Homework Assignment #4

CS 589 Principles of Database Systems: Spring 2016

This assignment is due Thursday, 2 June, at the beginning of class. You may do this assignment with a partner. If you do so, turn in a single submission with both names. You may seek help from your partner, the instructor and the class mailing list, but not other sources.

4A (10 points): Give a relational algebra expression that computes I_P for the Datalog program P for Local (In, Loc, Num) given in class. You can restrict your attention to Local facts. That is, given a set of facts f, your expression should return all Local facts in $I_P(f)$.

Note: You may use expressions in project, for example $\pi_{A.B.E=A+2B}(r)$.

4B a. (10 points) Bancilhon and Ramakrishnan provide a syntactic condition for "strong safety" of Datalog programs with evaluable predicates that guarantees a program returns only a finite number of facts (equivalently, has a finite minimum model). However, there are programs with evaluable predicates that are finite even though they are not strongly safe. For example,

```
TotalPay(P, S)
:- Salary(P, S1), Bonus(P,S2), Sum(S1, S2, S).
```

fails their definition, since the variable S doesn't appear in a base predicate. Give a more relaxed definition of strongly safe that would permit rules such as the one above. Explain how your condition guarantees finiteness.

- b. (5 points) Show that the program for Local (In, Loc, Num) fails to satisfy your condition.
- c. (10 points) Give a variant of the Local program that is strongly safe under your condition.
- 4C. Consider the following program P_{Fill} that determines if tiles can fill an M-by-N area.

```
Fill(M, N) :- Tile(M, N).
Fill(M, N) :- Fill(N, M).
Fill(M, N) :- Fill(M, N1), Fill(M, N2),
    Sum(N1, N2, N), LessEq(M, 10), LessEq(N, 10).
```

a. (10 points) Suppose the extensional database is

```
Tile(2, 4). Tile(1, 3).
```

Show that P_{Fill} with this database implies Fill(2, 7) by giving a set of ground instances of rules that derive this fact.

- b. (10 points) Explain why P_{Fill} with the facts above cannot derive Fill (5, 5).
- c. (5 points) Show that there is a way to arrange tiles of the sizes above into a 5-by-5 area with no gaps.
- 4D. Refer to program P_{Fill} above.

```
a. (10 points) If we remove
        Fill(M, N) :- Fill(N, M).
and add
        Fill(M, N) :- Tile(N, M).
do we get an equivalent program? Support your answer.
b. (15 points) If we remove
        Fill(M, N) :- Fill(N, M).
and add
```

Fill(M, N) :- Tile(N, M).

4E There is an underground network of rooms and tunnels (described by predicates Room and Tunnel). Some rooms have Gold and some rooms have Monsters. You can Winfrom a room if you can go from that room to some gold without encountering monsters. If you can't, there is NoWin from that room. We can describe the situation with the following program P_{Game} .

```
\label{eq:winfrom} \begin{split} & \text{WinFrom}(R) := \text{Gold}(R) \,, \, \neg \text{Monsters}(R) \,. \\ & \text{WinFrom}(R) := \text{Tunnel}(R, \, S) \,, \, \text{WinFrom}(S) \,, \, \neg \text{Monsters}(R) \,. \\ & \text{NoWin}(R) := \text{Room}(R) \,, \, \neg \text{WinFrom}(R) \,. \end{split}
```

- a. (5 points) Give a stratification of the program P_{Game} . Include extensional predicates.
- b. (15 points) Evaluate P_{Game} with the following extensional database, using your stratification. Show the facts that you calculate at each stratum.

```
Room(treasury).

Room(batcave).

Room(den).

Room(lair).

Room(armory).

Room(prison).

Gold(treasury).

Tunnel(batcave, treasury).

Tunnel(lair, batcave).

Tunnel(den, treasury).

Tunnel(armory, den).

Tunnel(lair, armory).

Tunnel(prison, armory).

Monsters(den).
```