

Recall:

- $H \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ is exchangeable if its distribution is invariant under every permutation of its vertices.

Result: • H is exchangeable
 $\Leftrightarrow H|_{[k]}$ has a distribution invariant under permutations of $[k]$, $\forall k \geq 1$

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H|_{[k]} = F)$ depends only on the isomorphism type of F .

- The limit H in Theorem 4 was exchangeable

$$\mathbb{P}(H|_{[k]} = F) = \mathbb{E} t_{\text{ind}}(F, P) \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} G_n \xrightarrow{d} P \text{ in } \bar{\mathcal{U}} \\ \hat{G}_n \xrightarrow{d} H \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{array} \right] (=)$$

Thm 5 • There is a 1-1 correspondence between distributions of random elements of $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$ and distributions of exchangeable random graphs $H \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$.

$$\mathbb{E} t_{\text{ind}}(F, P) = \mathbb{P}(H|_{[k]} = F)$$

F was on $[k]$ vertices. $- \in \mathcal{L}_k$

$$\mathbb{E} s(F, P) = \mathbb{P}(H \supset F) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{L}$$

$$H|_{[n]} \xrightarrow{d} P \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Corollary 5 :- There is a 1-1 correspondence
between U_∞

and
the extreme points of the set of distributions
of exchangeable random infinite graphs $H \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$.

The correspondence is given by

$$\mu \mapsto \mathcal{G}(F, \mu) = \mathbb{P}(H \supset F) \longrightarrow H$$

$\forall F \in \mathcal{L}$

Further, $H|_{[n]} \xrightarrow{d} \mu$ in $\bar{U}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- [Proof in Diaconis - Janson - has a typographical
error]

Proof :- $\mathcal{M} \equiv$ set of distributions of
exchangeable random infinite graphs $H \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$.

- Result :- Extreme points of $\mathcal{M}$
are delta measures in $\mathcal{M}$.

$\Rightarrow$ Extreme points are in 1-1
correspondence with elements of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$.

$$\text{Theorem 5} \Rightarrow H \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \iff P \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$$

Extreme points of $\mathcal{M}$ are in 1-1 correspondence with elements of $\mathcal{U}_\infty$.

Using Theorem 5 - Distribution as delta-measures so correspondence becomes
 $t(F, P) = \mathbb{P}(H \geq F) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

Similar for Theorem 3:

$$H|_{[n]} \xrightarrow{q_n} P \text{ in } \bar{\mathcal{U}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Theorem 6: Let H be an exchangeable random infinite graph. Then the following are equivalent

- (i) The distribution of H is an extreme point in the set of exchangeable distributions in $\mathcal{L}_\infty$
- (ii) If F_1 and F_2 are two (finite) graphs with disjoint vertex sets $V(F_1), V(F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

then
$$\mathbb{P}(H \geq F_1 \cup F_2) = \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_1) \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_2)$$

(iii) The restrictions of H are independent $\forall k$.
 $H|_{[k]}$ and $H|_{[k+1, \infty)}$

(iv) let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be a σ -field generated by $H|_{[n, \infty)}$

Then the tail σ -field $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ is trivial, i.e. contains only event with probability 0 or 1

Proof: will do later.

- Connect [LS06]
 $G_n \rightarrow K$
 in $d_{\text{sub}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ metric.

Diaconis
 $\leftarrow \text{Janson} \rightarrow$

Aldous / Diaconis
 extension of
 Definiti Theorem
 to arrays

$\mathcal{W}_s = \text{graphons} := \{w : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ symmetric and measurable}\}$

Done earlier
 [LLN construction]

$[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$
 $\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $u_1 \quad u_n \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$

$\mathcal{W}_s \ni w$

$G(n, w)$:- $i \sim j$ w.p. $w(u_i, u_j)$
 $u_i, u_j \in [n]$

Extension:

$G(\infty, w) \equiv$ Vertex set $\mathbb{N}$
 $i \sim j$ w.p. $w(u_i, u_j)$
 $u_i, u_j \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow G(\infty, w) \upharpoonright_{[n]} = G(n, w)$

