Language Technologies Institute

Carnegie Mellon University

Multimodal Machine Learning

Lecture 4.1: Multimodal Representations

Louis-Philippe Morency

* Original version co-developed with Tadas Baltrusaitis

Objectives of today's class

- Unsupervised representation learning
  - Restricted Boltzmann Machines
  - Autoencoders
  - Deep Belief Nets, Stacked autoencoders
- Multi-modal representations
  - Coordinated vs. joint representations
  - Multimodal Deep Boltzmann Machines
  - Deep Multimodal autoencoders
  - Tensor Fusion representation
  - Low-rank fusion representations

Administrative Stuff

Upcoming Schedule

- First project assignment:
  - Proposal presentation (10/1 and 10/3)
  - First project report (Sunday 10/6)
- Midterm project assignment
  - Midterm presentations (11/5 and 11/7)
  - Midterm report (Sunday 11/10)
- Final project assignment
  - Final presentation (12/3 & 12/5)
  - Final report (Sunday 12/8)

Proposal Presentation

- 5 minutes (about 5-8 slides)
- All team members should be involved in the presentation
- Will receive feedback from instructors and other students
  - 1-2 minutes between presentations reserved for written feedback
- Main presentation points
  - Research problem and motivation
  - Prior work
  - New research ideas
- You need to submit a copy of your slides (PDF or PPT)
  - Deadline: Friday 10/4 (on Gradescope)

Project Proposal Report

- Part 1 (updated version of your pre-proposal)
  - **Introduction:**
    - Describe and motivate the research problem
    - Define in generic terms the main computational challenges
  - **Experimental Setup:**
    - Describe the dataset(s) you are planning to use for this project.
    - Describe the input modalities and annotations available in this dataset.

Project Proposal Report

- Part 2
  - **Related Work:**
    - Include 12-15 paper citations which give an overview of the prior work
    - Present in more details the 3-4 research papers most related to your work
  - **New Research Ideas**
    - Describe your specific challenges and/or research hypotheses
    - Highlight the novel aspect of your proposed research

Project Proposal Report

- Part 3
  - **Language Modality Exploration:**
    - Explore neural language models on your dataset (e.g., using Keras)
    - Train at least two different language models (e.g., using SimpleRNN, GRU or LSTM) on your dataset and compare their perplexity.
    - Include qualitative examples of successes and failure cases.
  - **Visual Modality Exploration:**
    - Explore pre-trained Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) on your dataset
    - Load a pre-existing CNN model trained for object recognition (e.g., VGG-Net) and process your test images.
    - Visualize the visual representations (using t-sne visualization) with overlaid class labels with different colors.

Unsupervised representation learning

Unsupervised learning

- We have access to $X = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n\}$ and not $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$
- Why would we want to tackle such a task
- 1. Extracting interesting information from data
  - Clustering
  - Discovering interesting trends
  - Data compression
- 2. Learn better representations

Unsupervised representation learning

- Force our representations to better model input distribution
  - Not just extracting features for classification
  - Asking the model to be good at representing the data and not overfitting to a particular task
  - Potentially allowing for better generalizability
- Use for initialization of supervised task, especially when we have a lot of unlabeled data and much less labeled examples

Restricted Boltzmann Machines

Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM)

- Undirected Graphical Model
- A generative rather than discriminative model
- Connections from every hidden unit to every visible one
- No connections across units (hence Restricted), makes it easier to train and do inference on



[Smolensky, Information Processing in Dynamical Systems: Foundations of Harmony Theory, 1986]

Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM)

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{h}; \theta) = \frac{\exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{h}; \theta))}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}'} \sum_{\boldsymbol{h}'} \exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{h}'; \theta))}$$

← Partition function Z

- Hidden and visible layers are binary (e.g. $\boldsymbol{x} = \{0, \ldots, 1, 0, 1\}$)

- Model parameters $\theta = \{W, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{a}\}$

$$\mathrm{E} = -\boldsymbol{x}W\boldsymbol{h} - \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{h}$$

$$\mathrm{E} = -\sum_i \sum_j w_{i,j} x_i h_j - \sum_i b_i x_i - \sum_j a_j h_j$$

