◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Fundamentals of Database Systems [Transaction Processing]

Malay Bhattacharyya

Assistant Professor

Machine Intelligence Unit Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata October, 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○○○

1 Transaction Life Cycle

2 Concurrent Execution of Transactions

3 Serializability

- Conflict Serializability
- View Serializability
- Testing for Serializability

What is a transaction?

A unit of program execution that accesses and possibly updates various data items.

The properties (briefed as ACID) of a transaction maintained by the database system to ensure integrity of the data:

- Atomicity: None or all operations of the transaction are reflected properly in the database.
- Consistency: The database consistency is preserved by the execution of a transaction with no other transaction executing concurrently.
- <u>Isolation</u>: If multiple transactions execute concurrently, the system guarantees that for every transaction pair it appears one of them starts execution after the other finishes.
- <u>Durability</u>: Changes in database after the successful completion of a transaction are retained, even if there are system failures.

An example

Suppose, 10 PCs are transferred from the PC attribute of the relation ISI to relation IISc.

The transaction (consisting of six instructions) required for the above operation is as follows:

Note: We have to deal with system failures and manage concurrent execution of multiple instructions.

An example

Let us see how the ACID properties are managed:

- Atomicity: If the system fails at the steps 4-5 then this partial execution will not be incorporated.
- Consistency: If at any step the system fails then also the sum of ISI_{PC} and $IISc_{PC}$ should be same.
- <u>Isolation</u>: If any other transaction working on ISI and IISc appears, while executing the steps 3-6, it will wait until the current transaction completes.
- Durability: Once the steps 1-6 are executed the database changes will persist.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Transaction life cycle



State transition diagram

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○○○

Why concurrent execution of transactions?

- Increased processor and disk utilization
- Better transaction throughput
- Reduced waiting time
- Reduced average response time for transactions short transactions will not wait behind longer ones

Scheduling of transactions

A schedule is a sequence of instructions that specify the chronological order in which instructions of concurrent transactions are executed

Some properties of scheduling:

- A schedule for a set of transactions should comprise all instructions of those transactions
- A schedule should retain the order in which the instructions appear in each individual transaction
- A transaction completing successful execution should have a commit instruction as the last statement
- A transaction that fails to successfully complete its execution should have an abort instruction as the last statement

Note: The number of possible schedules for a set of *n* transactions is much larger than n!.

Importance of a schedule



YOUR MY TIME SCHEDULE



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○○○

Scheduling of transactions – Example 1

Serial schedule T_1 is followed by T_2 :

Transaction T ₁	Transaction T ₂
$read(ISI_{PC})$	
$T \leftarrow ISI_{PC} * 0.05$	
$ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC}$ - T	
write(ISI_{PC})	
$read(IISc_{PC})$	
$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + T$	
write(IISc _{PC})	
commit	
	$read(ISI_{PC})$
	$ S _{PC} \leftarrow S _{PC} - 10$
	write(ISI_{PC})
	$read(IISc_{PC})$
	$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + 10$
	write(IISc _{PC})
	commit

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 …の��

Scheduling of transactions – Example 2

Serial schedule T_2 is followed by T_1 :

Transaction T ₁	Transaction T ₂
	$read(ISI_{PC})$ $ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC} - 10$ write(ISI_{PC}) read(IISc_{PC})
	$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + 10$ write($IISc_{PC}$) commit
$read(ISI_{PC})$ $T \leftarrow ISI_{PC} * 0.05$	
$I \leftarrow ISI_{PC} + 0.05$ $ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC} - T$ write(ISI_{PC}) read($IISC_{PC}$)	
$\begin{aligned} \text{IISc}_{PC} &\leftarrow \text{IISc}_{PC} + \text{T} \\ \text{write}(\text{IISc}_{PC}) \\ \text{commit} \end{aligned}$	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

Scheduling of transactions – Example 3

Not a serial schedule but equivalent to T_2 is followed by T_1 :

Transaction T_1	Transaction T ₂
	$read(ISI_{PC})$
	$ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC} - 10$
	write(ISI _{PC})
$read(ISI_{PC})$	
$T \leftarrow ISI_{PC} * 0.05$	
$ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC}$ - T	
write(ISI _{PC})	
	$read(IISc_{PC})$
	$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + 10$
	write(IISc _{PC})
	commit
read(IISc _{PC})	
$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + T$	
write(IISc _{PC})	
commit	

Scheduling of transactions – Example 4

Not a serial schedule and also inconsistent:

