

Motivating Examples

- South African Heart Disease Data
- Challenge Disaster Data
- Data: (y_i, \mathbf{x}_i) where $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, or (y_i, m_i, \mathbf{x}_i) where y_i denotes the number of 1's among m_i cases whose x -value = \mathbf{x}_i . Here we merge the intercept into \mathbf{x} .
- The linear model, $y_i \sim N(\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$, is **not appropriate**. Instead we should model $y_i \sim \text{Bin}(m_i, p(\mathbf{x}_i))$.

The Binomial Distribution

- **Bernoulli distribution:** $Z = 1$ (success) or 0

$$\mathbb{P}(Z = 1) = p, \quad \mathbb{P}(Z = 0) = 1 - p.$$

- $Y =$ number of successes in m iid Bernoulli trials

$$Y \sim \text{Bin}(m, p)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y = j) &= \binom{m}{j} p^j (1 - p)^{m-j} \\ &= \frac{m!}{j!(m-j)!} p^j (1 - p)^{m-j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = mp, \quad \text{Var}(Y) = mp(1 - p).$$

Logistic Regression Model

Recall that for linear models, we assume the conditional mean of the response variable Y is a linear function of the covariates \mathbf{x} ,

$$\mathbb{E}(Y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^t \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

When Y is binary, 0 or 1, the conditional mean is

$$\mathbb{E}(Y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}).$$

Since $p(\mathbf{x})$ is constrained to be between 0 and 1, it is not realistic to assume $p(\mathbf{x})$ takes a linear form. Instead we assume its transformation (or referred to as a **link** function) is a linear function,

$$g(p(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}^t \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Define the **logit function** (i.e., the odds)

$$\text{logit}(p) = \log \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

Write

$$p_i = p(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1 | X = \mathbf{x}_i).$$

With the **logistic model**, we assume the odds at a given \mathbf{x}_i is a linear function of \mathbf{x}_i :

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = \mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad p_i = \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}}.$$

Parameter Estimation: MLE

- Likelihood:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{1-y_i}, \text{ or}$$

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{m_i - y_i}.$$

- Log-likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i \log \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}} + (1 - y_i) \log \frac{1}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i \mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta} - \log(1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The **NewtonRaphson method**: to solve $\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0$, we start with some initial value $\boldsymbol{\beta}^0$, and then repeatedly update

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\beta}^0 - \ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0)^{-1} \ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0),$$

where ℓ' is a vector and ℓ'' is a matrix.

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_i \left[y_i \mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta} - \log(1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right]$$

$$\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0) = \sum_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i - \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}^0}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}^0}} \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$= \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i (y_i - p_i^0)$$

$$\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_i p_i^0 (1 - p_i^0) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^t$$

The MLE $\hat{\beta}$ can be obtained by the following **Reweighted LS**

Algorithm:

- Start with some initial values β^0
- Calculate the corresponding p_i^0 (based on β^0) for $i = 1, \dots, n$;
define $W = \text{diag}(p_i^0(1 - p_i^0))_{i=1}^n$.

- Calculate

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{X}\beta^0 + W^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p}^0).$$

- Update β^0 with

$$\beta = (\mathbf{X}^t W \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t W \mathbf{z}.$$

And iterative the above steps until convergence.

- In R, use the `glm` command.
- For each $\hat{\beta}_j$, we have the **Z-score**

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim \text{N}(0, 1), \quad \text{approximately,}$$

where **se** is calculated based on the iteratively reweighted least squares approximation. Hypothesis testing (e.g., the **p-value**) and CI for β_j can be obtained based on the Z -score.

- How to interpret $\hat{\beta}_j$?
- **Model Selection**: AIC or BIC (stepwise, backward or forward).

Deviance

- We have data (y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, m_i) , where

$$y_i \sim \text{Bin}(m_i, p_i), \quad p_i = p(\mathbf{x}_i),$$

and logit $p(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i^t \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

- In logistic regression, we **do not** measure the residual as the difference between $y_i - m_i \hat{p}_i$, as what we did in linear regression. Instead we have the so-called **deviance** residuals or **Pearson** or χ^2 residuals.

The corresponding RSS (residual-sum-of-squares) is equal to

– deviance:

$$-2 \log \text{likelihood} = -2 \sum_i \log f(y_i; \hat{\beta}),$$

– or Pearson's χ^2 statistic:

$$\sum_i \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \sum_i \left(\frac{O_i - E_i}{\sqrt{E_i}} \right)^2$$

where $O_i = y_i$ and $E_i = m_i \hat{p}_i$. In both cases, the RSS (approximately) follows a χ^2 distribution with $\text{df} = (n - \text{num-of-parameters})$.

Model Comparison

When comparing two nested models, we can use any of the following methods:

- Their RSS difference $\sim \chi^2$ distribution with df equal to the dim difference between the two models;
- Pick the model with smallest AIC/BIC;
- If the two models just differ by one predictor, we can just look at the p -value from the normal test.

a

^aThe F -test is used when there is a scale parameter, such as in the ordinary linear regression, or the quasi-Poisson or quasi-logistic regression that has a dispersion parameter.