Interaction term

Bias terms



Boltzmann Machine

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{h}; \theta) = \frac{\exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{h}; \theta))}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}'} \sum_{\boldsymbol{h}'} \exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{h}'; \theta))}$$

- Hidden and visible layers are binary (e.g. $\boldsymbol{x} = \{0, \ldots, 1, 0, 1\}$)





Statistical Mechanics: Boltzmann Distribution

[also called Gibbs measure]

$$p(\boldsymbol{h};\theta) = \frac{\exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{h};\theta)/kT)}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{h}'}\exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{h}';\theta)/kT)}$$

- probability distribution that gives the probability that a system will be in a certain state $\boldsymbol{h}$



$\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{h};\theta)$: Energy of state $\boldsymbol{h}$

k: Boltzmann constant

T: Thermodynamic temperature

RBM inference (have a trained θ)

- For inference
  - $p(h_j = 1|\boldsymbol{x};\theta) = \sigma(\sum_i x_i w_{ij} + a_j)$,
  - $p(x_i = 1|\boldsymbol{h};\theta) = \sigma(\sum_j h_j w_{ij} + b_i)$
  - derived from the joint probability definition
- Conditional inference is easy and of sigmoidal form
  - Given a trained model θ and an observed value $\boldsymbol{x}$ can easily infer $\boldsymbol{h}$
  - Given a trained model θ and an hidden layer value $\boldsymbol{h}$ can easily infer $\boldsymbol{x}$
- Need to sample as we get probabilities rather than values



RBM training (learning the θ)

- Want to have a model that leads to good likelihood of training data
- First express the data likelihood (through marginal probability):
  - $p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta) = \frac{\sum_{\boldsymbol{h}} \exp(-E(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{h};\theta))}{Z}$ $\quad Z = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{h}} \exp(-\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{h};\theta))$
- Want to optimize:
  - $\mathrm{argmin}_{\theta} \left[\sum_t -\log\left(p\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)};\theta\right)\right)\right]$, where t is a data sample
  - sum across all samples
  - minimizing negative log likelihood instead of maximizing the likelihood
- To Approximate computation of model term using Contrastive Divergence
  - Based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo (Gibbs) sampling

[G. Hinton, Training Products of Experts by Minimizing Contrastive Divergence, 2002]

See http://www.iro.umontreal.ca/~lisa/twiki/bin/view.cgi/Public/DBNEquations for more details

RBM extensions

- So far have only modeled binary input and hidden states
- Gaussian-Bernoulli RBM allows for real value modeling
  - Changes the inference and training only very slightly
  - Visible units are modeled as real values (under a Gaussian distribution), but hidden units are still binary
  - [Hinton and Salakhutdinov, Reducing the Dimensionality of Data with Neural Networks, 2006]
- Only requires a small change in some of the equations
- Can also introduce sparsity in hidden layers (sometimes helps)
  - [Lee et al., Sparse deep belief net model for visual area V2, 2007]

Examples of what the model learns



MNIST data



Learned W terms for each hidden unit

Deep Restricted Boltzmann Machines (DBMs)

- Can stack RBMs together to lead do deep versions of them
- The visible layer can be binary, Gaussian or Bernoulli
- Training fully end to end is very difficult
- Greedy layer-wise training
- Combine the RBMs layer by layer



Deep Belief Networks (DBN)

- To make it easier used Deep Belief Networks
  - Actually came before Deep RBMs
- Simplifies model training
- Turn the undirected model to directed one, making the interaction simpler



For more details see [Salakhutdinov and Hinton, Deep Boltzmann Machines, 2009]

Autoencoders

Autoencoders – an alternative to RBM

- What does auto mean?
  - Greek for self – self encoding
- Feed forward network intended to reproduce the input
- Two parts encoder/decoder
  - $x' = f(g(x))$ – score function
  - g - encoder
  - f - decoder



Autoencoders

- Mostly follows Neural Network structure
  - Typically a matrix multiplication followed by a nonlinearity (e.g sigmoid)
- Activation will depend on type of $\boldsymbol{x}$
  - Sigmoid for binary
  - Linear for real valued
- Often we use *tied weights* to force the sharing of weights in encoder/decoder
  - $W^* = W^T$
- word2vec is actually a bit similar to an autoencoder (except for the auto part)



