# Lecture 20: lnfect(2/2)

How do epidemic and gossip reach people? (i.e., how computer viruses spread?)

> COMS 4995-2: Introduction to Social Networks Thursday, December 8<sup>th</sup>

> > 1



## Epidemic model #2: $S \rightarrow I \rightarrow R$

- \* Thm: Assuming  $\beta \rho < 1$ ,  $E[|Y(\infty)|] \le C \sqrt{N} / (1-\beta \rho)$ 
  - $\rho(G)$ : largest eigenvalue of G's adjacency matrix
  - C = √ #{initial infected population}
- \* If  $\beta \rho < 1$  and C=o( $\sqrt{N}$ ), negligible fraction removed

\* Examples:

- G is d-regular (same degree):  $\rho(G) = d$
- Can be applied to bound unif. random graphs



# Proof: recap of step1

\* By counting all chains of infection from v to u

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y(\infty)|] = \sum_{u \in V} P[Y_u(\infty) = 1] \le \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v \in v} X_v(0) \cdot \sum_{t \ge 0} \beta^t A_{v,u}^t$$

- Because 
$$A_{v,u}^{\iota}$$
 is # sequences v=u<sub>o</sub>,u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>t</sub>=u

- The chance that each sequence succeeds is  $eta^{m{ au}}$
- And probability of union event ≤ sum of probability



# Proof: rewriting

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[|Y(\infty)|] &= \sum_{u \in V} P[Y_u(\infty) = 1] \le \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v \in v} X_v(0) \cdot \sum_{t \ge 0} \beta^t A_{v,u}^t \\ & * \text{Rewrite as } \mathbb{E}[|Y(\infty)|] \le \left\langle e_1, \sum_{t \ge 0} (\beta A)^t X(0) \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Same using vector/matrix notation

- Where 
$$\langle x,y
angle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \cdot y_i$$
 and  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\\vdots\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ 



## Some definition on norms

\* We introduce norms  
- vectors 
$$||v||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2}$$
 Note it implies  $\langle x, y \rangle \le ||x||_2 \cdot ||y||_2$   
- and matrices:  $||A||_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{||A.x||_2}{||x||_2}$   
- This implies  $||A \cdot x||_2 \le ||A||_2 \cdot ||x||_2$ 

– This also implies  $||A|| = \rho(A)$ 



# Proof: completing

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y(\infty)|] \le \left\langle e_1, \sum_{t \ge 0} (\beta A)^t X(0) \right\rangle$$

\* We deduce  $\mathbb{E}[|Y(\infty)|] \le ||e_1|| \times ||\sum_{t \ge 0} (\beta A)^t|| \times ||X(0)||$ 

\* Note that 
$$\sum_{t\geq 0} (\beta A)^t = (\mathrm{Id} - \beta A)^{-1}$$

- First, the series converge as  $\beta 
  ho(A) < 1$
- Second, we can verify it is the inverse of



#### Conclusion

#### \* We hence have $\mathbb{E}[|Y(\infty)|] \le ||e_1|| \times ||(\mathrm{Id} - \beta A)^{-1}|| \times ||X(0)||$

- From there, we can conclude the theorem
- E[  $|Y(\infty)|$ ] ≤ C  $\sqrt{N}$  / (1-βρ) where C=√initial inf. pop.



## Epidemic model #2: $S \rightarrow I \rightarrow R$

- \* Thm: Assuming  $\beta \rho < 1$ ,  $E[|Y(\infty)|] \le C \sqrt{N} / (1-\beta \rho)$ 
  - $\rho(G)$ : largest eigenvalue of G's adjacency matrix
  - C = √ #{initial infected population}
- \* Examples of application
  - G is d-regular (same degree)?
  - G is a complete graph?
  - G is a star network?
  - G a uniform random graph?





