

6/10/20: L6 - LWC FOR RANDOM GRAPHS

THM: Let G_n be as above. $G_n \xrightarrow{w} (G_0)$

iff $\forall H_* \in \mathcal{E}_*$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V_n} \mathbb{1} \left[B_r^{(G_n)}(u) \cong H_* \right] \rightarrow P(B_r^{(G_0)} \cong H_*)$$

Proof: \Rightarrow as $\mathbb{1}[B_r^{(G)} \cong H_*]$ is cts. (See A1 on 1)

\Leftarrow (non-trivial & more useful).

Let $h: G_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bdd cts & $\varepsilon > 0$.

By Portmanteau theorem, we can assume that h is uniformly cts as well.

$$\text{so } \exists \delta > 0 \Rightarrow d((e_i^*, o^*), (e_i^1, o^1)) < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow |h(e_i^*, o^*) - h(e_i^1, o^1)| < \varepsilon / 4$$

Choose $r = r(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow \forall (e_i^1, o^1)$

$$d((e_i^1, o^1), B_r(o^1)) \leq \frac{1}{r+1} < \delta.$$

By Δ inequality

$$|\mathbb{E}_n[h(G_n, o_n)] - \mathbb{E}[h(G_0, o)]|$$

$$\leq |\mathbb{E}_n[h(B_r^{(G_n)}(o_n))] - \mathbb{E}[h(B_r^{(G)}(o))]| + \varepsilon_2.$$

If there are only finitely many graphs of depth r then it's easy to approximate $h(B_r^{(G_n)}(o_n)) \approx h(B_r^{(G)}(o))$ by our assumption.

One obstruction to have finitely many graphs of depth r is that vertices can have arbitrarily large degrees. But this prob. can be made small.

Define $E_{r,k}(G, o) = \{f \in B_r(o) \mid d_f(G) > k\}$

$E_{r,k}(G, o) \downarrow \{f \in B_r(o) \mid d_f(G) = \infty\}$ as $k \uparrow \infty$.
 $\Rightarrow \forall \delta > 0, \exists k \ni P(E_{r,k}(G, o)) \leq \eta \quad (\text{PC.}) = 0$

Consider $E_{r,k}(G, o)^c - f$ fin. many rooted graphs

$H_1, \dots, H_m \ni E_{r,k}(G, o)^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_r(o) \cong H_i$

likewise $E_{r,k}(G_n, o_n)^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{B_r(o_n) \cong H_i\}$

By our assumption, we've that

$$P_n(B_r(o_n) \cong H_i) \rightarrow P(B_r(o) \cong H_i) \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$\Rightarrow P(E_{r,k}(G_n, o_n)^c) \rightarrow P(E_{r,k}(G, o)^c) \quad \text{(*)}$$

$\Rightarrow \exists n_0 \ni \forall n \geq n_0$

$$P(E_{r,k}(G_n, o_n)) \leq 2\delta$$

$$\Rightarrow |E_n[h(B_r(o))] - E[h(B_r(o))]|$$

$$\leq |E_n[h(B_r(o))] \mathbf{1}_{E_{r,k}(G_n, o)^c} - E[h(B_r(o))] \mathbf{1}_{E_{r,k}(G_n, o)^c}|$$

$$+ 2\delta \|h\|_\infty, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Now since $E_{r,k}(G_n, \Omega_n)^c$ is determined by finitely many graphs, from our assumption \star we obtain

$$\text{that } E[h(B_r(\Omega_n)) \mathbb{1}_{E_{r,k}(G_n, \Omega_n)^c}]$$

$$\rightarrow E[h(B_r(0)) \mathbb{1}_{E_{r,k}(G, 0)^c}].$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n_1 \geq n_0 \ni \forall n \geq n_1$$

$$|E[h(B_r(\Omega_n)) \mathbb{1}_{E_{r,k}(G_n, \Omega_n)^c}] - E[h(B_r(0)) \mathbb{1}_{E_{r,k}(G, 0)^c}]| \\ \leq \varepsilon/4$$

Choosing ε small & plugging in the above bound,
the proof is complete. \blacksquare

What about random graphs G_n ?

$$E_n[h(G_n, \Omega_n)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} h(G_n, i) - \text{random variable.}$$

So how do we interpret convergence?

Defn. $G_n = ([n], E(G_n))$ be a seq. of RGSs (possibly disconn.)

(1) $G_n \xrightarrow{LW-d} (G, 0)$ if $E[h(G_n, \Omega_n)] \xrightarrow{\mu} E[h(G, 0)]$

\rightarrow add its $h: G_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{g}_n, \mathbf{o}_n)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_n[h(\mathbf{g}_n, \mathbf{o}_n)]]$$

↓
Exp over \mathbf{g}_n & \mathbf{o}_n . = $\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i \in [n]} h(\mathbf{g}_n, i)\right]$

(2) $\mathbf{g}_n \xrightarrow{\text{LW-P}} (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{o})$ if $\mathbb{E}_n[h(\mathbf{g}_n, \mathbf{o}_n)] \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{o})]$
 + bdd cts h .