Loss function

- Any differentiable similarity function
- Cross-entropy for binary $\boldsymbol{x}$
  - $L = -\sum_k (x_k \log(x'_k) + (1 - x_k) \log(1 - x'_k))$
- Euclidean for real valued $\boldsymbol{x}$
  - $L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (x_k - x'_k)^2$
- Cosine similarity etc.
- Depends on the data being modeled



Learning

- To learn the model parameters (W^*, W), we use back-propagation
- In case of Euclidean (with linear act) and Cross-entropy (with sigmoid act), we just have $(x' - x)$ error to propagate
- If we're using *tied* weights, gradients need to be summed (like back propagation through time in RNN)
- Can use batch/stochastic gradient descent as before



Denoising autoencoder

- Simple idea
  - Add noise to input $\boldsymbol{x}$ but learn to reconstruct original
- Leads to a more robust representation and prevents copying
- Learns what the relationship is to represent a certain $\boldsymbol{x}$
- Different noise added during each epoch



Autoencoder vs denoising autoencoder

- MNIST data (as before)



Autoencoder | Denoising autoencoder (25% noise) | Denoising autoencoder (50% noise)

Qualitatively denoising autoencoder leads to more meaningful features

Stacked autoencoders

- Can stack autoencoders as well
- Each encoding unit has a corresponding decoder
- As before, inference is feedforward, but now with more hidden layers



Stacked autoencoders

- Greedy layer-wise training
- Start with training first layer
  - Learn to encode $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\boldsymbol{h_1}$ and to decode $\boldsymbol{x}$ from $\boldsymbol{h_1}$
  - Use backpropagation



Stacked autoencoders

- Greedy layer-wise training
- Start with training first layer
  - Learn to encode $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\boldsymbol{h_1}$ and to decode $\boldsymbol{x}$ from $\boldsymbol{h_1}$
  - Use backpropagation
- Map from all $\boldsymbol{x}$'s to $\boldsymbol{h_1}$'s
  - Discard decoder for now
- Train the second layer
  - Learn to encode $\boldsymbol{h_1}$to $\boldsymbol{h_2}$ and to decode $\boldsymbol{h_2}$ from $\boldsymbol{h_1}$
  - Repeat for as many layers



Stacked autoencoders

- Greedy layer-wise training
- Start with training first layer
  - Learn to encode $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\boldsymbol{h_1}$ and to decode $\boldsymbol{x}$ from $\boldsymbol{h_1}$
  - Use backpropagation
- Map from all $\boldsymbol{x}$'s to $\boldsymbol{h_1}$'s
  - Discard decoder for now
- Train the second layer
  - Learn to encode $\boldsymbol{h_1}$to $\boldsymbol{h_2}$ and to decode $\boldsymbol{h_2}$ from $\boldsymbol{h_1}$
  - Repeat for as many layers
- Reconstruct using previously learned decoders mappings
- Fine-tune the full network end-to-end



Stacked denoising autoencoders

- Can extend this to a denoising model
- Add noise when training each of the layers
  - Often with increasing amount of noise per layer
  - 0.1 for first, 0.2 for second, 0.3 for third



Deep representations

- What can we do with them?
- Compression
  - Can work better than PCA
  - [Hinton and Salatkhudinov, Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks, 2006]



Deep representations

- What can we do with them?
- Compression
  - Can work better than PCA
  - [Hinton and Salatkhudinov, Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks, 2006]
- Discarding the decoder and using the middle layer as a representation
- Finetuning the autoencoder for a task



Multimodal representations

Multimodal representations

- What do we want from multi-modal representation
  - Similarity in that space implies similarity in corresponding *concepts*
  - Useful for various discriminative tasks – retrieval, mapping, fusion etc.
  - Possible to obtain in absence of one or more modalities
  - Fill in missing modalities given others (map between modalities)



Core Challenge: Multimodal Representation

Definition: Learning how to represent and summarize multimodal data in away that exploits the complementarity and redundancy.

Ⓐ Joint representations:



Joint Multimodal Representation



Core Challenge 1: Representation

Definition: Learning how to represent and summarize multimodal data in away that exploits the complementarity and redundancy.