Transaction T ₁	Transaction T ₂
	$read(ISI_{PC})$
	$ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC}$ - 10
$read(ISI_{PC})$	
$T \leftarrow ISI_{PC} * 0.05$	
$ISI_{PC} \leftarrow ISI_{PC}$ - T	
write(ISI _{PC})	
$read(IISc_{PC})$	
. ,	write(ISI_{PC})
	$read(IISc_{PC})$
	$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + 10$
	write(IISc _{PC})
	commit
$IISc_{PC} \leftarrow IISc_{PC} + T$	
write(IISc _{PC})	
commit	

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・今日や

Serializability

Assumption: Each transaction preserves database consistency.

So, the serial execution of a set of transactions should preserve the database consistency.

A schedule is serializable if it is equivalent to a serial schedule

Different forms of schedule equivalence give rise to the notions of – conflict serializability and view serializability. For both the cases our main concern is the read/write operation.

<u>Note</u>: We consider only read() and write() instructions to verify serializability.

Conflict serializability

Definition (Conflict)

Instructions I_i and I_j of transactions T_i and T_j respectively, conflict if and only if there exists some item Q accessed by both I_i and I_j and at least one of them is a write instruction.

Definition (Conflict equivalent)

If a schedule S can be transformed into a schedule S' by a series of swaps of non-conflicting instructions, we say that S and S' are conflict equivalent.

Definition (Conflict serializable)

A schedule S is conflict serializable if it is conflict equivalent to a serial schedule.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Conflict serializability – Example 1

Transaction T_1	Transaction T ₂
	read(ISI _{PC})
	write(ISI _{PC})
$read(ISI_{PC})$	
write($ S _{PC}$)	
()()	read(IISc _{PC})
	write(IISc _{PC})
read(IISc _{PC})	
write(IISc _{PC})	

The above schedule is conflict serializable because it is equivalent to the following serial schedule

Transaction T_1	Transaction T ₂
read($ SI_{PC})$ write($ SI_{PC})$ read($ IS_{CPC})$ write($ IS_{CPC})$	$\label{eq:read(ISI} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{read(ISI}_{PC}) \\ \mbox{write}(ISI_{PC}) \\ \mbox{read(IISc}_{PC}) \\ \mbox{write}(IISc_{PC}) \\ \end{array}$

Conflict serializability – Example 2

The following schedule is not conflict serializable because it is not equivalent to any serial schedule. Note that, the conflicting instructions write(ISI_{PC}) in both the transactions can not be swapped.

Transaction T_1	Transaction T ₂	
	$read(ISI_{PC})$	
write($ S _{PC}$)		
	write(ISI _{PC})	

View serializability

Definition (View equivalent)

Let S and S' be two schedules with the same set of transactions. S and S' are view equivalent if the following three conditions are met, for each data item Q:

- If in schedule S, transaction T_i reads the initial value of Q, then in schedule S' also transaction T_i must read the initial value of Q.
- If in schedule S transaction T_i executes read(Q), and that value was produced by transaction T_j, then in schedule S' also transaction T_i must read the value of Q that was produced by the same write(Q) operation of transaction T_j.
- The transaction (if any) that performs the final write(Q) operation in schedule S must also perform the final write(Q) operation in schedule S'.

View serializability

Definition (View serializable)

A schedule S is view serializable if it is view equivalent to a serial schedule.

Note: A conflict serializable schedule is always view serializable but not the vice versa.

*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ●

View serializability – An example

The following schedule is view serializable but not conflict serializable.

Transaction T_1	Transaction T ₂	Transaction T_3
	$read(ISI_{PC})$	
write(ISI _{PC})		
	write(ISI _{PC})	
		write(ISI_{PC})

Note that, the conflicting instructions write($|S|_{PC}$) in T_1 and T_2 can not be swapped to obtain a serial schedule.

Testing for conflict serializability

We can test conflict serializability through constructing precedence graphs.

Definition (Precedence graph)

Given a schedule S, a precedence graph is defined as a directed graph G = (V, E), where the set of vertices V consists of all the transactions participating in S and E consists of all the edges $T_i \rightarrow T_j$ for which one of three conditions holds in S:

- **1** T_i executes write(Q) before T_j executes read(Q).
- **2** T_i executes read(Q) before T_j executes write(Q).
- **3** T_i executes write(Q) before T_i executes write(Q).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○○○

Testing for conflict serializability

The precedence graph for a conflict serializable schedule is always acyclic.