Rem: (1) One can define a.s. convergence as well
 but not so much of interest to us now. In general,
 not common.

(2) In LW-P, we have assumed that the limit (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{o})
 has prob. distrib μ on \mathcal{G}_* .
 But we can also assume μ is a random prob. measure
 on \mathcal{G}_* i.e., μ can vary with realizations of \mathbf{g}_n .
 (Ex(A): Construct examples).

(3) Our focus will purely be with deterministic limits.

THM: Let $(G_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of graphs (random or deterministic)

(a) $G_n \xrightarrow{\text{Lw-d}} (G_1, 0)$ iff $\forall H_* \in \mathcal{G}_*$,

$$\mathbb{E} [P^{(n)}(H_*)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in [n]} P(B_r^{(G_n)}(u) \cong H_*)$$

$$\rightarrow P(B_r^{(G_1, 0)} \cong H_*) \quad \text{④}$$

$$[P^{(n)}(H_*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in [n]} \mathbb{I}[B_r^{(G_n)}(u) \cong H_*]]$$

(b) $G_n \xrightarrow{\text{Lw-p}} (G_1, 0)$ iff $\forall H_* \in \mathcal{G}_*$

$$P^{(n)}(H_*) \xrightarrow{\text{P}} P(B_r^{(G_1, 0)} \cong H_*) \quad \text{⑤}$$

Remarks: (1) if G_n 's are deterministic,
then $P^{(n)}(H_*) = \mathbb{E}[P^{(n)}(H_*)]$

$$\Rightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{\text{Lw-d}} (G_1, 0) \Leftrightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{\text{Lw-p}} (G_1, 0).$$

(2) criteria holds for a.s. convergence also.

Proof: Follows from deterministic Criteria Thm.
(Ex - complete the details). \blacksquare

THM^o: Let $\mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{G}_x$ be a subset of rooted graphs. Let $\mathcal{F}_x(r)$ be rooted graphs in \mathcal{F}_x with depth/height at most r .

Assume $(G, 0)$ random graph $\rightarrow P((G, 0) \in \mathcal{F}_x) = 1$

Let $(G_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of graphs (random/det)

then $G_n \xrightarrow{\text{w-d}} (G, 0)$ if (C1) holds + $\forall r \geq 1. H_x \in \mathcal{F}_x(r)$

Also $G_n \xrightarrow{\text{w.p.}} (G, 0)$ if (C2) holds + $r \geq 1$
+ $\forall r \geq 1. H_x \in \mathcal{F}_x(r)$.

Proof: T.S.T. + $H_x \notin \mathcal{F}_x(r)$, $r \geq 1$

$$P(B_r^{(G_n)}(0) \cong H_x) \rightarrow 0 = P(B_r^{(G)}(0) \cong H_x)$$

$\mathcal{G}_k(r)$ is countable

$\mathcal{F}_k(r)$ is countable, $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists m$

& $\mathcal{F}_k(r, m) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k(r) \Rightarrow |\mathcal{F}_k(r, m)| \leq m$

$\exists P(B_r(0) \in \mathcal{F}_k(r, m)) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(B_r^{(G_n)}(0_n) \in \mathcal{F}_k(r)) \\ = (-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(B_r^{(G_n)}(0_n) \in \mathcal{F}_k(r))) \\ \leq (-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(B_r^{(G_n)}(0_n) \in \mathcal{F}_k(r, m))) \\ = 1 - P(B_r(0) \in \mathcal{F}_k(r, m)) \end{aligned}$$

(Since $\mathcal{F}_k(r, m)$ has only finitely many graphs)
& $P(B_r(0_n) \cong H_k) \rightarrow P(B_r(0) \cong H_k)$

$\nexists H_k \in \mathcal{F}_k(r).$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(B_r^{(G_n)}(0_n) \in \mathcal{F}_k(r)) = 0$$

Ex: Extend the proof for $LW \dashv p$.