Ex:- $G(\infty, \omega)$ is an exchangeable infinite random graph.

$$X = \{X_{ij} = \mathbb{1}(i, j \in \text{Edge in } G(\infty, \omega))\}_{i, j} \text{ — jointly exchangeable}$$

(Aldous - Hoover) $\nexists \mu$ $\mathbb{P}(X \in A) = \int \mathbb{P}_\omega(A) \mu(d\omega)$

Take $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ — $G(\infty, \omega)$ is an exchangeable random graph

Theorem 6 (ii) holds for $G(\infty, \omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} &V(F_1) \cap V(F_2) = \emptyset \\ &\& V(F_i) \subseteq \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \quad \mathbb{P}(G(\infty, \omega) \supseteq F_1 \cup F_2) = \mathbb{P}(G(\infty, \omega) \supseteq F_1) \mathbb{P}(G(\infty, \omega) \supseteq F_2)$$

$\Downarrow$
Theorem 6 (i) holds $\leftarrow$ Distribution of $G(\infty, \omega)$ is an extreme point

$\Downarrow$
Corollary 5 — $G(\infty, \omega) \rightarrow (1-)$ corresponds
 $\downarrow$
 $\mathbb{P}_\omega \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$

$\Downarrow$
Theorem 5 — $G(\infty, \omega) \upharpoonright [n] \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{P}_\omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 $G(n, \omega) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{P}_\omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

F on $[k]$

$\otimes$ $\mathcal{S}(F, \mathbb{P}_\omega) = \mathbb{P}(F \subseteq G(k, \omega)) = E[\prod_{(i, j) \in E(F)} \omega(x_i, x_j)]$
 $= \int_{[0, 1]^k} \prod_{(i, j) \in E(F)} \omega(x_i, x_j) \prod_{i=1}^k dx_i$

[Sob] $\mathcal{U}_\infty \equiv$ limit points of finite graphs
are in fact $\mathbb{P}_\omega$ that satisfy $\otimes$

Proof of Theorem 6

H - exchangeable

(i) The distribution of H is an extreme point in the set of exchangeable distributions in $\mathcal{L}_\infty$

implies $\mathcal{P}$

$\exists \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$

$$(*) - \mathbb{P}(H \geq F) = s(F, \mathcal{P}) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{L}$$

F - labelled graph $1, \dots, k$ $k = v(F)$

but $(*)$ is invariant under labelling.

$\Rightarrow (*)$ hold for all F such $v(F) \leq \mathbb{N}$
finite graph

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is an element of $\mathcal{U}_\infty$

[Direct sum
lemma]

$\mathcal{P}$ -random

$$s(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}) = s(F_1, \mathcal{P}) s(F_2, \mathcal{P})$$

$$E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n s(F_i, \mathcal{P})\right) = E\left[s\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n F_i, \mathcal{P}\right)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_1 \cup F_2) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} s(F_1 \cup F_2, \mathcal{P}) \\ &= s(F_1, \mathcal{P}) s(F_2, \mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_1) \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_2)$$

(iii) F_1 and F_2 are two finite graphs

$$V(F_1) \cap V(F_2) = \emptyset \quad v(F_i) \leq \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(H \geq F_1 \cup F_2) = \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_1) \mathbb{P}(H \geq F_2) \quad \text{--- } (+)$$

Lemma $t_{\text{ind}}(F, G) = \mathbb{P}(F = G[k]) \quad l \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow v(F_1) = \{1, \dots, k\} \quad v(F_2) \subseteq \{k+1, k+2, \dots, l\}$

$H|_{[k]} = F_1 \quad \text{and} \quad H|_{[k+1, l]} = F_2$

$(b, \oplus)$ are independent $\forall l \geq 1$

(iii) The restrictions of $H|_{[k]}$ and $H|_{[k+1, l]}$ are independent $\forall k \geq 1$

□