- \* Continuous epidemics, "logistic model"
- \* Discrete epidemics, "graph"
  - Adjacency matrix
  - SI, SIR model
  - SIS
- \* Epidemic algorithms



## Epidemic model #3: S↔I

\* Nodes follow neighbor contamination / recovery

- Node  $u \in V$  infectious  $(X_u = 1)$  or susceptible  $(X_u = 0)$
- Node u becomes infected with rate  $\beta \cdot \sum_{v \in N(u)} X_v$
- Node u recovers with rate  $\gamma$ =1
- \* In a finite graph, all nodes eventually recover
  - Because  $(X_u = o \forall u \in V)$  is the only absorbing state
  - Different on infinite graphs (e.g. lattices, trees)



### Epidemic model #3: S↔I

- \* Can we recover fast from an epidemy?
- \* Thm:  $P[X(t) \neq (0,..,0)] \leq C \sqrt{N} \exp(t (\beta \rho 1))$ 
  - $\rho(G)$ : largest eigenvalue of G's adjacency matrix
  - C = √ #{initial infected population}
- \* Corollary: If  $\beta \rho < 1$ , choosing t=ln(n)/(1- $\beta \rho$ ) we can prove
  - $E[extinction time] \le (1+ln(n))/(1-\beta\rho)$
- \* Bottom line: goes to zero very fast if  $\beta \rho < 1$ 
  - complete graph:  $\rho(G)=n-1$
  - uniform random graph:  $\rho(G)$ ≈ (n-1)p (if np = ω(log n))

The effect of network topology on the spread of epidemics, A Ganesh, L Massoulié, D Towsley, IEEE Infocom (2005)





#### \* Step1: Introduce a random walk process Z<sub>u</sub>(t)

#### \* Intuitively we have $P[X(t) \neq 0] \leq P[Z(t) \neq 0]$

- This statement can be made precise by coupling
- Note:  $P[Z(t) \neq 0] \leq \Sigma_v P[Z_v(t) \neq 0] \leq \Sigma_v E[Z_v(t)]$



### Proof:

\* How does Z(t) evolve?

$$\frac{d}{dt}Z_u(t) = \sum_v \beta A_{u,v} Z_v(t) - Z_u(t)$$

– This is a linear evolution!

- \* In expectation, it is  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[Z_u(t)] = \sum \beta A_{u,v}\mathbb{E}[Z_v(t)] \mathbb{E}[Z_u(t)]$ 
  - This is a linear deterministic evolution (N dimension)
  - Which is  $\mathbb{E}[Z(t)] = e^{t(\beta A \mathrm{Id})}Z(0) = e^{t(\beta A \mathrm{Id})}X(0)$

\* So that  $P[Z(t) \neq 0] \le ||e_1|| ||exp(t \cdot (\beta A - I)) X(0)||$ 



#### Proof:

\* Finally, we can apply the same bounding technique  $- P[X(t) \neq 0] \leq ||e_1|| ||exp(t \cdot (\beta A - I)) X(0)||$ 



### Discrete epidemics: summary

Туре	Outcomes
S→I	Everyone infected
S⇔I	No infectious nodes
S→I→R	No infectious node

Follow processes of infection
Initial conditions: small set infected nodes
Outcomes generally trivial
Speed or span depend on graph topology (e.g. spectral analysis)



- \* Continuous epidemics, "logistic model"
- \* Discrete epidemics, "graph"
- \* Epidemic algorithms



# **Epidemic Algorithms**

\* Replicated database maintenance

- Different versions, many locations
- How to handle communication? failures ?
- \* 1987 "Epidemic alg., rumor spreading, gossip"
  - Do not maintain fixed communication topology
  - Contact a node unif., spread if one node has a copy
- \* How many rounds S<sub>n</sub> before rumor spreads to all
  - $-S_n = (1+1/\ln(2)) \log(n) + O(1)$  in probability

On spreading a rumor, B. Pittel, SIAM J. Appl. Math. (1987)

Epidemic algorithms for replicated database, maintenance, A Demers et. al, ACM PODC. (1987)