Thm: Let $G_n = G(n, p)$, $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$, $\lambda < \infty$ be the ER random graph. Let T_λ be $BGW(Bscal(\lambda))$ offspring distribution. Then

$$G_n \xrightarrow{LW \dashv p} T_\lambda.$$

Proof: $E[p^{(n)}(H_*)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in [n]} P(B_r^{(G_n)}(u) \subseteq H_*)$

$(B_r^{(G_n)}(u)$ are identically distributed in G_n)

$$= P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong H_*)$$

$$\rightarrow P(B_r^{(T_\lambda)}(1) \cong H_*) \text{ if }$$

(shown in
prev.
classes)

H_* is a tree.
Choosing T_λ as rooted trees, we can

apply the previous theorem to conclude

that $G_n \xrightarrow{Lw-d} T_\lambda$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{F}_x(r)$, $r \geq 1$.

$$N_n(T) = n P^{(n)}(T) = \sum_{i \in \{0\}} \mathbb{1}_{[B_r^{(G_n)}(i) \cong T]}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[N_n(T)]}{n} \rightarrow P(B_r^{(\mathcal{G}_x)}(\phi) \cong T) \quad \textcircled{*}$$

we want to show

$$\frac{N_n(T)}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \downarrow -\textcircled{1}$$

GTPT

$$\frac{\text{Var}(N_n(T))}{\mathbb{E}[N_n(T)]^2} \rightarrow 0. \quad \textcircled{2}$$

$$E(N_n(T)^2) = n P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T) + n(n-1) P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T, B_r^{(G_n)}(2) \cong T)$$

[by expanding $N_n(T)^2$ & using that $(B_r^{(G_n)}(1), B_r^{(G_n)}(2)) \cong (B_r^{(G_n)}(1), B_r^{(G_n)}(1))$ if]

We'll show

$$P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T, B_r^{(G_n)}(2) \cong T) \rightarrow P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T)^2 - ③$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{From } ③ \quad \frac{\text{Var}(N_n(T))}{n^2} &= \frac{P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T)}{n} \\ &\quad + P(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T, B_r^{(G_n)}(2) \cong T) \\ \rightarrow 0 &\quad \xrightarrow{-PC \downarrow} \xrightarrow{P \downarrow} ② \end{aligned}$$

③ for $r=1$ uses in A1.

$$\mathbb{P}(B_r^n(1) \cong T, B_r^n(2) \cong T)$$

(T1)

$$= \mathbb{P}(B_r^n(1) \cong T, B_r^n(2) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r)$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}(\quad , d_{G_n}(1,2) \leq 2r)$$

(T2)

$$\mathbb{P}(\quad , d_{G_n}(1,2) \leq 2r)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(n)}(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) \leq 2r)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\{B_r^n(1) \cong T\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{2 \in B_r^n(1)\}}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\{B_r^n(1) \cong T\}} \sum_{j=2}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{j \in B_{2r}^n(1)\}}]$$

(since $\mathbb{1}_{\{j \in B_{2r}^n(1)\}}$ is id. distributed)

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[|B_{2r}^{(n)}(1)|]$$

(From A2) $\mathbb{E}[(B_{k+1}^{(n)}) \setminus B_{k+1}^{(n)}(1)] \leq \lambda^k$.

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[(B_{2r}^{(n)}(1))] \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \lambda^k \rightarrow 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \textcircled{T2} \rightarrow 0. \quad \textcircled{4}$

$\textcircled{T1} = P(B_r^n(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r)$

$P(B_r^n(2) \cong T \mid B_r^n(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r)$

By $\textcircled{4}$ & $\textcircled{*}$

$P(B_r^n(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r)$

$\rightarrow P(B_r^{(\infty)}(\emptyset) \cong T)$

Let $t = |V(T)|$.

$B_r^{(n)}(2) \mid \{B_r^{(n)}(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r\}$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_{r-t}^{G_{n-t}}(2)$ where G_{n-t} is an $\textcircled{5}$

ER random graph on $n-t$ vertices
with $p = \frac{x}{n}$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(G_n)}(2) \cong T | \dots) \quad (1)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(n-t)}(2) \cong T) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T) \quad (2)$$

Shows that $(T1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T)^2$

& we have (3)

Explanation for (5):

$$\mathbb{P}(B_r^{(G_n)}(2) \cong T | B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq [n] \\ |F|=k}} \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(G_n)}(2) \cong T | B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T, V_h = F, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r) \\ \times \mathbb{P}(V_h = F | B_r^{(G_n)}(1) \cong T, d_{G_n}(1,2) > 2r) \\ [V_h = V(B_r^{(G_n)}(1))] - (6)$$

$G_n^F = G_n \setminus F$ - ER graph on $V_h \setminus F$.

$$= \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq [n] \\ |F|=k}} \mathbb{P}(B_r^{(G_n^F)}(2) \cong T | \dots) \quad (\text{P.C.})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{(\text{EF} \subseteq G_n) \\ (\text{FA} = R)}} P(B_r^{(G_n^F)}(z) \cong T) \cdot P(\dots | \dots)$$

$$= \sum_{\dots} P(B_r^{(G_n-t)}(z) \cong T) \cdot P(\dots | \dots)$$

$(G_n^F \trianglelefteq G_{n-t})$

$$= P(B_r^{(G_n-t)}(z) \cong T)$$

$$\longrightarrow P(B_r^{(\text{EF})}(\phi) \cong T) \quad . \quad \#$$