Overview of Query Evaluation

Chapter 12 Sayyed Nezhadi

Query Evaluation

How could we evaluate the following query?

Sailors (*sid*: integer, *sname*: string, *rating*: integer, *age*: real) Reserves (*sid*: integer, *bid*: integer, *day*: dates, *rname*: string)

$$-\pi_{Date}(\sigma_{R.SID=S.SID \text{ and } Rating = 10} (R \times S))$$

Schema for Examples

Sailors (*sid*: integer, *sname*: string, *rating*: integer, *age*: real) Reserves (*sid*: integer, *bid*: integer, *day*: dates, *rname*: string)

Reserves:

Each tuple is 40 bytes long, 100 tuples per page, 1000 pages.

Sailors:

Each tuple is 50 bytes long, 80 tuples per page, 500 pages.

Overview of Query Evaluation

- Plan: Tree of R.A. ops, with choice of alg for each op.
 - Each operator typically implemented using a `pull' interface: when an operator is `pulled' for the next output tuples, it `pulls' on its inputs and computes them.
- Two main issues in query optimization:
 - For a given query, what plans are considered?
 - Algorithm to search plan space for cheapest (estimated) plan.
 - How is the cost of a plan estimated?
- Ideally: Want to find best plan. Practically: Avoid worst plans!
- We will study the System R approach.

Some Common Techniques

- Algorithms for evaluating relational operators use some simple ideas extensively:
 - Indexing: Can use WHERE conditions to retrieve small set of tuples (selections, joins)
 - Iteration: Sometimes, faster to scan all tuples even if there is an index. (And sometimes, we can scan the data entries in an index instead of the table itself.)
 - Partitioning: By using sorting or hashing, we can partition the input tuples and replace an expensive operation by similar operations on smaller inputs.

^{*} Watch for these techniques as we discuss query evaluation!

Statistics and Catalogs

- Need information about the relations and indexes involved. Catalogs typically contain at least:
 - # tuples (NTuples) and # pages (NPages) for each relation.
 - # distinct key values (NKeys) and NPages for each index.
 - Index height, low/high key values (Low/High) for each tree index.
- Catalogs updated periodically.
 - Updating whenever data changes is too expensive; lots of approximation anyway, so slight inconsistency ok.
- More detailed information (e.g., histograms of the values in some field) are sometimes stored.

Access Paths

- An <u>access path</u> is a method of retrieving tuples:
 - File scan, or index that matches a selection (in the query)
- ❖ A tree index <u>matches</u> (a conjunction of) terms that involve only attributes in a *prefix* of the search key.
 - E.g., Tree index on $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ matches the selection a=5 AND b=3, and a=5 AND b>6, but not b=3.
- * A hash index <u>matches</u> (a conjunction of) terms that has a term <u>attribute</u> = <u>value</u> for every attribute in the search key of the index.
 - E.g., Hash index on $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ matches a=5 AND b=3 AND c=5; but it does not match b=3, or a=5 AND b=3, or a>5 AND b=3 AND c=5.

A Note on Complex Selections

(day<8/9/94 AND rname='Paul') OR bid=5 OR sid=3

 Selection conditions are first converted to <u>conjunctive normal form (CNF)</u>:

```
(day<8/9/94 or bid=5 or sid=3) AND (rname='Paul' or bid=5 or sid=3)
```

 We only discuss case with no ORs; see text if you are curious about the general case.

One Approach to Selections

- Find the most selective access path, retrieve tuples using it, and apply any remaining terms that don't match the index:
 - Most selective access path: An index or file scan that we estimate will require the fewest page I/Os.
 - Terms that match this index reduce the number of tuples retrieved; other terms are used to discard some retrieved tuples, but do not affect number of tuples/pages fetched.
 - Consider day<8/9/94 AND bid=5 AND sid=3. A B+ tree index on day can be used; then, bid=5 and sid=3 must be checked for each retrieved tuple. Similarly, a hash index on <bid, sid> could be used; day<8/9/94 must then be checked.</p>

Using an Index for Selections

- Cost depends on #qualifying tuples, and clustering.
 - Cost of finding qualifying data entries (typically small) plus cost of retrieving records (could be large w/o clustering).
 - In example, assuming uniform distribution of names, about 10% of tuples qualify (100 pages, 10000 tuples). With a clustered index on rname, cost is little more than 100 I/Os; if unclustered, upto 10000 I/Os!

SELECT *
FROM Reserves R
WHERE R.rname <= 'C%'

Projection

- The expensive part is removing duplicates.
 - SQL systems don't remove duplicates unless the keyword DISTINCT is specified in a query.
- Sorting Approach: Sort on <sid, bid> and remove duplicates. (Can optimize this by dropping unwanted information while sorting.)
- Hashing Approach: Hash on <sid, bid> to create partitions.
 Load partitions into memory one at a time, build in-memory hash structure, and eliminate duplicates.
- Using Indexes: If there is an index with both R.sid and R.bid in the search key, may be cheaper to sort data entries!

SELECT DISTINCT
R.sid, R.bid
Reserves R

Join: Index Nested Loops

foreach tuple r in R do foreach tuple s in S where $r_i == s_j$ do add <r, s> to result

- If there is an index on the join column of one relation (say S), can make it the inner and exploit the index.
 - Cost: $M + ((M*p_R) * cost of finding matching S tuples)$
 - M=#pages of R, p_R =# R tuples per page
- For each R tuple, cost of probing S index is about 1.2 for hash index, 2-4 for B+ tree. Cost of then finding S tuples depends on clustering.
 - Clustered index: 1 I/O (typical), unclustered: upto 1 I/O per matching S tuple.

Examples of Index Nested Loops

- Hash-index on sid of Sailors (as inner):
 - Scan Reserves: 1000 page I/Os, 100*1000 tuples.
 - For each Reserves tuple: 1.2 I/Os to get data entry in index, plus 1 I/O to get (the exactly one) matching Sailors tuple.
 Total: (1+1.2)*100000=220,000 I/Os.
- Hash-index on sid of Reserves (as inner):
 - Scan Sailors: 500 page I/Os, 80*500 tuples.
 - For each Sailors tuple: 1.2 I/Os to find index page with data entries, plus cost of retrieving matching Reserves tuples.
 Assuming uniform distribution, 2.5 reservations per sailor (100,000 / 40,000). Cost of retrieving them is 1 or 2.5 I/Os depending on whether the index is clustered.

Join: Sort-Merge $(R \bowtie_{i=j} S)$

- Sort R and S on the join column, then scan them to do a ``merge'' (on join col.), and output result tuples.
 - Advance scan of R until current R-tuple >= current S tuple, then advance scan of S until current S-tuple >= current R tuple; do this until current R tuple = current S tuple.
 - At this point, all R tuples with same value in Ri (current R group) and all S tuples with same value in Sj (current S group) match; output <r, s> for all pairs of such tuples.
 - Then resume scanning R and S.
- R is scanned once; each S group is scanned once per matching R tuple. (Multiple scans of an S group are likely to find needed pages in buffer.)

Example of Sort-Merge Join

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

sid	bid	day	rname
28	103	12/4/96	guppy
28	103	11/3/96	yuppy
31	101	10/10/96	dustin
31	102	10/12/96	lubber
31	101	10/11/96	lubber
58	103	11/12/96	dustin

- Cost: M log M + N log N + (M+N)
 - The cost of scanning, M+N
 - With 35, 100 or 300 buffer pages, both Reserves and Sailors can be sorted in 2 passes; total join cost: 7500, how?