A Joint representations:



B Coordinated representations:



Unsupervised Joint representations

Shallow multimodal representations

- Want deep multimodal representations
  - Shallow representations do not capture complex relationships
  - Often shared layer only maps to the shared section directly



Shallow RBM



Shallow Autoencoder

Deep Multimodal autoencoders

- A deep representation learning approach
- A bimodal auto-encoder
  - Used for Audio-visual speech recognition



- [Ngiam et al., Multimodal Deep Learning, 2011]

Deep Multimodal autoencoders - training

- Individual modalities can be pre-trained
  - Denoising Autoencoders
- To train the model to reconstruct the other modality
  - Use both
  - Remove audio



Deep Multimodal autoencoders - training

- Individual modalities can be pretrained
  - RBMs
  - Denoising Autoencoders
- To train the model to reconstruct the other modality
  - Use both
  - Remove audio
  - Remove video



Deep Multimodal autoencoders

- Can now discard the decoder and use it for the AVSR task
- Interesting experiment
  - "Hearing to see"





Deep Multimodal Boltzmann machines

- Generative model
- Individual modalities trained like a DBN
- Multimodal representation trained using Variational approaches
- Used for image tagging and cross-media retrieval
- Reconstruction of one modality from another is a bit more “natural” than in autoencoder representation
- Can actually sample text and images



- [Srivastava and Salakhutdinov, Multimodal Learning with Deep Boltzmann Machines, 2012, 2014]

Deep Multimodal Boltzmann machines

- Pre-training on unlabeled data helps
- Can use generative models

Model	MAP	Prec@50
Random	0.124	0.124
SVM (Huiskes et al., 2010)	0.475	0.758
LDA (Huiskes et al., 2010)	0.492	0.754
DBM	0.526 ± 0.007	0.791 ± 0.008
DBM (using unlabelled data)	$\mathbf{0.585} \pm 0.004$	$\mathbf{0.836} \pm 0.004$



- Code is available
  - http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~nitish/multimodal/

Deep Multimodal Boltzmann Machines

- Text information can help visual predictions!
  - Image retrieval task on MIR Flickr dataset

Model	MAP	Prec@50
Image LDA (Huiskes et al., 2010)	0.315	-
Image SVM (Huiskes et al., 2010)	0.375	-
Image DBN	0.463 ± 0.004	0.801 ± 0.005
Image DBM	0.469 ± 0.005	0.803 ± 0.005
Multimodal DBM (generated text)	$\mathbf{0.531 \pm 0.005}$	$\mathbf{0.832 \pm 0.004}$

Analyzing Intermediate Representations



Comparing deep multimodal representations

- Difference between them and the RBMs and the autoencoders
- Overall very similar behavior

Model	DBN	DAE	DBM
Logistic regression on joint layer features	0.599 ± 0.004	0.600 ± 0.004	0.609 ± 0.004
Sparsity + Logistic regression on joint layer features	0.626 ± 0.003	0.628 ± 0.004	0.631 ± 0.004
Sparsity + discriminative fine-tuning	0.630 ± 0.004	0.630 ± 0.003	0.634 ± 0.004
Sparsity + discriminative fine-tuning + dropout	0.638 ± 0.004	0.638 ± 0.004	$\mathbf{0.641 \pm 0.004}$

Supervised Joint representations

Multimodal Joint Representation

- For supervised learning tasks
- Joining the unimodal representations:
  - Simple concatenation
  - Element-wise multiplication or summation
  - Multilayer perceptron
- How to explicitly model both unimodal and bimodal interactions?



Multimodal Sentiment Analysis

MOSI dataset (Zadeh et al, 2016)

- 2199 subjective video segments
- Sentiment intensity annotations
- 3 modalities: text, video, audio

Multimodal joint representation:

$$h_m = f(W \cdot [h_x, h_y, h_z])$$



Unimodal, Bimodal and Trimodal Interactions



Bilinear Pooling

Models bimodal interactions:

$$\boldsymbol{h_m} = \boldsymbol{h_x} \otimes \boldsymbol{h_y} = \boldsymbol{h_x} \otimes \boldsymbol{h_y}$$

[Tenenbaum and Freeman, **2000**]



Multimodal Tensor Fusion Network (TFN)

Models both unimodal and bimodal interactions:

$$h_m = \begin{bmatrix} h_x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} h_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_x \otimes h_y \\ h_y \end{bmatrix}$$

Important !