## How gossip compares to optimal?

\* What about using simply a fixed binary tree:

- Also takes time O(log(n)), using O(n) messages
- Seems optimal in both ways, but prone to failure
- \* Gossip:
  - Time O(log(n)) (optimal) and O(n log n) messages
  - In fact, unif. gossip requires at least ω(n) messages, and Ω(n loglog(n)) if no addresses are kept (the latter can be attained)

Randomized rumor spreading, R Karp and C Schindelhauer and S Shenker and B Vocking, FOCS. (2000)



# Effect of network topology

- \* What if communication is constrained?
  - Draw a graph between gossiping nodes G=(V,E)
  - A node u can contact v only if (u,v) is an edge in E
  - Let P<sub>u,v</sub> be the communication matrix between nodes
     \* (u,v) not in E implies P<sub>u,v</sub> = 0
- \* Main questions:
  - Which P ensures fast gossip dissemination?
  - How does gossip dissemination compares to optimal?



## Effect of network topology

- \* Main result: If P irreducible, symmetric
  - Let  $T_{\operatorname{spr}}^{\operatorname{one}}(\varepsilon) = \sup_{v \in V} \inf \left\{ t: \Pr\left(S(t) \neq V \,|\, S(0) = \{v\}\right) \le \varepsilon \right\}$

- We have 
$$T_{\rm spr}^{\rm one}(\varepsilon) = O\left(\frac{\log n + \log \varepsilon^{-1}}{\Phi(P)}\right)$$

- Where 
$$\Phi(P) = \min_{S \subset V : |S| \le n/2} \frac{\sum_{i \in S; j \in S^c} P_{ij}}{|S|}$$



### How gossip compares to optimal?

- \* Depending on graph topology
  - Let  $\varepsilon = \Omega(1/n^a)$  for a given a>0
  - Complete graph:  $P_{u,v} = 1/n$ ;  $\Phi(P) = 1/2$ Already seen that  $T^{one}_{spr}(\epsilon)$  is  $O(\log n)$ , which is optimal
  - Ring:  $P_{u,u+1} = 1/4$ ,  $P_{u,u-1} = 1/4$ ,  $P_{u,u} = 1/2$ ; Φ(P)∝1/n T<sup>one</sup><sub>spr</sub>(ε) = O(n log n), optimal uses at least n steps
  - $\alpha$ \_expander, d regular:  $P_{u,v}=1/2d$ ,  $P_{u,u}=1/2$ ;  $\Phi(P)=\alpha/2d$  $T^{one}_{spr}(\epsilon) = O(\log n)$ , which is optimal



# Proof

- \* Two phases:
  - 1. From  $S(t) = \{v\}$  to L-1
  - 2. From L= inf{ t | #S(t) > n/2 } to #S(t) = n
- \* Ingredients of the proof: Phase 2
  - a. Assume L is attained and hence #S(L)>n/2
  - Study evolution of conditional expectation
     E[ #S(t+1) #S(t) | S(t) ]
  - c. Uses Markov inequality  $(X \ge 0 \Rightarrow P[X \ge a] \le E[X]/a)$



# Proof

- \* Two phases:
  - 1. From  $S(t) = \{v\}$  to L-1
  - 2. From L= inf{ t | #S(t) > n/2 } to #S(t) = n
- \* Ingredients of the proof:
  - a. Study evolution of conditional expectation E[ #S(t+1) - #S(t) | S(t) ]
  - **b.** Uses Markov inequality  $(X \ge 0 \Rightarrow P[X \ge a] \le E[X]/a)$
  - c. For phase 1, need to rewrite as super-martingale



# **Epidemic algorithm: Summary**

- \* Not far from SI epidemic spread
  - With emphasis on communications constraints
- \* Key property: graph conductance
- \* Many extensions:
  - Send a message from each node
  - Send a stream of messages
  - Compute average value

