

Power Comparison for T-Test and Sign Test- Normal and Exponential Samples

Zhaohui Geng and Kenneth Locke

What is the Sign Test

- Nonparametric alternative to t-test when normality is not assumed (Cannot use mean for hypothesis test as distribution not symmetric)

i.e., $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Unknown Distribution}$

- Sign test is used for hypothesis tests for a median ($\mu = \text{median}$)

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

$$H_1: \mu > \mu_0$$

- For our test

$$H_0: \mu = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu > 0$$

- Create a new variable Y

$$X_i = 0 \text{ then } Y_i = 1$$

$$X_i > 0 \text{ then } Y_i = 0$$

- Where $Y_i \sim \text{Bern}(\frac{1}{2})$, where $p = \frac{1}{2}$ under the null hypothesis

How to Calculate the Sign Test Statistic W

- $W = \sum_{i=0}^n Y_i$
- $W \leq c$ reject H_0 and accept when $W > c$
- c is the critical value of x where the CDF of the Binomial Distribution for $Y_i \sim \text{Bin}\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ has a probability less than or equal to α
- $\sum_{w=0}^c \binom{n}{w} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \leq \alpha_0 < \sum_{w=0}^{c+1} \binom{n}{w} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$
- $F(c) \leq \alpha_0 < F(c + 1)$, where F is the CDF of Binomial distribution

Example of Sign Test

$n = 50$ observations where $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n \sim \text{Unknown Distribution}$

i	X_i	Y_i
1	0	1
2	3	0
3	4	0
4	2	0
5	1	0
.....		
50	0	1

$$H_0: \mu = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu > 0$$

$$\alpha = .05$$

μ is the median

$$\sum_{w=0}^c \binom{n}{w} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \leq \alpha_0 < \sum_{w=0}^{c+1} \binom{n}{w} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

$W = 13$ and $c = 18$ (Calculated using R)

$W < c$ therefore reject the null hypothesis

Calculating Power for T Test

Power = Probability of rejecting the null hypothesis while the alternative is true

$$\pi(\theta \in \Omega_1 | \delta = 1) = \text{Power}$$

1. We need to calculate the rejection region for the underlying distribution

$$Z_{1-\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \text{ so that } \hat{\mu} = Z_{1-\alpha} * \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + \mu$$

2. For the null $H_0: \mu = 0$, the t distribution is as follows

$$t = \frac{\hat{\mu} - 0}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

3. Calculate the probability of rejecting null hypothesis for t

$$P(t > t_{n-1, 1-\alpha} | \mu = \mu_0 > 0)$$

Calculating Power for Sign Test

Power = Probability of rejecting the null hypothesis while the alternative is true

$$\text{Power} = p(w \leq c)$$

1. Find the probability that X_i is less than or equal to μ_0 for its respective underlying distribution. For $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$

$$p = P(X_i \leq 0)$$

2. Plug in p into the Sign Power Function to calculate Power

$$\text{Power} = p(w \leq c) = \sum_{w=0}^c \binom{n}{w} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}$$

Power Comparisons for $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

- Goal: To find the test with the highest power for various values of μ and n using R simulation
- $\mu < 0$ values were not used as power would be zero
- Conclusion- T test has higher power for all n

$\mu = .5$

n	<i>Power t</i>	<i>Power Sign</i>
10	.1123249	.02932231
20	.1951637	.07339428
30	.2304711	.17757490
40	.2710237	.18181277
50	.3793789	.18164215

$\mu = 1$

n	<i>Power t</i>	<i>Power Sign</i>
10	.2569638	.06815736
20	.3334795	.19240541
30	.4241432	.41916884
40	.6417767	.46953730
50	.7941511	.50839380

$\mu = 3$

n	<i>Power t</i>	<i>Power Sign</i>
10	.9570575	.5128569
20	.9984613	.9158307
30	.9989558	.9954012
40	.9996289	.9992399
50	.9999996	.9998710

Power Comparisons for $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$

- Goal: To find the test with the highest power for various values of μ and n using R simulation
- Sign Test has the higher power of 1 for all n and μ as $p = P(X_i \leq$

$\mu = .5$

n	<i>Power t</i>	<i>Power Sign</i>
10	.5437356	1
20	.9774222	1
30	.8706834	1
40	.9891579	1
50	.9992951	1

$\mu = 1$

n	<i>Power t</i>	<i>Power Sign</i>
10	.9502959	1
20	.9993981	1
30	.9986037	1
40	.9954691	1
50	.9985689	1