

20/7 : L8 - Structure of Giant

THM 2: Same assumptions as in THM 1.

$U_l(\mathcal{C}_{\max}) = \# \text{ of vertices of degree } l \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{\max}$

$$\frac{U_l(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(o)| = \infty, d_o = l)$$

$d_o = \deg \text{ of } o \text{ in } (\mathcal{G}, o)$

If $\{d_{o_n}^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is U_0, I_0 then

$$\# \text{ of edges in } \mathcal{C}_{\max} = \frac{E(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}[|\mathcal{C}(o)| = \infty] \times d_o]$$

Proof: $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $d_v = \deg(v)$ in G_n

$$Z_{A, \geq k} = \sum_{v \in [n]} \mathbb{1}[|\mathcal{C}(v)| \geq k, d_v \in A]$$

$$G_n \xrightarrow{LW^P} (\mathcal{G}, o) \Rightarrow \frac{Z_{A, \geq k}}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(o)| \geq k, d_o \in A) \quad \hookrightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| \geq k) \rightarrow 1 \quad \forall k \geq 1$

$$(\text{cos } n^{-1} |\mathcal{C}_{\max}| \xrightarrow{P} \zeta > 0)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a \in A} U_a(\mathcal{C}_{\max}) \leq \frac{Z_{A, \geq k}}{n}\right) \rightarrow 1. \quad - \textcircled{2}$$

$$A = \{1\}^c. \quad U_a(\mathcal{C}_{\max}) = \#\{v \in \mathcal{C}_{\max} : d_v = a\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} [|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| - U_1(\mathcal{C}_{\max})] \leq \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(o)| \geq k, d_o \neq 1) + \epsilon/2\right) \rightarrow 1.$$

From $\textcircled{1}$ & $\textcircled{2}$

- $\textcircled{3}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \geq \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)|=\infty) - \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| \geq k, d_0 \neq l) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \rightarrow 1.$$

$$\frac{|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|}{n} = \frac{1}{n} [|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| - V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max}) + V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max})]$$

$$\text{(using (3))} \leq \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| \geq k, d_0 \neq l) + V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

The above event happens w.p.o $\rightarrow 1$.

$$\text{Also choose } k \text{ large } \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| \geq k, d_0 \neq l) - \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty, d_0 \neq l) \leq \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Further, Thm 1} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|}{n} \geq \zeta_p - \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 1$$

where $\zeta_p = \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty) > 0$ (by assumption)

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \geq \zeta_p - \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty, d_0 \neq l) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \geq \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty, d_0 = l) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$$

Also use (2) for $A = \{l\}$ & noting that it holds $\forall k$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \leq \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty, d_0 = l) + \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max})}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty, d_0 = l).$$

$$|E(\mathcal{C}_{\max})| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 1} l V_L(\mathcal{C}_{\max}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in [n]} d v$$

$$\frac{|E(\mathcal{T}_{\max})|}{n} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l \leq k} l U_l(\mathcal{T}_{\max}) \quad \text{--- (T1)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l > k} l U_l(\mathcal{T}_{\max}) \quad \text{--- (T2)}$$

$$\textcircled{\text{T1}} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \leq k} P(|\mathcal{T}(0)| = \infty, d_0 = l) l$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} E[d_0 \mathbb{1}[|\mathcal{T}(0)| = \infty, d_0 \leq k]]$$

$$n_l = U_l(G_n) = \#\{v \in [n] : d_v = l\} \geq U_l(\mathcal{T}_{\max})$$

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{\text{T2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l > k} \frac{l n_l}{n} = E_n \left[\frac{d_{o_n}^{(n)}}{2} \mathbb{1}[d_{o_n}^{(n)} > k] \right]$$

o_n - random root in G_n

By $U_0 I_0$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(E_n[d_{o_n}^{(n)} \mathbb{1}[d_{o_n}^{(n)} > k]] > \epsilon) = 0.$$

$$\text{(Markov's ineq.)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E[E_n[d_{o_n}^{(n)} \mathbb{1}[d_{o_n}^{(n)} > k]]]}{\epsilon}$$

$$\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon E[d_{o_n}^{(n)} \mathbb{1}[d_{o_n}^{(n)} > k]] = 0 \quad \text{(by } U_0 I_0 \text{)}$$

Complete proof by letting $k \uparrow \infty$ in $\textcircled{\text{T1}}$.

Remains to prove for $G(n, p)$ —

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} E[\#\{x, y \in [n] : (|\mathcal{T}(x)|, |\mathcal{T}(y)| \geq k, x \leftrightarrow y)\}] = 0.$$

— (*)

LEMMA: Assume other conditions than $(*)$ in THM 1.