[Zadeh, Jones and Morency, **EMNLP 2017**]

Multimodal Tensor Fusion Network (TFN)

Can be extended to three modalities:

$$h_m = \begin{bmatrix} h_x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} h_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} h_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Explicitly models unimodal, bimodal and trimodal interactions !



[Zadeh, Jones and Morency, **EMNLP 2017**]

Experimental Results – MOSI Dataset

Multimodal Baseline	Binary		5-class	Regression	
	Acc(%)	F1	Acc(%)	MAE	r
Random	50.2	48.7	23.9	1.88	-
C-MKL	73.1	75.2	35.3	-	-
SAL-CNN	73.0	-	-	-	-
SVM-MD	71.6	72.3	32.0	1.10	0.53
RF	71.4	72.1	31.9	1.11	0.51
TFN	**77.1**	**77.9**	**42.0**	**0.87**	**0.70**
Human	85.7	87.5	53.9	0.71	0.82
Δ^{SOTA}	↑ 4.0	↑ 2.7	↑ 6.7	↓ 0.23	↑ 0.17

Improvement over State-Of-The-Art

Baseline	Binary		5-class	Regression	
	Acc(%)	F1	Acc(%)	MAE	r
$\text{TFN}_{language}$	74.8	75.6	38.5	0.99	0.61
TFN_{visual}	66.8	70.4	30.4	1.13	0.48
$\text{TFN}_{acoustic}$	65.1	67.3	27.5	1.23	0.36
$\text{TFN}_{bimodal}$	75.2	76.0	39.6	0.92	0.65
$\text{TFN}_{trimodal}$	74.5	75.0	38.9	0.93	0.65
$\text{TFN}_{notrimodal}$	75.3	76.2	39.7	0.919	0.66
TFN	**77.1**	**77.9**	**42.0**	**0.87**	**0.70**
TFN_{early}	75.2	76.2	39.0	0.96	0.63

From Tensor Representation to Low-rank Fusion



① Decomposition of weight tensor W



② Decomposition of Z



③ Rearranging computation



Multimodal Encoder-Decoder

- Visual modality often encoded using CNN
- Language modality will be decoded using LSTM
  - A simple multilayer perceptron will be used to translate from visual (CNN) to language (LSTM)



Coordinated Multimodal Representations

Coordinated multimodal embeddings

- Instead of projecting to a joint space enforce the similarity between unimodal embeddings



Coordinated Multimodal Representations

Learn (unsupervised) two or more coordinated representations from multiple modalities. A loss function is defined to bring closer these multiple representations.



Coordinated Multimodal Embeddings

What should be the loss function?



[Frome et al., DeViSE: A Deep Visual-Semantic Embedding Model, NIPS 2013]

Max-Margin Loss – Multimodal Embeddings

What should be the loss function?

Max-margin:

$$d(x_i, y_j) + m < d(x_i, y_k) \quad \forall y_j \in Y_i^+, \forall y_k \in Y_i^-$$

Margin

Positive labels

Negative labels



[Frome et al., DeViSE: A Deep Visual-Semantic Embedding Model, NIPS 2013]

Structure-preserving Loss – Multimodal Embeddings

Symmetric max-margin:

$$d(x_i, y_j) + m < d(x_i, y_k) \quad \forall y_j \in Y_i^+, \forall y_k \in Y_i^-$$

$$d(x_{j'}, y_{i'}) + m < d(x_{k'}, y_{i'}) \quad \forall x_{j'} \in X_{i'}^+, \forall x_{k'} \in X_{i'}^-$$

Neighborhood of x_i: images that share the same meaning (text)

Structure-preserving constraints

$$d(x_i, x_j) + m < d(x_i, x_k) \quad \forall x_j \in N(x_i), \forall x_k \notin N(x_i)$$

$$d(y_{i'}, y_{j'}) + m < d(y_{i'}, y_{k'}) \quad \forall y_{j'} \in N(y_{i'}), \forall y_{k'} \notin N(y_{i'})$$

[Wang et al., Learning Deep Structure-Preserving Image-Text Embeddings, CVPR 2016]