

Recall:- $K: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ Symmetric and measurable

$G(n, K) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V = \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \text{labels} \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \\ \quad \quad u_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n \sim \text{i.i.d. } u \in [0,1] \end{array} \right.$ $E \text{ i.i.d. with probability } K(u_i, u_j)$

Theorem:- Let $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Uniform $[0,1]$ random variables. Let $K: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ be a graphon, which is continuous a.e. on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$.

Then $d_{\text{sub}}(G(n, K), K) \xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ with Probability 1.

Proof:- • E.T.S. F - finite graph on n - vertices

$S(F, G(n, K)) \xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} S(F, K)$ w.p. 1

$\Rightarrow d_{\text{sub}}(G(n, K), K) \xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ w.p. 1.

• Condition $(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv x$
 $S(F, G(n, K)) \Big|_{(u_1, \dots, u_n) = x} := S(F, G(n, x, K))$

$\mathbb{P}(|S(F, G(n, x, K)) - \mathbb{E}[S(F, G(n, x, K))]| > \varepsilon) \leq 2e^{-a_n}$
[McDiarmid's Concentration] $a_n = O(n^2)$.

Borel cantelli
 $\Rightarrow$

$S(F, G(n, x, K)) \xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[S(F, G(n, x, K))]$ with Probability one.

Rest of Proof of Theorem :-

$$S(F, h(n, k)) \equiv S(F, h(n, (u_1 \dots u_n), k))$$

Shown:-

$$|S(F, h(n, (u_1 \dots u_n), k)) - \mathbb{E}[S(F, h(n, (u_1 \dots u_n), k))]| \rightarrow 0$$

with probability one.
as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Set up Notation :-

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n \quad ; \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \leq i \leq m \\ z_i \in [0, 1] \end{matrix}$$

F - with m vertices.

$$\mu_F(x) = \frac{1}{(n)_m} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} T_F(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})$$

where

$$(n)_k = n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)$$

$$T_F(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \prod_{(i, j) \in E(F)} k(z_i, z_j)$$

$$\text{an } \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)}$$

Sum over
range all
vectors
 $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ with
mutually different
coordinates.

claim:-

$$\mathbb{E}[S(F, h(n, x, k))] = \mu_F(x). \quad - (\text{Exercise})$$

To finish proof of Theorem :-

$$\mathbb{E}[S(F, h(n, (u_1 \dots u_n), k))] = \mu_F(u_1 \dots u_n) \longrightarrow S(F, k) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ w.p.1}$$

Observation :- $S(F, k) = \int \prod_{i=1}^k dx_i \prod_{(i,j) \in E(F)} k(x_i, x_j)$

$$= E \left[\prod_{(i,j) \in E(F)} k(v_i, v_j) \right]$$

$v_i \sim U(0,1)$ & independent

To show :

$$\mu_F(u_1, \dots, u_n) \xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\prod_{(i,j) \in E(F)} k(v_i, v_j) \right] \text{ with probability 1}$$

i.e. lemma :- $\frac{1}{(n)_m} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} T_F(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_m}) \xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\prod_{(i,j) \in E(F)} k(v_i, v_j) \right] \text{ with probability 1}$

where $T_F(z_1, \dots, z_m)$

$$= \prod_{(i,j) \in E(F)} k(z_i, z_j)$$

"

generalised U-statistic

Law of large numbers

Proof :- Define $C_m \equiv \left\{ h: [0,1]^m \rightarrow [0,1] \mid \begin{array}{l} h \text{ is continuous} \\ \text{a.c.} \end{array} \right\}$

$\forall h \in C_m$ let: $\gamma_n(x) = \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n} h(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Step 1:- $\mathcal{P}_m = \{h \in C_m \mid \exists h_1, \dots, h_m \in C_1 \text{ s.t. } h(\cdot) = \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(\cdot)\}$

$$h \in \mathcal{P}_m, \quad Y_h(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n} h(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_m})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^m h_j(u_{i_j})$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n h_j(u_l) \right]$$

apply SLLN for each $j \in u_1, \dots, u_n$ are iid $U[0,1]$

$\Rightarrow$

$$Y_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E} h(U_1, \dots, U_m)$$

$1 \leq i \leq m$
 U_i are $U[0,1]$
independent

Step 2:- Approximation

let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given
 $\forall h \in C_m : \exists s_1, s_2 \in \text{Span}(\mathcal{P}_m)$ such
 that

(a)

$$|h - s_1| \leq s_2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

(Ex.)

(b)

$$\int s_2(x) \prod_{i=1}^m dx_i \leq \varepsilon$$

— continue — next class —

object $\rightarrow \gamma_h(u_1, \dots, u_n)$
 of interest

$$(i) \cdot \gamma_{S_i}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \xrightarrow[\substack{\text{step 1} \\ S_i \in \text{span}(B_n)}]{\quad} E[S_i(v_1, \dots, v_m)]$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ w.p. 1
 $i = 1, 2$

$$(ii) \cdot \text{step 2} \quad E[S_2(v_1, \dots, v_m)] \leq \varepsilon$$

(i) and (ii) we get: $\exists N \geq 1, \forall n \geq N$

$$|\gamma_{S_1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) - E[S_1(v_1, \dots, v_m)]| < \varepsilon$$

$$|\gamma_{S_2}(u_1, \dots, u_n)| \leq \varepsilon$$

$$|\gamma_h(u_1, \dots, u_n) - E[h(v_1, \dots, v_m)]|$$

$$\leq |\gamma_h(u_1, \dots, u_n) - \gamma_{S_1}(u_1, \dots, u_n)|$$

start
from
here
next
class

$$+ |\gamma_{S_1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) - E[S_1(v_1, \dots, v_m)]|$$

$$+ |E[S_1(v_1, \dots, v_m)] - E[h(v_1, \dots, v_m)]|$$

$$\leq 4\varepsilon$$