Then $(*)$ holds if

$$(i) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}[\#\{x, y \in [n] : |\partial B_r(x)|, |\partial B_r(y)| \geq r, x \leftrightarrow y\}] = 0$$

(ii) $\exists r = r_k \rightarrow \infty \exists (G, \phi)$ satisfies the following -

$$P(|\mathcal{C}(o)| \geq k, |\partial B_r(o)| < r_k) \rightarrow 0$$

$$P(|\mathcal{C}(o)| < k, |\partial B_r(o)| \geq r_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark: If $r_k = k$, $P(|\mathcal{C}(o)| < k, |\partial B_r(o)| \geq r) = 0$.

For BSW tree with mean $\lambda > 1$,

Ex. (A) $\lambda^r \mathbb{E}[|\partial B_r(\phi)| \mid |\mathcal{C}(\phi)| = \infty] \xrightarrow{a.s.} M$
for $M > 0$.

Conditioned on survival, $|\partial B_r(\phi)|$ grows exp. fast!
 $\Rightarrow$ first part of (ii) holds.

Note $\lambda^r \mathbb{E}[|\partial B_r(\phi)|] = 1$.

Proof: $P_r^{(2)} = \#\{x, y : |\partial B_r(x)|, |\partial B_r(y)| \geq r, x \leftrightarrow y\}$

$\Theta_r^{(2)} = \#\{x, y : |\mathcal{C}(x)|, |\mathcal{C}(y)| \geq k, x \leftrightarrow y\}$

$$|P_r^{(2)} - \Theta_r^{(2)}| \leq Z_1 + Z_2$$

$$Z_1 = \#\{v : |\partial B_r(v)| < r, |\mathcal{C}(v)| \geq k\}$$

$$Z_2 = \#\{v : |\partial B_r(v)| \geq r, |\mathcal{C}(v)| < k\}$$

$$G_n \xrightarrow{LW-P} (G, p) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{E}(0)| \geq k, |\partial B_r(0)| < r) \\ + \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{E}(0)| < k, |\partial B_r(0)| \geq r) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{n^2} |P_r^{(2)} - \theta_k^{(2)}| \leq \frac{2(Z_1 + Z_2)}{n}$$

$$\frac{Z_1}{n} \leq 1, \quad \frac{Z_2}{n} \leq 1 \quad \& \quad \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \dots$$

By DLT for convergence in prob./measure,

$$\text{we have that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|P_r^{(2)} - \theta_k^{(2)}|]}{n^2}$$

$$\leq 2 \left[\text{RHS of (1)} \right]$$

Now by Assumption (i'),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|P_r^{(2)} - \theta_k^{(2)}|]}{n^2} = 0$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\theta_k^{(2)}]}{n^2} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[P_r^{(2)}]}{n^2} \\ = 0 \quad (\text{by Assumption (i')})$$

Let o_1, o_2 be 2 vertices chosen uniformly at random & indep. in $[n]$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\# \{x, y : |\partial B_r(x)|, |\partial B_r(y)| \geq r, x \not\leftrightarrow y\} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}(|\partial B_r(o_1)|, |\partial B_r(o_2)| \geq r, o_1 \not\leftrightarrow o_2) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{b_1, b_2 \geq r \\ s_1^1, s_1^2 \\ s_2^1, s_2^2}} \mathbb{P}(o_1 \not\leftrightarrow o_2, |\partial B_r(o_1)| = b_1^i, |\partial B_{r-1}(o_1)| = s_1^i \\ & \quad , i=1,2) \end{aligned}$$

$\partial B_r(o_i)$ — vertices having an edge to a vertex in $B_{r-1}(o_i)$ but not connected to o_2

$$|\partial B_r(o_1)| \leq \text{Bin}(\underbrace{n - s_1^{(1)} - s_1^{(2)}}_{\substack{\text{choice of vertices} \\ \text{available to } B_{r-1}(o_1)}}, p)$$



large boundary $\Rightarrow$ more choices for edges of o_1

$\Rightarrow$ less likely to miss a vertex in $\mathcal{C}(o_2)$ if $\partial B_r(o_2)$ is large.

See vdh Vol. 2, Sec 2.5. for more details.

$$P(d_{G_n}(o_1, o_2) \leq k) = E[1[o_2 \in B_k(o_1)]]$$

o_2 is random
2 vertex

F-T theorem

$$= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in [n]} 1[v \in B_k(o_1)]\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} E[|B_k(o_1)|]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} E[|B_k(v)|]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^k \lambda^l = \frac{\lambda^{k+1} - 1}{n(\lambda - 1)}$$

for $\lambda > 1$.

$$\text{if } k = \frac{(1-\epsilon) \log n}{\log \lambda}$$

$$\text{then } P(d_{G_n}(o_1, o_2) \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon) \log n}{\log \lambda}) \rightarrow 0.$$

$\Rightarrow$ Two random vertices are at distance $\geq \log_\lambda n$

THM (THM 20.26 of vol 1 + vol. 2)

Conditioned on $o_1 \leftrightarrow o_2$,

$$\frac{d_{G_n}(o_1, o_2)}{\log n} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{\log \lambda}$$