [\boldsymbol{x_2^T} \quad 1]\boldsymbol{w'}$
  - …
  - $y_N = f(\boldsymbol{x_N}) = [\boldsymbol{x_N^T} \quad 1b]$
- In Matrix form
  - $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w'}$

$$\boldsymbol{w'}_{((d+1)\times 1)} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{(N\times(d+1))} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(1)} & x_1^{(2)} & \cdots & x_1^{(d)} & 1 \\ x_2^{(1)} & x_2^{(2)} & \cdots & x_2^{(d)} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_N^{(1)} & x_N^{(2)} & \cdots & x_N^{(d)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{(N\times 1)} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear Regression

- It can also be written as:
  - $\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}' = \boldsymbol{y}$
- If $\boldsymbol{X}$ is square (N=d+1) then the solution to the above equation is
  - $\boldsymbol{w}' = \boldsymbol{X}^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$
- Example
  - $\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{3.5} \\ \mathbf{4.75} \end{bmatrix}$
  - Thus: $\boldsymbol{w}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1.25} \\ \mathbf{2.25} \end{bmatrix}$



x	y
1	3.5
2	4.75

Linear Regression: Least Squares solution

- However, having a square $\boldsymbol{X}$ is very restrictive
- If X is not square, we can use a pseudo-inverse

$$\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}' = \boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}' = \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\boldsymbol{w}' = \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-\mathbf{1}}\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\boldsymbol{w}' = \boldsymbol{X}^{+}\boldsymbol{y}$$

- $\boldsymbol{X}^{+} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-\mathbf{1}}\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ is the pseudo-inverse
- Used to solve over-determined systems
  - More constraints than parameters

Linear Regression: Least Squares solution

- Compute the pseudo-inverse and plot
- Note that the line isn't passing through all points



x	y
1	3.5
2	4.75
3	7.05
4	9.5
…	…

Properties of the least-squares solution

- The previous line represents a least squares (LS) solution to the regression problem
- It minimizes the mean square error of the prediction
- The mean square error resulting from a specific weight vector can be written as (ignoring bias):
  - $\boldsymbol{E(w)} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i-1}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}(\boldsymbol{f(x_i)} - y_i)^{\boldsymbol{2}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i-1}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}\left(\boldsymbol{x_i^T w} - y_i\right)^{\boldsymbol{2}}$
  - Thus the LS learning problem can written as:

$$min_{\boldsymbol{w}}\boldsymbol{E(w)} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i-1}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}\left(\boldsymbol{x_i^T w} - y_i\right)^{\boldsymbol{2}}$$

  - In Matrix form, $\boldsymbol{E(w)} = \frac{1}{N}\boldsymbol{(Xw - y)^T(Xw - y)}$
  - If we differentiate $\boldsymbol{E(w)}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$ and substituting it to zero we get: $\boldsymbol{Xw = y}$
    - We can now solve for $\boldsymbol{w}$ to get a closed form least square solution

Least squares visualized

- The thick red lines indicate the error corresponding to each data point
- Least square solution minimizes the sum of the square lengths of all red lines



Problems with least squares solution

- Due to squaring of the error of each data point the least square solution is very sensitive to outliers
- It gives only a linear solution



Support Vector Regression

- Hard Form
  - All errors must be within a user specified threshold
  - Minimize the norm of the weight vector

$\boldsymbol{min}_{w,b} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2$

Such that for all $\boldsymbol{i} = 1 \ldots N$:

$$\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i + b\right) - y_i\right| \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$





Penalty/loss function

Support Vector Regression

- Soft Form
  - Errors must be within a user specified threshold or penalize them linearly (instead of quadratically as in the LS solution)
  - Minimize the norm of the weight vector
- More robust!

$$\boldsymbol{min}_{\boldsymbol{w},b,\boldsymbol{\xi}\geqslant 0}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^{\boldsymbol{2}} + C\sum_{\boldsymbol{i=1}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}\xi_{\boldsymbol{i}}$$

Such that for all $\boldsymbol{i}$:

$$\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} + b\right) - y_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right| \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \xi_{\boldsymbol{i}}$$



SVR: Primal Form



$$\boldsymbol{min}_{\boldsymbol{w},b,\boldsymbol{\xi}\geqslant 0}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2 + C\sum_{\boldsymbol{i=1}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}(\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^-)$$

Such that for all $\boldsymbol{i}$:

$$y_i - \left(\boldsymbol{w^T x_i} + b\right) \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \xi_i^+$$

$$\left(\boldsymbol{w^T x_i} + b\right) - y_i \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \xi_i^-$$

$$\xi_i^+, \xi_i^- \geq 0$$

So what is min $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2$ doing here

- Geometric interpretation of margin maximization in regression

SVR: Dual Form

$$max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\alpha_i^+ - \alpha_i^-)\left(\alpha_j^+ - \alpha_j^-\right)\boldsymbol{x_i^T x_j} - \epsilon\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\alpha_i^+ + \alpha_i^-) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i(\alpha_i^+ - \alpha_i^-)$$

Subject to:

$$0 \le \alpha_i^+ \le C, 0 \le \alpha_i^- \le C$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\alpha_i^+ - \alpha_i^-) = 0$$

- We can now apply the kernel trick and use it for non-linear regression

Kernels in SVR

- In the kernel space the SVR is fitting a line which corresponds to an arbitrary curve in the original feature space



SVR in action



Figure 13.7 The fitted regression line to data points shown as crosses and the ϵ-tube are shown ($C = 10, \epsilon = 0.25$). There are three cases: In (a), the instance is in the tube; in (b), the instance is on the boundary of the tube (circled instances); in (c), it is outside the tube with a positive slack, that is, $\xi_+^t > 0$ (squared instances). (b) and (c) are support vectors. In terms of the dual variable, in (a), $\alpha_+^t = 0, \alpha_-^t = 0$, in (b), $\alpha_+^t < C$, and in (c), $\alpha_+^t = C$.

SVR with quadratic kernel



Figure 13.8 The fitted regression line and the ϵ-tube using a quadratic kernel are shown ($C = 10, \epsilon = 0.25$). Circled instances are the support vectors on the margins, squared instances are support vectors which are outliers.

SVR with RBF kernel



Figure 13.9 The fitted regression line and the ϵ-tube using a Gaussian kernel with two different spreads are shown ($C = 10, \epsilon = 0.25$). Circled instances are the support vectors on the margins, and squared instances are support vectors that are outliers.

Multi-output regression

- When we need to predict more than one variable as the output
  - The output variables may not be independent of each other

$$h : \Omega_{X_1} \times \ldots \times \Omega_{X_m} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y_1} \times \ldots \times \Omega_{Y_d}$$
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \longmapsto \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_d),$$

  - Solutions?
    - Apply a regression method for each variable
      - Shortcoming?
        - » Correlations are ignored

- Borchani, Hanen, Gherardo Varando, Concha Bielza, and Pedro Larrañaga. 2015. "A Survey on Multi-Output Regression." *Wiley Int. Rev. Data Min. and Knowl. Disc.* 5 (5): 216–33. doi:10.1002/widm.1157.

Required

- Reading:
  - Section 13.10 in Alpaydin 2010
- Problems
  - Discuss least squares regression and SVR in terms of structural risk minimization (SRM)
  - Understand how we achieved the dual form
  - Understand how we got from $\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} + b\right) - y_i\right| \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \xi_i$ to the following two constraints

$$y_i - \left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} + b\right) \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \xi_i^+$$
$$\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} + b\right) - y_i \leq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \xi_i^-$$

We want to make a machine that will be proud of us.

- Danny Hillis